

# Two-bubble dynamics for the equivariant wave maps equation

Jacek Jendrej  
University of Chicago

IAS, November 2nd, 2017

## Critical wave maps

Wave maps from  $\mathbb{R}^{1+2}$  to a Riemannian manifold  $\mathcal{N}$ :

$$\square \Psi \perp T_{\Psi} \mathcal{N}.$$

Special case  $\mathcal{N} = \mathbb{S}^2 \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ ,  $k$ -equivariant solutions ( $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ ):

$$\Psi(t, r \cos \theta, r \sin \theta) = (\sin(u(t, r)) \cos k\theta, \sin(u(t, r)) \sin k\theta, \cos(u(t, r))).$$

Equation is reduced to a semi-linear one:

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t^2 u(t, r) = \partial_r^2 u(t, r) + \frac{1}{r} \partial_r u(t, r) - \frac{k^2}{2r^2} \sin(2u(t, r)), \\ (u(t_0, r), \partial_t u(t_0, r)) = (u_0(r), \dot{u}_0(r)). \end{cases} \quad (\text{WMAP})$$

Notation:  $\mathbf{v} := (v, \dot{v})$ ,  $\|\dot{v}\|_{L^2}^2 := \int_0^{+\infty} (\dot{v}^2) r \, dr$ ,  
 $\|v\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2 := \int_0^{+\infty} ((\partial_r v)^2 + \frac{1}{r^2} v^2) r \, dr$ ,  $\mathcal{E} := \mathcal{H} \times L^2$ .

$$E(\mathbf{v}) := \pi \int_0^{+\infty} \left( \dot{v}^2 + (\partial_r v)^2 + \frac{k^2}{r^2} (\sin(v))^2 \right) r \, dr.$$

## Comments

- If  $\lim_{r \rightarrow 0} u_0(r) = \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} u_0(r) = 0$ , then

$$E(\mathbf{u}_0) < \infty \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \mathbf{u}_0 \in \mathcal{E}.$$

- Local well-posedness in  $\mathcal{E}$  (conditional)

- ▶ Ginibre, Soffer, Velo (1992)
- ▶ Shatah, Struwe (1994)

$$\forall \mathbf{u}_0 \in \mathcal{E}, \exists! \mathbf{u} \in C((T_-, T_+); \mathcal{E}), \quad T_- < t_0 < T_+.$$

- The energy is conserved; the flow is reversible.
- Let  $\lambda > 0$ . For  $\mathbf{v} = (v, \dot{v}) \in \mathcal{E}$  we denote

$$\mathbf{v}_\lambda(r) := \left( v\left(\frac{r}{\lambda}\right), \frac{1}{\lambda} \dot{v}\left(\frac{r}{\lambda}\right) \right).$$

We have  $\|\mathbf{v}_\lambda\|_{\mathcal{E}} = \|\mathbf{v}\|_{\mathcal{E}}$  and  $E(\mathbf{v}_\lambda) = E(\mathbf{v})$ . Moreover, if  $\mathbf{u}(t)$  is a solution of (WMAP) on the time interval  $[0, T_+)$ , then  $\mathbf{w}(t) := \mathbf{u}\left(\frac{t}{\lambda}\right)_\lambda$  is a solution on  $[0, \lambda T_+)$ .

## Stationary states – $k$ -equivariant harmonic maps

- Explicit radially symmetric solutions of
$$\partial_r^2 u(r) + \frac{1}{r} \partial_r u(r) - \frac{k^2}{2r^2} \sin(2u(r)) = 0:$$

$$Q_\lambda(r) := 2 \arctan \left( \frac{r^k}{\lambda^k} \right), \quad \mathbf{Q}_\lambda := (Q_\lambda, 0) \in \mathcal{E}.$$

- $E(\mathbf{Q}_\lambda) = 4k\pi$ ; orbital stability
- $\mathbf{Q}_\lambda$  are, up to sign and translation by  $\pi$ , all the equivariant stationary states.
- Threshold elements for nonlinear behavior – Côte, Kenig, Lawrie and Schlag (2015), using ideas of Kenig and Merle (2008).

### Theorem – Côte, Kenig, Lawrie, Schlag (2015)

Let  $\mathbf{u}_0$  be such that  $E(\mathbf{u}_0) < 4k\pi$ . Then the solution  $\mathbf{u}(t)$  of (WMAP) with initial data  $\mathbf{u}(0) = \mathbf{u}_0$  exists globally and scatters in both time directions.

- True also in the non-equivariant setting: Sterbenz and Tataru (2010).

## Refined threshold

### Theorem – Côte, Kenig, Lawrie, Schlag (2015)

Let  $\mathbf{u}_0$  be such that  $E(\mathbf{u}_0) < 8k\pi$  and  $\lim_{r \rightarrow 0} u_0(r) = \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} u_0(r)$ . Then the solution  $\mathbf{u}(t)$  of (WMAP) with initial data  $\mathbf{u}(0) = \mathbf{u}_0$  exists globally and scatters in both time directions.

- If  $E(\mathbf{u}_0) \leq 8k\pi$ , then the assumption  $\lim_{r \rightarrow 0} u_0(r) = \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} u_0(r)$  is equivalent to the topological degree of  $u_0$  being equal to 0.
- For any  $\eta > 0$  there exists  $\mathbf{u}_0$  such that  $E(\mathbf{u}_0) < 8k\pi + \eta$  and the solution with initial data  $\mathbf{u}(0) = \mathbf{u}_0$  blows up in finite time.
- We are interested in a classification of solutions having the threshold energy  $E(\mathbf{u}) = 8k\pi = 2E(\mathbf{Q})$ .
- The *threshold theorem* is a weakened version of what would be a *soliton resolution theorem*.

It turns out that there exist non-scattering solutions of threshold energy.

### Theorem 1 – J. (2016)

Let  $k \geq 3$ . There exists a solution  $\mathbf{u} : (-\infty, T_0] \rightarrow \mathcal{E}$  of (WMAP),

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow -\infty} \left\| \mathbf{u}(t) - \left( -\mathbf{Q} + \mathbf{Q}_{\kappa|t|^{-\frac{2}{k-2}}} \right) \right\|_{\mathcal{E}} = 0, \quad \kappa \text{ constant } > 0.$$

- An analogous result holds for the critical radial Yang-Mills equation (exponential concentration rate); the same would be the case for (WMAP) with  $k = 2$ .
- Related works – concentration of one bubble:
  - ▶ Krieger, Schlag and Tataru (2008) (and extensions)
  - ▶ Raphaël and Rodnianski (2012).
- Strong interaction of bubbles: the second bubble could not concentrate without being “pushed” by the first one,
  - ▶ Martel and Raphaël (2015)
  - ▶ Nguyen Tien Vinh (2017).

There is only one possible dynamical behavior of a non-scattering solution.

### Theorem 2 – J. and Lawrie (2017)

Fix any equivariance class  $k \geq 2$ . Let  $\mathbf{u}(t) : (T_-, T_+) \rightarrow \mathcal{E}$  be a solution of (WMAP) such that

$$E(\mathbf{u}) = 2E(\mathbf{Q}) = 8\pi k.$$

Then  $T_- = -\infty$ ,  $T_+ = +\infty$  and one of the following alternatives holds:

- $\mathbf{u}(t)$  scatters in both time directions,
- $\mathbf{u}(t)$  scatters in one time direction; in the other time direction, there exist  $\iota \in \{-1, 1\}$  and continuous functions  $\mu(t), \lambda(t) > 0$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} & \|\mathbf{u}(t) - \iota(-\mathbf{Q}_{\mu(t)} + \mathbf{Q}_{\lambda(t)})\|_{\mathcal{E}} \rightarrow 0, \\ & \mu(t) \rightarrow \mu_0 \in (0, +\infty), \quad \lambda(t) \rightarrow 0 \text{ (at a specific rate)}. \end{aligned}$$

## Comments

- We obtain  $\lambda(t) \sim |t|^{-\frac{2}{k-2}}$  for  $k \geq 3$  and  $\exp(-Ct) \leq \lambda(t) \leq \exp(-t/C)$  for  $k = 2$
- In particular, the two-bubble solutions from Theorem 1 scatter in forward time, which provides an example of an orbit connecting different types of dynamical behavior for positive and negative times
- Non-existence of solutions which form a pure two-bubble in both time directions is reminiscent of the work of Martel and Merle for gKdV and seems to be a typical feature of models which are not completely integrable
- We conjecture that there exists a unique (up to rescaling and sign change) non-scattering solution of threshold energy
- Probably the only (almost) complete dynamical classification in a setting allowing more than one bubble, except for completely integrable models.



## Modulation method – Part 1

- We want to understand the evolution of solutions *close to a two-bubble*, that is  $\inf_{\mu, \lambda > 0} (\|\mathbf{u}(t) - (-\mathbf{Q}_\mu + \mathbf{Q}_\lambda)\|_\varepsilon + \lambda/\mu) \leq \eta \ll 1$ .
- We decompose  $\mathbf{u}(t) = -\mathbf{Q}_{\mu(t)} + \mathbf{Q}_{\lambda(t)} + \mathbf{g}(t)$ ; for the moment, we do not specify how  $\mu(t)$  and  $\lambda(t)$  are chosen. We write  $\mathbf{g}(t) = (g(t), \dot{g}(t))$ .
- Some notation:

$$\Lambda v := -\frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda} \left( v \left( \frac{\cdot}{\lambda} \right) \right) = r \partial_r v,$$

$$\Lambda_0 \dot{v} := -\frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda} \left( \frac{1}{\lambda} \dot{v} \left( \frac{\cdot}{\lambda} \right) \right) = \dot{v} + r \partial_r \dot{v},$$

$$L_\lambda := -\partial_r^2 - \frac{1}{r} \partial_r + k^2 \frac{\cos 2Q_\lambda}{r^2},$$

$$\langle v, w \rangle := \int_0^\infty v(r) w(r) r \, dr.$$

- $-L_\lambda$  is the linearization of  $\partial_r^2 u + \frac{1}{r} \partial_r u - \frac{k^2}{2r^2} \sin(2u)$  around  $u = Q_\lambda$  and it follows that  $L_\lambda(\Lambda Q_\lambda) = 0$ .

## Modulation method – Part 2

- Evolution of the error term; let  $f(u) := \frac{k^2}{2} \sin(2u)$ . Using  $\partial_r^2 Q_\mu + \frac{1}{r} \partial_r Q_\mu = \frac{1}{r^2} f(Q_\mu)$  and  $\partial_r^2 Q_\lambda + \frac{1}{r} \partial_r Q_\lambda = \frac{1}{r^2} f(Q_\lambda)$  we have

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_t \dot{g} &= \partial_t^2 u = \partial_r^2 u + \frac{1}{r} \partial_r u - \frac{1}{r^2} f(u) \\ &= \partial_r^2 g + \frac{1}{r} \partial_r g - \frac{1}{r^2} (f(Q_\lambda - Q_\mu + g) - f(Q_\lambda) + f(Q_\mu)) \\ &\simeq -L_\lambda g - \frac{1}{r^2} (f(-Q_\mu + Q_\lambda) - f(Q_\lambda) + f(Q_\mu)) + \dots\end{aligned}$$

- Since  $L_\lambda(\Lambda Q_\lambda) = 0$ , it is natural to compute  $\frac{d}{dt} \langle \frac{1}{\lambda} \Lambda Q_\lambda, \dot{g} \rangle$ . We obtain

$$\frac{d}{dt} \left\langle \frac{1}{\lambda(t)} \Lambda Q_{\lambda(t)}, \dot{g}(t) \right\rangle = -(8k^2 + o(1)) \frac{\lambda(t)^{k-1}}{\mu(t)^k} + O\left(\frac{\|\mathbf{g}(t)\|_{\mathcal{E}}^2}{\lambda(t)}\right).$$

- By the conservation of energy, we only get  $\|\mathbf{g}(t)\|_{\mathcal{E}}^2 \lesssim \frac{\lambda(t)^k}{\mu(t)^k}$ , so the equation above is useless.

## Part 3 – Raphaël-Szeftel virial correction

- We define an auxiliary function

$$b(t) := -\left\langle \frac{1}{\lambda(t)} \Lambda Q_{\lambda(t)}, \dot{g}(t) \right\rangle - \left\langle \dot{g}(t), \frac{1}{\lambda(t)} \Lambda_0 g(t) \right\rangle.$$

- Using specific structure of the quadratic terms in the equation for  $\partial_t \dot{g}(t)$ , we obtain a cancellation of the main terms and obtain

$$b'(t) \geq (8k^2 - c) \frac{\lambda(t)^{k-1}}{\mu(t)^k}, \quad c \text{ small.} \quad (1)$$

- If we choose the orthogonality condition  $\langle \Lambda Q_{\lambda(t)}, g(t) \rangle = 0$ , then standard computations yield  $\lambda'(t) \sim b(t)$ .
- Together with (1), this allows to obtain a lower bound on  $\lambda(t)$ , starting from an initial time  $t_0$  such that  $\frac{d}{dt}(\lambda(t_0)/\mu(t_0)) \geq 0$  (in fact we need  $b(t_0) \geq -c \|g(t_0)\|_{\mathcal{E}}$ ,  $c$  small).
- Bounds on  $\mu(t)$  and upper bounds on  $\lambda(t)$  are much easier to obtain.

# Proof of Theorem 1 – “backward time” construction

## Theorem 1 (weakened version)

Let  $k \geq 3$ . There exists a solution  $\mathbf{u} : (-\infty, T_0] \rightarrow \mathcal{E}$  of (WMAP) and continuous functions  $\mu(t), \lambda(t) > 0$  such that

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow -\infty} \left\| \mathbf{u}(t) - \left( -\mathbf{Q}_{\mu(t)} + \mathbf{Q}_{\lambda(t)} \right) \right\|_{\mathcal{E}} = 0, \quad \kappa \text{ constant } > 0,$$

with  $\mu(t) \sim 1$  and  $\lambda(t) \sim |t|^{-\frac{2}{k-2}}$ .

## Proof of Theorem 1 – “backward time” construction

- Key idea: construct a sequence of solutions  $\mathbf{u}_n(t)$  converging to a non-scattering solution.
- Let  $\lambda_{\text{app}}(t) := |t|^{-\frac{2}{k-2}}$ . Take  $T_n \rightarrow -\infty$ , and let  $\mathbf{u}_n(t)$  be the solution of (WMAp) for the initial data  $\mathbf{u}_n(T_n) = -\mathbf{Q} + \mathbf{Q}_{\lambda_{\text{app}}(T_n)}$ .
- Then  $\mathbf{u}_n(t) \simeq -\mathbf{Q}_{\mu_n(t)} + \mathbf{Q}_{\lambda_n(t)}$  for  $t \in [T_n, T_0]$ , and we have lower and upper bounds on  $\mu_n(t)$  and  $\lambda_n(t)$ , with  $T_0$  independent of  $n$ .
- After extraction of a subsequence,  $\mu_n(t) \rightarrow \mu(t)$ ,  $\lambda_n(t) \rightarrow \lambda(t)$  for all  $t \leq T_0$  and  $\mathbf{u}_n(T_0) \rightharpoonup \mathbf{u}_0$  weakly in  $\mathcal{E}$ .
- Let  $\mathbf{u}(t)$  be the solution of (WMAp) for the initial data  $\mathbf{u}(T_0) = \mathbf{u}_0$ . Using weak continuity properties of the flow, we obtain that  $\mathbf{u}(t)$  exists for  $t \in (-\infty, T_0]$  and

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow -\infty} \|\mathbf{u}(t) - (-\mathbf{Q}_{\mu(t)} + \mathbf{Q}_{\lambda(t)})\|_{\mathcal{E}} = 0.$$

- Time reversibility of the flow is crucial. This scheme of proof goes back to the works of Merle (1990) and Martel (2005).

## Proof of Theorem 2

### Theorem 2

Fix any equivariance class  $k \geq 2$ . Let  $\mathbf{u}(t) : (T_-, T_+) \rightarrow \mathcal{E}$  be a solution of (WMAP) such that

$$E(\mathbf{u}) = 2E(\mathbf{Q}) = 8\pi k.$$

Then  $T_- = -\infty$ ,  $T_+ = +\infty$  and one the following alternatives holds:

- $\mathbf{u}(t)$  scatters in both time directions,
- $\mathbf{u}(t)$  scatters in one time direction; in the other time direction, there exist  $\iota \in \{-1, 1\}$  and continuous functions  $\mu(t), \lambda(t) > 0$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} & \|\mathbf{u}(t) - \iota(-\mathbf{Q}_{\mu(t)} + \mathbf{Q}_{\lambda(t)})\|_{\mathcal{E}} \rightarrow 0, \\ & \mu(t) \rightarrow \mu_0 \in (0, +\infty), \quad \lambda(t) \rightarrow 0 \text{ (at a specific rate)}. \end{aligned}$$

## Proof of Theorem 2 – Part 1

- Let  $\mathbf{u} : [T_0, T_+) \rightarrow \mathcal{E}$  be a non-scattering solution such that  $E(\mathbf{u}) = 2E(\mathbf{Q})$ . By works of Struwe, Côte, and Jia and Kenig, we know that for some sequence  $T_n \rightarrow T_+$  we have

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \inf_{\mu, \lambda} \|\mathbf{u}(T_n) - \iota(-\mathbf{Q}_\mu + \mathbf{Q}_\lambda)\|_{\mathcal{E}} = 0.$$

- The main difficulty now is to exclude the possibility that a solution approaches a two-bubbles configuration an infinite number of times. We need a “one-pass lemma” (terminology of Nakanishi and Schlag).
- Convexity argument based on the localized virial identity:

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2} \|\partial_t \mathbf{u}(t)\|_{L^2}^2 dt &\leq |\langle \partial_t \mathbf{u}, \chi_{RR} \partial_r \mathbf{u} \rangle(\tau_1)| + |\langle \partial_t \mathbf{u}, \chi_{RR} \partial_r \mathbf{u} \rangle(\tau_2)| \\ &\quad + \int_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2} \Omega_R(\mathbf{u}(t)) dt \end{aligned}$$

- The last term comes from localizing the virial identity and has to be absorbed by the left hand side.

## Proof of Theorem 2 – Part 2

$$\int_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2} \|\partial_t \mathbf{u}(t)\|_{L^2}^2 dt \leq |\langle \partial_t \mathbf{u}, \chi_{Rr} \partial_r \mathbf{u} \rangle(\tau_1)| + |\langle \partial_t \mathbf{u}, \chi_{Rr} \partial_r \mathbf{u} \rangle(\tau_2)| \\ + \int_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2} \Omega_R(\mathbf{u}(t)) dt$$

- Suppose that the one pass lemma fails. We take  $\tau_1$  and  $\tau_2$  such that  $\mathbf{u}(\tau_1)$  and  $\mathbf{u}(\tau_2)$  are close to two-bubble configurations. The time interval in between is divided into regions where  $\mathbf{u}(t)$  is close to a two-bubble (“bad” intervals) and regions where it is not (“good” intervals).
- On the union of the good intervals, the solution has a compactness property, which allows us to deal with the error term  $\Omega_R(\mathbf{u}(t))$ .
- On each bad interval, we use the modulation method and estimates on the growth of the modulation parameters.



Thank you!