Sidon sets in bounded orthonormal systems

Gilles Pisier Texas A&M University and IMJ-UPMC May 2016

 $\Lambda \subset {\rm I\!\!Z}$ is Sidon if

$$\sum_{n\in\Lambda}a_ne^{int}\in C(\mathbf{T})\Rightarrow\sum_{n\in\Lambda}|a_n|<\infty$$

 $\Lambda \subset {\rm I\!\!Z}$ is randomly Sidon if

$$\sum_{n\in\Lambda}\pm a_n e^{int}\in C(\mathsf{T}) \ a.s.\Rightarrow \sum_{n\in\Lambda}|a_n|<\infty$$

 $\Lambda \subset {\rm I\!\!Z}$ is subGaussian if

$$\sum_{n\in\Lambda}|a_n|^2<\infty\Rightarrow\int\exp|\sum_{n\in\Lambda}a_ne^{int}|^2<\infty.$$

Obviously Sidon \Rightarrow randomly Sidon Rudin (1961): Sidon \Rightarrow subGaussian Rider (1975) : Sidon \Leftrightarrow randomly Sidon P (1976) : Sidon \Leftrightarrow subGaussian

Results hold more generally for any subset $\Lambda \subset \widehat{G}$ when G is any compact Abelian group Obviously Sidon \Rightarrow randomly Sidon Rudin (1961): Sidon \Rightarrow subGaussian Rider (1975) : Sidon \Leftrightarrow randomly Sidon (Note: This refines Drury's celebrated 1970 union Theorem) P (1976) : Sidon \Leftrightarrow subGaussian

Results hold more generally for any subset $\Lambda \subset \widehat{G}$ when G is any compact Abelian group

Examples

Hadamard lacunary sequences

$$n_1 < n_2 < \cdots < n_k, \cdots$$

such that

$$\inf_k \frac{n_{k+1}}{n_k} > 1$$

Explicit example

$$n_k = 2^k$$

Basic Example: Quasi-independent sets Λ is quasi-independent if all the sums

$$\{\sum_{n\in A}n\mid A\subset \Lambda, |A|<\infty\}$$

are distinct numbers

 $\mathsf{quasi-independent} \Rightarrow \mathsf{Sidon}$

Arithmetic characterization

P. (1983) A set Λ is **Sidon** IFF $\exists \delta > 0$ such that $\forall A \subset \Lambda$ ($|A| < \infty$) $\exists B \subset A$ quasi-independent with $|B| \ge \delta |A|$. Proof uses random Fourier series and the metric entropy condition

Most Delicate part is the IF part

Bourgain (1985) gave a different proof and proved the following strengthening:

A is **Sidon** IFF $\exists \delta > 0$

such that for any probability Q on $\Lambda \exists B \subset \Lambda$ **quasi-independent** such that

 $Q(B) \geq \delta$

Arithmetic characterization

P. (1983) A set Λ is **Sidon** IFF $\exists \delta > 0$ such that $\forall A \subset \Lambda$ ($|A| < \infty$) $\exists B \subset A$ quasi-independent with $|B| \ge \delta |A|$. Proof uses random Fourier series and the metric entropy condition

Most Delicate part is the IF part

Bourgain (1985) gave a different proof and proved the following strengthening:

Λ is **Sidon** IFF $\exists \delta > 0$

such that for any probability Q on $\Lambda \exists B \subset \Lambda$ **quasi-independent** such that

 $Q(B) \geq \delta$

Arithmetic characterization

P. (1983) A set Λ is **Sidon** IFF $\exists \delta > 0$ such that $\forall A \subset \Lambda$ ($|A| < \infty$) $\exists B \subset A$ quasi-independent with $|B| \ge \delta |A|$. Proof uses random Fourier series and the metric entropy condition

Most Delicate part is the IF part

Bourgain (1985) gave a different proof and proved the following strengthening:

A is **Sidon** IFF $\exists \delta > 0$

such that for any probability Q on $\Lambda \exists B \subset \Lambda$ quasi-independent such that

$$Q(B) \geq \delta$$

P. (1983) A set Λ is **Sidon** IFF $\exists \delta > 0$ such that $\forall A \subset \Lambda$ ($|A| < \infty$) $\exists B \subset A$ quasi-independent with $|B| \ge \delta |A|$. Proof uses random Fourier series and the metric entropy condition

Most Delicate part is the IF part

Bourgain (1985) gave a different proof and proved the following strengthening:

A is **Sidon** IFF $\exists \delta > 0$

such that for any probability Q on $\Lambda \exists B \subset \Lambda$ quasi-independent such that

$$Q(B) \geq \delta$$

Is every Sidon set a finite union of quasi-independent sets ?

This is reduced to a purely combinatorial problem whether for a finite set $\boldsymbol{\Lambda}$

$$\begin{cases} \forall A \subset \Lambda \ (|A| < \infty) \\ \exists B \subset A \ \text{quasi-independent } with \ |B| \ge \delta |A| \end{cases}$$

implies

$$\exists k = k(\delta) \quad \Lambda = \cup_1^k B_k \quad \text{with } B_k \text{ quasi-independent}$$

伺 ト く ヨ ト く ヨ ト

Is every Sidon set a finite union of quasi-independent sets ?

This is reduced to a purely combinatorial problem whether for a finite set $\boldsymbol{\Lambda}$

$$\begin{cases} \forall A \subset \Lambda \ (|A| < \infty) \\ \exists B \subset A \text{ quasi-independent } with \ |B| \ge \delta |A| \end{cases}$$

implies

$$\exists k = k(\delta) \quad \Lambda = \cup_1^k B_k \quad \text{ with } B_k \text{ quasi-independent}$$

Paul Erdos

(met in 1983 in Warsaw)

got interested in the problem and worked on it as well as on variants of the problem in **graph theory**:

cf.

P. Erdös, J. Nesetril and V. Rödl,

On Pisier type problems and results, in Mathematics of Ramsey Theory, Algorithms and Combinatorics, Vol. 5, Springer 1990)

P. Erdös, J. Nesetril and V. Rödl,

A remark on Pisier type theorems, (1996)

...but the problem remains open !

Paul Erdos

(met in 1983 in Warsaw)

got interested in the problem and worked on it as well as on variants of the problem in **graph theory**:

cf.

P. Erdös, J. Nesetril and V. Rödl,

On Pisier type problems and results, in Mathematics of Ramsey Theory, Algorithms and Combinatorics, Vol. 5, Springer 1990)

P. Erdös, J. Nesetril and V. Rödl,

A remark on Pisier type theorems, (1996)

...but the problem remains open !

Main known cases

 $G = (\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^{\mathbb{N}}$ Malliavin-Malliavin, 1967 [using Horn's theorem]

for p prime

Extended by Bourgain (1983) to $p = p_1 \cdots p_n$ with p_k distinct primes Bourgain and Lewko (arxiv 2015) wondered whether a group environment is needed for all the preceding

Question

What remains valid if $\Lambda \subset \widehat{G}$ is replaced by a *uniformly bounded* orthonormal system ?

Let $\Lambda = \{\varphi_n\} \subset L_{\infty}(T, m)$ orthonormal in $L_2(T, m)$ ((T, m) any probability space)

(i) We say that (φ_n) is Sidon with constant C if for any n and any complex sequence (a_k) we have

$$\sum_{1}^{n} |a_{k}| \leq C \| \sum_{1}^{n} a_{k} \varphi_{k} \|_{\infty}.$$

 (ii) We say that (φ_n) is randomly Sidon with constant C if for any n and any complex sequence (a_k) we have

$$\sum_{1}^{n} |a_{k}| \leq C \mathsf{Average}_{\pm 1} \| \sum_{1}^{n} \pm a_{k} \varphi_{k} \|_{\infty},$$

(iii) Let k ≥ 1. We say that (φ_n) is ⊗^k-Sidon with constant C if the system {φ_n(t₁) ··· φ_n(t_k)} (or equivalently {φ_n^{⊗k}}) is Sidon with constant C in L_∞(T^k, m^{⊗k}).
Now assume merely that {φ_n} ⊂ L₂(T, m).
(iv) We say that (φ_n) is subGaussian with constant C (or C-subGaussian) if for any n and any complex sequence (a_k) we have

$$\|\sum_{1}^{n} a_{k} \varphi_{k}\|_{\psi_{2}} \leq C (\sum |a_{k}|^{2})^{1/2}.$$

Here

$$\psi_2(x) = \exp x^2 - 1$$

and $||f||_{\psi_2}$ is the norm in associated Orlicz space **Again:** We say that $\{\varphi_n\} \subset L_2(T, m)$ is subGaussian with constant *C* (or *C*-subGaussian) if for any *n* and any complex sequence (a_k) we have

$$\|\sum_{1}^{n}a_{k}\varphi_{k}\|_{\psi_{2}}\leq C(\sum|a_{k}|^{2})^{1/2}.$$

Equivalently, assuming w.l.o.g. $\int \varphi_k = 0, \forall k \exists C \text{ such that } \forall (a_k)$

$$\int \exp Re(\sum_{1}^{n} a_k \varphi_k) \leq \exp C^2 \sum |a_k|^2$$

Important remark: Standard i.i.d. (real or complex) Gaussian random variables are subGaussian (Fundamental example !)

Easy Observation : Sidon \Rightarrow subGaussian

By a much more delicate example Bourgain and Lewko proved:

subGaussian
eq Sidon

However, they proved

Theorem

 $subGaussian \Rightarrow \otimes^5 - Sidon$

Recall \otimes^5 – Sidon means

$$\sum_1^n |a_k| \leq C \|\sum_1^n a_k arphi_k(t_1) \cdots arphi_k(t_5)\|_{L_\infty(T^5)}.$$

This generalizes my 1976 result that subGaussian implies Sidon for characters $(\varphi_k(t_1) \cdots \varphi_k(t_5) = \varphi_k(t_1 \cdots t_5) !)$ They asked whether 5 can be replaced by 2 which would be optimal

Easy Observation : Sidon \Rightarrow subGaussian

By a much more delicate example Bourgain and Lewko proved:

subGaussian eq Sidon

However, they proved

Theorem

 $subGaussian \Rightarrow \otimes^5 - Sidon$

Recall \otimes^5 – Sidon means

$$\sum_1^n |a_k| \leq C \|\sum_1^n a_k arphi_k(t_1) \cdots arphi_k(t_5)\|_{L_\infty(T^5)}.$$

This generalizes my 1976 result that subGaussian implies Sidon for characters $(\varphi_k(t_1) \cdots \varphi_k(t_5) = \varphi_k(t_1 \cdots t_5) !)$ They asked whether 5 can be replaced by 2 which would be optimal Easy Observation : Sidon \Rightarrow subGaussian

By a much more delicate example Bourgain and Lewko proved:

subGaussian eq Sidon

However, they proved

Theorem

$$subGaussian \Rightarrow \otimes^5 - Sidon$$

Recall \otimes^5 – Sidon means

$$\sum_1^n |a_k| \leq C \|\sum_1^n a_k arphi_k(t_1) \cdots arphi_k(t_5)\|_{L_\infty(T^5)}.$$

This generalizes my 1976 result that subGaussian implies Sidon for characters $(\varphi_k(t_1) \cdots \varphi_k(t_5) = \varphi_k(t_1 \cdots t_5) !)$ They asked whether 5 can be replaced by 2 which would be optimal Indeed, it is so.

Theorem

For bounded orthonormal systems

$$subGaussian \Rightarrow \otimes^2 - Sidon$$

Recall \otimes^2 – Sidon means $\sum_1^n |a_k| \le C \|\sum_1^n a_k \varphi_k(t_1) \varphi_k(t_2)\|_{L_{\infty}(T^2)}.$

• = • • = •

Actually, we have more generally:

Theorem (1)

Let $(\psi_n^1), (\psi_n^2)$ be systems biorthogonal respectively to $(\varphi_n^1), (\varphi_n^2)$ on probability spaces $(T_1, m_1), (T_2, m_2)$ resp. and uniformly bounded respectively by C'_1, C'_2 , If $(\varphi_n^1), (\varphi_n^2)$ are subGaussian with constants C_1, C_2 then

$$\sum |a_n| \leq \alpha \operatorname{ess} \sup_{(t_1, t_2) \in \mathcal{T}_1 \times \mathcal{T}_2} |\sum a_n \psi_n^1(t_1) \psi_n^2(t_2)|,$$

where α is a constant depending only on C_1, C_2, C'_1, C'_2 .

To illustrate by a concrete (but trivial) example: take $\varphi_n^1 = \varphi_n^2 = g_n$ and $\psi_n^1 = \psi_n^2 = \text{sign}(g_n)$

The key new ingredient is a corollary of a powerful result due to **Talagrand Acta (1985)** (combined with a soft Hahn-Banach argument)

Let (g_n) be an i.i.d. sequence of standard (real or complex) Gaussian random variables

Theorem

Let (φ_n) be C-subGaussian in $L_1(T, m)$. Then $\exists T : L_1(\Omega, \mathbb{P}) \to L_1(T, m)$ with $||T|| \leq KC$ (K a numerical constant) such that

$$\forall n \quad T(g_n) = \varphi_n$$

Let $T \in L_1(m_1) \otimes L_1(m_2)$ (algebraic \otimes) say $T = \sum x_j \otimes y_j$ then the

projective and injective tensor product norm denoted respectively by $\|\cdot\|_\wedge$ and $\|\cdot\|_\vee$ are very explicitly described by

$$\|T\|_{\wedge} = \int |\sum x_j(t_1)y_j(t_2)|dm_1(t_1)dm_2(t_2)|$$

 $\|T\|_{\vee} = \sup\{|\sum \langle x_j, \psi_1 \rangle \langle y_j, \psi_2 \rangle| \mid \|\psi_1\|_{\infty} \leq 1, \|\psi_2\|_{\infty}\}.$

Theorem

Let (φ_n^1) and (φ_n^2) $(1 \le n \le N)$ are subGaussian with constants C_1, C_2 . Then for any $0 < \delta < 1$ there is a decomposition in $L_1(m_1) \otimes L_1(m_2)$ of the form

$$\sum_{1}^{N}\varphi_{n}^{1}\otimes\varphi_{n}^{2}=t+r$$

satisfying

 $\|t\|_{\wedge} \leq w(\delta)$ $\|r\|_{\vee} \leq \delta,$

where $w(\delta)$ depends only on δ and C_1, C_2 . Moreover

$$w(\delta) = O(\log((C_1C_2)/\delta))$$

Proof reduces to the case $\varphi_n^1 = \varphi_n^2 = g_n$

Proof of Theorem (1)

Let
$$f = \sum_{n \neq n} a_n \psi_n^1(t_1) \psi_n^2(t_2)$$

 $|a_n| = s_n a_n$

Note: (φ_n) subGaussian \Rightarrow $(s_n\varphi_n)$ subGaussian (same constant)

$$S = \sum_{1}^{N} s_n \varphi_n^1 \otimes \varphi_n^2 = t + r$$

 $\langle f, S \rangle = \sum |a_n|$

Therefore

$$\sum |a_n| = \langle f, t+r \rangle \le |\langle f, t \rangle| + |\langle f, r \rangle|$$

 $\leq w(\delta) \|f\|_{\infty} + \sum |a_n| |\langle \psi_n^1 \otimes \psi_n^2, r \rangle| \leq w(\delta) \|f\|_{\infty} + (\delta C_1' C_2') \sum |a_n|$

and hence

$$\sum |a_n| \leq (1 - \delta C_1' C_2')^{-1} w(\delta) \|f\|_{\infty}$$

About Randomly Sidon

Bourgain and Lewko noticed that Slepian's classical comparison Lemma for Gaussian processes implies that randomly \otimes^k -Sidon and randomly Sidon are the same property, not implying Sidon. However, we could prove that this implies \otimes^4 -Sidon:

Theorem (2)

Let (φ_n, ψ_n) be biorthogonal systems both bounded in L_{∞} . The following are equivalent:

- (i) The system (ψ_n) is randomly Sidon.
- (ii) The system (ψ_n) is \otimes^4 -Sidon.
- (iii) The system (ψ_n) is \otimes^k -Sidon for all $k \ge 4$.
- (iv) The system (ψ_n) is \otimes^k -Sidon for some $k \ge 4$.

This generalizes Rider's result that randomly Sidon implies Sidon for characters

Open question: What about k = 2 or k = 3?

Non-commutative case

G compact non-commutative group \widehat{G} the set of distinct irreps, $d_{\pi} = \dim(H_{\pi})$ $\Lambda \subset \widehat{G}$ is called Sidon if $\exists C$ such that for any finitely supported family (a_{π}) with $a_{\pi} \in M_{d_{\pi}}$ $(\pi \in \Lambda)$ we have

$$\sum_{\pi\in\Lambda} d_{\pi}\mathrm{tr}|a_{\pi}| \leq C \|\sum_{\pi\in\Lambda} d_{\pi}\mathrm{tr}(\pi a_{\pi})\|_{\infty}.$$

 $\Lambda \subset \widehat{G}$ is called randomly Sidon if $\exists C$ such that for any finitely supported family (a_{π}) with $a_{\pi} \in M_{d_{\pi}}$ $(\pi \in \Lambda)$ we have

$$\sum_{\pi\in\Lambda} d_{\pi}\mathrm{tr}|a_{\pi}| \leq C \mathbb{E} \|\sum_{\pi\in\Lambda} d_{\pi}\mathrm{tr}(\varepsilon_{\pi}\pi a_{\pi})\|_{\infty}$$

where (ε_{π}) are an independent family such that each ε_{π} is uniformly distributed over $O(d_{\pi})$.

Important Remark (easy proof) Equivalent definitions:

- unitary matrices (u_{π}) uniformly distributed over $U(d_{\pi})$
- Gaussian random matrices (g_{π}) normalized so that $\mathbb{E}||g_{\pi}|| \approx 2$ $(\{d_{\pi}^{1/2}g_{\pi} \mid \pi \in \Lambda, 1 \leq i, j \leq d_{\pi}\}$ forms a standard Gaussian (real or complex) i.i.d. family

Rider (1975) extended all results previously mentioned to arbitrary compact groups

Note however that the details of his proof that randomly Sidon implies Sidon (solving the non-commutative union problem) never appeared

I plan to remedy this on arxiv soon

Assume given a sequence of finite dimensions d_n .

For each *n* let (φ_n) be a random matrix of size $d_n \times d_n$ on (T, m). We call this a "matricial system".

Let g_n be an independent sequence of random $d_n \times d_n$ -matrices, such that $\{d_n^{1/2}g_n(i,j) \mid 1 \le i, j \le d_n\}$ are i.i.d. normalized \mathbb{C} -valued Gaussian random variables. Note $\|g_n(i,j)\|_2 = d_n^{-1/2}$.

The **subGaussian condition** becomes: for any N and $y_n \in M_{d_n}$ $(n \leq N)$ we have

$$\|\sum d_n \mathrm{tr}(y_n \varphi_n)\|_{\psi_2} \le C (\sum d_n \mathrm{tr}|y_n|^2)^{1/2} = \|\sum d_n \mathrm{tr}(y_n g_n)\|_2.$$
(1)

In other words, $\{d_n^{1/2}\varphi_n(i,j) \mid n \ge 1, 1 \le i, j \le d_n\}$ is a subGaussian system of functions. The **uniform boundedness condition** becomes

$$\exists C' \forall n \quad \|\varphi_n\|_{L_{\infty}(M_{d_n})} \leq C'.$$
(2)

As for the orthonormality condition it becomes

$$\int \varphi_n(i,j)\overline{\varphi_{n'}(k,\ell)} = d_n^{-1}\delta_{n,n'}\delta_{i,k}\delta_{j,\ell}.$$
(3)

In other words, $\{d_n^{1/2}\varphi_n(i,j) \mid n \ge 1, 1 \le i, j \le d_n\}$ is an orthonormal system.

The definition of \otimes^k -**Sidon** it now means that the family of *matrix* products $(\varphi_n(t_1) \cdots \varphi_n(t_k))$ is Sidon on $(T, m)^{\otimes^k}$

Theorem (3)

Theorems (1) and (2) are still valid with the corresponding assumptions:

- subGaussian implies \otimes^2 -Sidon
- randomly subGaussian is equivalent to ⊗^k-Sidon

Example of application

Let $\chi \ge 1$ be a constant. Let T_n be the set of $n \times n$ -matrices $a = [a_{ij}]$ with $a_{ij} = \pm 1/\sqrt{n}$. Let

$$A_n^{\chi} = \{ a \in T_n \mid \|a\| \leq \chi \}.$$

This set includes the famous Hadamard matrices. We have then

Corollary

There is a numerical $\chi \ge 1$ such that for some C we have

$$\forall n \geq 1 \ \forall x \in M_n \quad \mathrm{tr}|x| \leq C \sup_{a',a'' \in A_n^{\mathbb{X}}} |\mathrm{tr}(xa'a'')|.$$

Equivalently, denoting $A_n^{\chi}A_n^{\chi} = \{a'a'' \mid a', a'' \in A_n^{\chi}\}$ its absolutely convex hull satisfies

$$(\chi)^2$$
absconv $[A^\chi_n A^\chi_n] \subset B_{\mathcal{M}_n} \subset \mathcal{C}$ absconv $[A^\chi_n A^\chi_n]$

Curiously, even the case when $|\Lambda|=1$ (only a single irrep) is interesting

The simplest (and prototypical) example of this situation with $|\Lambda_n| = 1$ is the case when $G_n = U(n)$ the group of unitary $n \times n$ -matrices, and Λ_n is the singleton formed of the irreducible representation (in short irrep) defining U(n) as acting on \mathbb{C}^n . Sets of this kind and various generalizations were tackled early on by Rider under the name "local lacunary sets"

The next Theorem of course is significant only if $\dim(\pi_n) o \infty$

Theorem (Characterizing SubGaussian characters)

Let G_n be compact groups, let π_n ∈ G_n be nontrivial irreps, let χ_n = χ_{π_n} as well as d_n = d_{π_n}. The following are equivalent.
(i) ∃C such that the singletons {π_n} ⊂ G_n are Sidon with constant C, i.e. we have

$$\forall n \; \forall a \in M_{d_n} \quad \mathrm{tr}|a| \leq C \sup_{g \in G} |\mathrm{tr}(a\pi_n(g))|.$$

(ii) $\exists C \text{ such that } \forall n \quad ||\chi_n||_{\psi_2} \leq C.$ (iii) For each (or some) $0 < \delta < 1$ there is $0 < \theta < 1$ such that

$$\forall n \quad m_{G_n}\{\operatorname{Re}(\chi_n) > \delta d_n\} \leq e\theta^{d_n^2}.$$

(iv) $\exists C \text{ such that} \\ \forall n \quad d_n \leq C \int_{U(d_n)} \sup_{g \in G_n} |\operatorname{tr}(u\pi_n(g))| m_{U(d_n)}(du).$

Although I never had a concrete example, I believed naively for many years that this Theorem could be applied to finite groups. To my surprise, Emmanuel Breuillard showed me that it is not so. By a Theorem of Jordan, any finite group $\Gamma \subset U(d)$ (Breuillard extended this to amenable subgroups of U(d)) has an Abelian subgroup of index at most (d + 1)! This implies for any representation $\pi : G \to U(d)$ with finite range

$$\int_{U(d)} \sup_{g \in G} |\mathrm{tr}(u\pi(g))| m_{U(d)}(du) \le c(d\log(d))^{1/2} << d$$

and also

$$\|\chi_{\pi}\|_{\psi_2} \ge c\sqrt{d/\log(d)} >> 1.$$