

Filling metric spaces

Alexander Nabutovsky

Department of Mathematics, University of Toronto

March 8, 2019

Theorem

(M. Gromov) Let M^n be a closed manifold. Consider its (isometric) Kuratowski embedding in $L^\infty(M)$. Then M^n bounds in its $c(n)\text{vol}^{\frac{1}{n}}(M)$ -neighbourhood. In other terms,

$$\text{FillRad}(M^n) \leq c(n)\text{vol}^{\frac{1}{n}}(M^n).$$

Theorem

(M. Gromov) Let M^n be a closed manifold. Consider its (isometric) Kuratowski embedding in $L^\infty(M)$. Then M^n bounds in its $c(n)\text{vol}^{\frac{1}{n}}(M)$ -neighbourhood. In other terms, $\text{FillRad}(M^n) \leq c(n)\text{vol}^{\frac{1}{n}}(M^n)$.

Essential manifolds: A class of closed non-simply connected manifolds that includes all non-simply connected closed surfaces, $\mathbb{R}P^n$, aspherical manifolds (including tori), etc.

Theorem

(M. Gromov) Let M^n be a closed manifold. Consider its (isometric) Kuratowski embedding in $L^\infty(M)$. Then M^n bounds in its $c(n)\text{vol}^{\frac{1}{n}}(M)$ -neighbourhood. In other terms,
 $\text{FillRad}(M^n) \leq c(n)\text{vol}^{\frac{1}{n}}(M^n)$.

Essential manifolds: A class of closed non-simply connected manifolds that includes all non-simply connected closed surfaces, $\mathbb{R}P^n$, aspherical manifolds (including tori), etc.

M^n is *essential* if the classifying map $f : M^n \rightarrow B\pi_1(M^n)$ satisfies $f_*([M^n]) \neq 0 \in H_n(B\pi_1(M^n))$.

Here: $B\pi_1(M^n)$ is the aspherical space with the fundamental group $\pi_1(M^n)$; f_* homomorphism of homology groups induced by f ; if M^n is non-orientable, one considers homology groups with \mathbb{Z}_2 coefficients; $[M^n]$ is the fundamental homology class.

Corollary

(M. Gromov) Let M^n be an essential manifold. Then the length of the shortest non-contractible closed curve does not exceed
 $6 \text{FillRad}(M^n) \leq \text{const}(n) \text{vol}^{\frac{1}{n}}(M^n).$

Corollary

(M. Gromov) Let M^n be an essential manifold. Then the length of the shortest non-contractible closed curve does not exceed $6\text{FillRad}(M^n) \leq \text{const}(n)\text{vol}^{\frac{1}{n}}(M^n)$.

Proof (in case M^n aspherical): Fill M^n by chain W^{n+1} in $\text{FillRad}(M^n)$ -neighbourhood of M^n in L^∞ . Proceed by contradiction. Assume not.

Corollary

(M. Gromov) Let M^n be an essential manifold. Then the length of the shortest non-contractible closed curve does not exceed $6\text{FillRad}(M^n) \leq \text{const}(n)\text{vol}^{\frac{1}{n}}(M^n)$.

Proof (in case M^n aspherical): Fill M^n by chain W^{n+1} in $\text{FillRad}(M^n)$ -neighbourhood of M^n in L^∞ . Proceed by contradiction. Assume not. Each closed curve of length $\leq 6\text{FillRad}(M^n)$ is contractible. One can bring this assumption to a contradiction by constructing an (impossible!) retraction of W^{n+1} to M^n .

Corollary

(M. Gromov) Let M^n be an essential manifold. Then the length of the shortest non-contractible closed curve does not exceed $6\text{FillRad}(M^n) \leq \text{const}(n)\text{vol}^{\frac{1}{n}}(M^n)$.

Proof (in case M^n aspherical): Fill M^n by chain W^{n+1} in $\text{FillRad}(M^n)$ -neighbourhood of M^n in L^∞ . Proceed by contradiction. Assume not. Each closed curve of length $\leq 6\text{FillRad}(M^n)$ is contractible. One can bring this assumption to a contradiction by constructing an (impossible!) retraction of W^{n+1} to M^n . Take a fine triangulation of W^{n+1} . Send vertices to the closest vertices in M^n , edges to geodesics in M^n . Triangle inequality implies that the image of each edge has length $\leq 2\text{FillRad}$. the image of each boundary of a 2-simplex has length $\leq 6\text{FillRad}$. All are contractible.

Corollary

(M. Gromov) Let M^n be an essential manifold. Then the length of the shortest non-contractible closed curve does not exceed $6\text{FillRad}(M^n) \leq \text{const}(n)\text{vol}^{\frac{1}{n}}(M^n)$.

Proof (in case M^n aspherical): Fill M^n by chain W^{n+1} in $\text{FillRad}(M^n)$ -neighbourhood of M^n in L^∞ . Proceed by contradiction. Assume not. Each closed curve of length $\leq 6\text{FillRad}(M^n)$ is contractible. One can bring this assumption to a contradiction by constructing an (impossible!) retraction of W^{n+1} to M^n . Take a fine triangulation of W^{n+1} . Send vertices to the closest vertices in M^n , edges to geodesics in M^n . Triangle inequality implies that the image of each edge has length $\leq 2\text{FillRad}$. the image of each boundary of a 2-simplex has length $\leq 6\text{FillRad}$. All are contractible. Hence there is extension to the 2-skeleton. Therefore, there is an extension to all W^{n+1} because of the assumed asphericity of M^n (higher-dimensional obstructions living in $\pi_i(M^n)$, $i > 1$, all vanish).

Predcessors: H. Federer-W.Fleming, J. Michael-L. Simon

Followers: S. Wenger, L. Guth

Larry Guth: Two important advances solving two Gromov's conjectures:

Predecessors: H. Federer-W.Fleming, J. Michael-L. Simon

Followers: S. Wenger, L. Guth

Larry Guth: Two important advances solving two Gromov's conjectures:

1. First, $FillRad(M^n) \leq 1$ follows already from the assumption that the volume of each metric ball of radius 1 is less than some $const(n)$ rather than assuming $Vol(M^n) \leq const(n)$.

Predecessors: H. Federer-W.Fleming, J. Michael-L. Simon

Followers: S. Wenger, L. Guth

Larry Guth: Two important advances solving two Gromov's conjectures:

1. First, $FillRad(M^n) \leq 1$ follows already from the assumption that the volume of each metric ball of radius 1 is less than some $const(n)$ rather than assuming $Vol(M^n) \leq const(n)$.
 2. The assumption that the volume of all balls of radius 1 are small yields more: One can conclude that the $(n - 1)$ -dimensional Urysohn width of M^n is less than 1.
- Urysohn $(n - 1)$ -dimensional width, UW_{n-1} , of X : the infimum of d such that there exists a continuous map $X \rightarrow K^{n-1}$ such that K^{n-1} is a $(n - 1)$ -dim CW-complex, and for each $y \in K^{n-1}$
- $$diam(F^{-1}(y)) \leq d.$$

Predecessors: H. Federer-W.Fleming, J. Michael-L. Simon

Followers: S. Wenger, L. Guth

Larry Guth: Two important advances solving two Gromov's conjectures:

1. First, $FillRad(M^n) \leq 1$ follows already from the assumption that the volume of each metric ball of radius 1 is less than some $const(n)$ rather than assuming $Vol(M^n) \leq const(n)$.
2. The assumption that the volume of all balls of radius 1 are small yields more: One can conclude that the $(n - 1)$ -dimensional Urysohn width of M^n is less than 1.

Urysohn $(n - 1)$ -dimensional width, UW_{n-1} , of X : the infimum of d such that there exists a continuous map $X \rightarrow K^{n-1}$ such that K^{n-1} is a $(n - 1)$ -dim CW-complex, and for each $y \in K^{n-1}$ $diam(F^{-1}(y)) \leq d$. Informally: If volumes of all balls of radius 1 in M^n are sufficiently small, then M^n is close to a $(n - 1)$ -dimensional CW-complex.

Theorem

(L. Guth). There exists $\epsilon_n > 0$ with the following property: Assume that each metric ball of radius 1 in a closed Riemannian manifold M^n has volume less than ϵ_n . Then the Urysohn $(n - 1)$ -width of M^n is less than or equal to 1.

Problems :

1. (Gromov-Guth) Is this result true for compact n -dimensional metric spaces with n -dimensional Hausdorff measure instead of the volume?

Problems :

1. (Gromov-Guth) Is this result true for compact n -dimensional metric spaces with n -dimensional Hausdorff measure instead of the volume? m -dimensional Hausdorff content $HC_m(K)$ of a compact set K in X :

The infimum over all coverings of K by (closed) metric balls of radii r_i in X of $\sum_i r_i^m$.

Problems :

1. (Gromov-Guth) Is this result true for compact n -dimensional metric spaces with n -dimensional Hausdorff measure instead of the volume? m -dimensional Hausdorff content $HC_m(K)$ of a compact set K in X :

The infimum over all coverings of K by (closed) metric balls of radii r_i in X of $\sum_i r_i^m$.

Finite for all m regardless of $\dim K$: Can always cover by one metric ball. For m -dimensional K $HC_m(K) \leq$ the m -Hausdorff measure of K .

Problems :

1. (Gromov-Guth) Is this result true for compact n -dimensional metric spaces with n -dimensional Hausdorff measure instead of the volume? m -dimensional Hausdorff content $HC_m(K)$ of a compact set K in X :

The infimum over all coverings of K by (closed) metric balls of radii r_i in X of $\sum_i r_i^m$.

Finite for all m regardless of $\dim K$: Can always cover by one metric ball. For m -dimensional K $HC_m(K) \leq$ the m -Hausdorff measure of K .

2. (Guth) Is it true that there exists $\epsilon_m > 0$ with the following property: Let X be a compact metric space such that the m -dimensional Hausdorff content of each metric ball of radius 1 is less than ϵ_m . Then $UW_{m-1}(X) \leq 1$.

Main result: Guth's conjecture is true:

Theorem

(Y. Liokumovich, B. Lishak, A.N., R. Rotman) There exists a positive ϵ_m with the following property. Let X be a compact (or even boundedly compact) metric space. Assume that for some positive R $HC_m(B) \leq \epsilon_m R^m$ for each metric ball B of radius R in X . Then $UW_{m-1}(X) \leq R$.

Main result: Guth's conjecture is true:

Theorem

(Y. Liokumovich, B. Lishak, A.N., R. Rotman) There exists a positive ϵ_m with the following property. Let X be a compact (or even boundedly compact) metric space. Assume that for some positive R $HC_m(B) \leq \epsilon_m R^m$ for each metric ball B of radius R in X . Then $UW_{m-1}(X) \leq R$.

Corollary

For each compact metric space X
$$UW_{m-1}(X) \leq \text{const}(m) HC_m^{\frac{1}{m}}(X).$$

Main result: Guth's conjecture is true:

Theorem

(Y. Liokumovich, B. Lishak, A.N., R. Rotman) There exists a positive ϵ_m with the following property. Let X be a compact (or even boundedly compact) metric space. Assume that for some positive R $HC_m(B) \leq \epsilon_m R^m$ for each metric ball B of radius R in X . Then $UW_{m-1}(X) \leq R$.

Corollary

For each compact metric space X
$$UW_{m-1}(X) \leq \text{const}(m) HC_m^{\frac{1}{m}}(X).$$

Motivation(s):

1. Even for Riemannian manifolds this gives an intrinsic metric criterion when a n -dimensional closed or complete non-compact manifold is close not merely to a $(n-1)$ -dimensional CW-complex but a $(m-1)$ -dimensional one for any $m \leq n$.

2. If m -dimensional Hausdorff measure $(X) = 0$, then $HC_m(X) = 0$. Now the corollary implies that $UW_{m-1}(X) = 0$. Thus, the covering dimension of $X \leq m - 1$. We obtained the Szpilrajn theorem.

2. If m -dimensional Hausdorff measure $(X) = 0$, then $HC_m(X) = 0$. Now the corollary implies that $UW_{m-1}(X) = 0$. Thus, the covering dimension of $X \leq m - 1$. We obtained the Szpilrajn theorem. Our result is an Ulam-style quantitative version of Szpilrajn theorem, asserting that a compact metric space with m -dimensional Hausdorff measure zero has topological (covering) dimension at most $(m - 1)$.

2. If m -dimensional Hausdorff measure $(X) = 0$, then $HC_m(X) = 0$. Now the corollary implies that $UW_{m-1}(X) = 0$. Thus, the covering dimension of $X \leq m - 1$. We obtained the Szpilrajn theorem. Our result is an Ulam-style quantitative version of Szpilrajn theorem, asserting that a compact metric space with m -dimensional Hausdorff measure zero has topological (covering) dimension at most $(m - 1)$.
3. Even for m -dimensional Riemannian manifolds this is a strengthening of previous results by Gromov and Guth, as $HC_m(M^m) \leq \text{vol}(M^m)$, and, in fact, can be much smaller. (Think about hyperbolic disc of radius 1 but with a very large negative curvature.)

2. If m -dimensional Hausdorff measure $(X) = 0$, then $HC_m(X) = 0$. Now the corollary implies that $UW_{m-1}(X) = 0$. Thus, the covering dimension of $X \leq m - 1$. We obtained the Szpilrajn theorem. Our result is an Ulam-style quantitative version of Szpilrajn theorem, asserting that a compact metric space with m -dimensional Hausdorff measure zero has topological (covering) dimension at most $(m - 1)$.
3. Even for m -dimensional Riemannian manifolds this is a strengthening of previous results by Gromov and Guth, as $HC_m(M^m) \leq \text{vol}(M^m)$, and, in fact, can be much smaller. (Think about hyperbolic disc of radius 1 but with a very large negative curvature.)

Proof of Gromov's theorem:

Pseudo-proof. Pretend that $L^\infty(M^n)$ is \mathbb{R}^N . Fill M^n by a minimal surface W^{n+1} .

Isoperimetric inequality: $\text{vol}(W^{n+1}) \leq C \text{vol}(M^n)^{\frac{n+1}{n}}$.

Proof of Gromov's theorem:

Pseudo-proof. Pretend that $L^\infty(M^n)$ is \mathbb{R}^N . Fill M^n by a minimal surface W^{n+1} .

Isoperimetric inequality: $\text{vol}(W^{n+1}) \leq C \text{vol}(M^n)^{\frac{n+1}{n}}$.

$C = C_N$ (H. Federer-W. Fleming);

Proof of Gromov's theorem:

Pseudo-proof. Pretend that $L^\infty(M^n)$ is \mathbb{R}^N . Fill M^n by a minimal surface W^{n+1} .

Isoperimetric inequality: $\text{vol}(W^{n+1}) \leq C \text{vol}(M^n)^{\frac{n+1}{n}}$.

$C = C_N$ (H. Federer-W. Fleming);

$C = C_n$ (J. Michel- L. Simon).

Proof of Gromov's theorem:

Pseudo-proof. Pretend that $L^\infty(M^n)$ is \mathbb{R}^N . Fill M^n by a minimal surface W^{n+1} .

Isoperimetric inequality: $\text{vol}(W^{n+1}) \leq C \text{vol}(M^n)^{\frac{n+1}{n}}$.

$C = C_N$ (H. Federer-W. Fleming);

$C = C_n$ (J. Michel- L. Simon).

Monotonicity formula implies that W^{n+1} is in the

$\sim \text{vol}(W)^{\frac{1}{n+1}} = \text{const}(n) \text{vol}(M^n)^{\frac{1}{n}}$ -neighbourhood of M^n .

S. Wenger's version of Gromov's proof of the isoperimetric inequality:

Based on 1) Coarea inequality; 2) Cone inequality.

Induction with respect to n .

The base (filling of closed curves) is easy by coning.

S. Wenger's version of Gromov's proof of the isoperimetric inequality:

Based on 1) Coarea inequality; 2) Cone inequality.

Induction with respect to n .

The base (filling of closed curves) is easy by coning.

Induction step:

Improve M : Cover a significant part of volume of M by disjoint metric balls $B_i(r_i)$ in the ambient Banach space.

$\text{vol}(B_i \cup M) \geq (\frac{r_i}{1000})^n$, and is an almost maximal among concentric balls with this property.

S. Wenger's version of Gromov's proof of the isoperimetric inequality:

Based on 1) Coarea inequality; 2) Cone inequality.

Induction with respect to n .

The base (filling of closed curves) is easy by coning.

Induction step:

Improve M : Cover a significant part of volume of M by disjoint metric balls $B_i(r_i)$ in the ambient Banach space.

$\text{vol}(B_i \cup M) \geq (\frac{r_i}{1000})^n$, and is an almost maximal among concentric balls with this property.

$$\text{vol}_{n-1}(\partial B_i \cap M) \leq \frac{r_i^{n-1}}{1000^n}$$

(Use coarea inequality).

Now cut B_i out, replace by a “good” filling (that exists by induction assumption), and project the filling inside B_i .
Fill the gap between $M = M_1$ and the “improved version” M_2 of M_1 by coning (in B_1).

Now cut B_i out, replace by a “good” filling (that exists by induction assumption), and project the filling inside B_i .
Fill the gap between $M = M_1$ and the “improved version” M_2 of M_1 by coning (in B_1).
Improve $M_1 = M^n$ inductively obtaining M_2, M_3, \dots
On each step the volume drops by a constant factor.
When it becomes very small, just cone off M_N in the ambient space.

Proof of Guth's theorem (=case when M^n is Riemannian manifold; $m = n$; n -dimensional Hausdorff measure = the volume instead of HC_n):

Guth:

1. Find a “good covering” of the manifold M^n by “small” balls.

Proof of Guth's theorem (=case when M^n is Riemannian manifold; $m = n$; n -dimensional Hausdorff measure = the volume instead of HC_n):

Guth:

1. Find a “good covering” of the manifold M^n by “small” balls.
2. Map M^n to a “rectangular” nerve of the covering.

Proof of Guth's theorem (=case when M^n is Riemannian manifold; $m = n$; n -dimensional Hausdorff measure = the volume instead of HC_n):

Guth:

1. Find a “good covering” of the manifold M^n by “small” balls.
2. Map M^n to a “rectangular” nerve of the covering.

The Lipschitz constant of the map is controlled; so the volume of the image inside each face (or even the star of each face) is small.

Proof of Guth's theorem (=case when M^n is Riemannian manifold; $m = n$; n -dimensional Hausdorff measure = the volume instead of HC_n):

Guth:

1. Find a “good covering” of the manifold M^n by “small” balls.
2. Map M^n to a “rectangular” nerve of the covering.

The Lipschitz constant of the map is controlled; so the volume of the image inside each face (or even the star of each face) is small.

3. Replace the image of the manifold in each face of the maximal dimension by the (almost) minimal surface with the same boundary.

Proof of Guth's theorem (=case when M^n is Riemannian manifold; $m = n$; n -dimensional Hausdorff measure = the volume instead of HC_n):

Guth:

1. Find a “good covering” of the manifold M^n by “small” balls.
2. Map M^n to a “rectangular” nerve of the covering.

The Lipschitz constant of the map is controlled; so the volume of the image inside each face (or even the star of each face) is small.

3. Replace the image of the manifold in each face of the maximal dimension by the (almost) minimal surface with the same boundary. Monotonicity implies that this (almost) minimal surface is near the boundary of the face.

The radial projection from the center of the face has Lipschitz constant, L_d close to 1, where d is the dimension of the face.

The radial projection from the center of the face has Lipschitz constant, L_d close to 1, where d is the dimension of the face. After the projection the image of M is in a lower-dimensional skeleton. Its intersection with each face of smaller dimension that is now maximal still has a small volume.

The radial projection from the center of the face has Lipschitz constant, L_d close to 1, where d is the dimension of the face. After the projection the image of M is in a lower-dimensional skeleton. Its intersection with each face of smaller dimension that is now maximal still has a small volume. We can repeat the procedure (replace, project) pushing to skeleta of smaller and smaller dimensions until we reach dimension $n - 1$..

The radial projection from the center of the face has Lipschitz constant, L_d close to 1, where d is the dimension of the face. After the projection the image of M is in a lower-dimensional skeleton. Its intersection with each face of smaller dimension that is now maximal still has a small volume. We can repeat the procedure (replace, project) pushing to skeleta of smaller and smaller dimensions until we reach dimension $n - 1$. The singular n -cycles in the constructed sequence are all homologous; the last one is in a $(n - 1)$ -complex. Therefore, the filling radius is bounded in terms of the size of faces of the nerve that are less than the maximal radius of the balls of chosen covering < 0.01 .

Instead taking (almost) minimal surface improve the map to the nerve as in Wenger's proof of Gromov's inequality. Then as before compose it with a projection to the lower dimensional skeleton.

Instead taking (almost) minimal surface improve the map to the nerve as in Wenger's proof of Gromov's inequality. Then as before compose it with a projection to the lower dimensional skeleton. When we reach the $(n - 1)$ -dimensional skeleton, the inverse image of each point is in the star of the corresponding simplex. This implies that each pair of points in the inverse image are in intersecting balls of the covering. Hence, these points are 1-close as long as all balls of the covering have radius < 0.5 .

When we project, each time volume estimate gets multiplied by a constant $L_d > 1$. Yet we do not have any control over how many times we need to project. The product of all L_d must converge.

When we project, each time volume estimate gets multiplied by a constant $L_d > 1$. Yet we do not have any control over how many times we need to project. The product of all L_d must converge. Need:

$$\text{vol}(\phi(X) \cap \text{Star}F) \leq \text{const}(n) \epsilon_m r_i^m \exp(-\text{Const}(n) \dim(F)),$$

where ϕ is the map to the nerve, F is a face, r_1 is the smallest radius of a ball in the intersection of metric balls corresponding to F .

When we project, each time volume estimate gets multiplied by a constant $L_d > 1$. Yet we do not have any control over how many times we need to project. The product of all L_d must converge.

Need:

$$\text{vol}(\phi(X) \cap \text{Star}F) \leq \text{const}(n) \epsilon_m r_i^m \exp(-\text{Const}(n) \dim(F)),$$

where ϕ is the map to the nerve, F is a face, r_1 is the smallest radius of a ball in the intersection of metric balls corresponding to F .

Need: if d “good” balls in the covering intersect, then the smallest radius behaves as $\exp(-\text{const}(n)d)$.

When we project, each time volume estimate gets multiplied by a constant $L_d > 1$. Yet we do not have any control over how many times we need to project. The product of all L_d must converge.

Need:

$$\text{vol}(\phi(X) \cap \text{Star}F) \leq \text{const}(n) \epsilon_m r_i^m \exp(-\text{Const}(n) \dim(F)),$$

where ϕ is the map to the nerve, F is a face, r_1 is the smallest radius of a ball in the intersection of metric balls corresponding to F .

Need: if d “good” balls in the covering intersect, then the smallest radius behaves as $\exp(-\text{const}(n)d)$.

By-product of Guth’s construction: If B is a good ball of radius r , ϵ_n small, then $\text{vol}(B) \leq (\frac{r}{1000})^n$ (in fact even $\leq (\frac{r}{1000})^{n+1}$).

HC_m . Main problem: Struggle against non-additivity of HC_m .

HC_m . Main problem: Struggle against non-additivity of HC_m .
Assume we have $B_1 \cup B_2$, where B_i is a ball of radius r_i , and r_i are comparable.

HC_m . Main problem: Struggle against non-additivity of HC_m .
Assume we have $B_1 \cup B_2$, where B_i is a ball of radius r_i , and r_i are comparable.
Non-additivity: due an overlap in optimal coverings of B_1 and B_2 .

HC_m . Main problem: Struggle against non-additivity of HC_m .

Assume we have $B_1 \cup B_2$, where B_i is a ball of radius r_i , and r_i are comparable.

Non-additivity: due an overlap in optimal coverings of B_1 and B_2 .

Idea: $HC_m(B_i) < (\frac{r_i}{1000})^m$ implies one need to use balls of radius $< \frac{r}{100}$ to cover $B_1 \cup B_2$. The sum of m th powers of radii is greater than HC_m of $(1 - \frac{1}{100})B_1 \cup (1 - \frac{1}{100})B_2$. It remains to ensure that HC_m of the somewhat smaller balls is comparable with a content of larger balls.

Lemma

(Co-area inequality) Let $U \subset B(R_2) \setminus B(R_1)$ be a closed set. Then,

$$\int_{R_1}^{*R_2} HC_{m-1}(S_R \cap U) dR \leq 2HC_m(U).$$

Therefore, there exists $R \in [R_1, R_2]$, such that

$$HC_{m-1}(S_R \cap U) \leq \frac{2}{R_2 - R_1} HC_m(U).$$

Proof.

Let $\{B_{r_i}(p_i)\}$ be a covering of U with $\sum_i r_i^m \leq HC_m(U) + \epsilon$, where $i \in \{1, \dots, N\}$ for some N . The desired inequality would follow from the inequality $\int_{R_1}^{*R_2} HC_{m-1}(S_R \cap U) dR \leq 2 \sum_i r_i^m$. We are going to prove a stronger inequality, where $HC_{m-1}(S_R \cap U)$ is replaced by the following quantity that is obviously not less than $HC_{m-1}(S_R \cap U)$, namely, $\sum_{i \in I(R)} r_i^{m-1}$, where $I(R)$ denotes the set of all indices i such that the intersection of $B_{r_i}(p_i)$ and $S(R)$ is not empty. The left hand side of the desired inequality becomes

$$\int_{R_1}^{R_2} \sum_{i \in I(R)} r_i^{m-1} dR = \int_{R_1}^{R_2} \sum_{i=1}^N r_i^{m-1} \chi_i(R) dR = \sum_{i=1}^N r_i^{m-1} \int_{R_1}^{R_2} \chi_i(R) dR,$$

where the characteristic function $\chi_i(R)$ is equal to 1 for all $R \in [R_1, R_2]$ such that S_R and $B_{r_i}(p_i)$ have a non-empty intersection, and to 0 otherwise. Finally, observe that $\int_{R_1}^{R_2} \chi_i(R) dR \leq 2r_i$, which implies the desired inequality. □