An Isoperimetric Inequality for the Hamming Cube¹ and applications to Integrality Gaps in Bounded-degree Graphs

Siavosh Benabbas

Department of Computer Science University of Toronto

¹Based on joint work with H. Hatami and A. Magen

Outline



An Isoperimetric Inequality for the Hamming Cube

- Introduction
- Proof Ideas
- Open Questions
- Integrality Gaps in Bounded-degree Graphs
 - VERTEX COVER and INDEPENDENT SET
 - Hierarchies of strong LP/SDP formulations
 - IG for VERTEX COVER in bounded degree graphs

◆□ ▶ ◆□ ▶ ▲ = ▶ ▲ = ▶ ④ ● ●

Open Questions

Introduction Proof Ideas Open Questions

◆□ ▶ ◆□ ▶ ▲ = ▶ ▲ = ▶ ④ ● ●

Outline

- An Isoperimetric Inequality for the Hamming Cube
 - Introduction
 - Proof Ideas
 - Open Questions
- Integrality Gaps in Bounded-degree Graphs
 - VERTEX COVER and INDEPENDENT SET
 - Hierarchies of strong LP/SDP formulations
 - IG for VERTEX COVER in bounded degree graphs
 - Open Questions

Introduction Proof Ideas Open Questions

The Frankl-Rödl Theorem

\$250 Question of P. Erdös 1970s

• Fix $0 < \delta < 1$. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and $d \sim \delta n$ be an even integer.

Introduction Proof Ideas Open Questions

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □

The Frankl-Rödl Theorem

\$250 Question of P. Erdös 1970s

- Fix $0 < \delta < 1$. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and $d \sim \delta n$ be an even integer.
- $U \subseteq \{0,1\}^n$,

Introduction Proof Ideas Open Questions

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ④ ● ●

The Frankl-Rödl Theorem

\$250 Question of P. Erdös 1970s

- Fix $0 < \delta < 1$. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and $d \sim \delta n$ be an even integer.
- $U \subseteq \{0,1\}^n$,
- no two element of U are different in exactly d coordinates,

Introduction Proof Ideas Open Questions

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ④ ● ●

The Frankl-Rödl Theorem

\$250 Question of P. Erdös 1970s

- Fix $0 < \delta < 1$. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and $d \sim \delta n$ be an even integer.
- $U \subseteq \{0,1\}^n$,
- no two element of U are different in exactly d coordinates,

How big can U be?

Introduction Proof Ideas Open Questions

The Frankl-Rödl Theorem

\$250 Question of P. Erdös 1970s

- Fix $0 < \delta < 1$. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and $d \sim \delta n$ be an even integer.
- $U \subseteq \{0,1\}^n$,
- no two element of U are different in exactly d coordinates,

How big can U be?

Example





Introduction Proof Ideas Open Questions

The Frankl-Rödl Theorem

\$250 Question of P. Erdös 1970s

- Fix $0 < \delta < 1$. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and $d \sim \delta n$ be an even integer.
- $U \subseteq \{0,1\}^n$,
- no two element of U are different in exactly d coordinates,

How big can U be?

Example

$$n = 3$$
 $\delta = 2/3$ $d = 2$



Introduction Proof Ideas Open Questions

The Frankl-Rödl Theorem

\$250 Question of P. Erdös 1970s

- Fix $0 < \delta < 1$. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and $d \sim \delta n$ be an even integer.
- $U \subseteq \{0,1\}^n$,
- no two element of U are different in exactly d coordinates,

How big can U be?

Example

$$n = 3$$
 $\delta = 2/3$ $d = 2$



Introduction Proof Ideas Open Questions

The Frankl-Rödl Theorem

\$250 Question of P. Erdös 1970s

- Fix $0 < \delta < 1$. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and $d \sim \delta n$ be an even integer.
- $U \subseteq \{0,1\}^n$,
- no two element of U are different in exactly d coordinates,

How big can U be?

Example

$$n = 3$$
 $\delta = 2/3$ $d = 2$

How many vertices can you select without selecting both end points of an edge?



Introduction Proof Ideas Open Questions

The Frankl-Rödl Theorem

\$250 Question of P. Erdös 1970s

- Fix $0 < \delta < 1$. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and $d \sim \delta n$ be an even integer.
- $U \subseteq \{0,1\}^n$,
- no two element of U are different in exactly d coordinates,

How big can U be?

Example

$$n = 3$$
 $\delta = 2/3$ $d = 2$

How many vertices can you select without selecting both end points of an edge?



Introduction Proof Ideas Open Questions

The Frankl-Rödl Theorem

\$250 Question of P. Erdös 1970s

- Fix $0 < \delta < 1$. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and $d \sim \delta n$ be an even integer.
- $U \subseteq \{0,1\}^n$,
- no two element of U are different in exactly d coordinates,

How big can U be?

Theorem ([Frankl and Rodl, 1987])

U is exponentially small.

$$\mu = \frac{|U|}{2^n} \le \xi^n$$

$$\xi = \xi(\delta) < 1$$

◆□ ▶ ◆□ ▶ ▲ = ▶ ▲ = ▶ ④ ● ●

Introduction Proof Ideas Open Questions

"Density" Frankl-Rödl

\$250 Question of P. Erdös 1970s

Introduction Proof Ideas Open Questions

"Density" Frankl-Rödl

\$250 Question of P. Erdös 1970s

- Fix $0 < \delta < 1$. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and $d \sim \delta n$ be an even integer.
- $U \subseteq \{0,1\}^n$,
- no two element of U are different in exactly d coordinates,

How big can U be?

Theorem ([Frankl and Rodl, 1987])

U is exponentially small.

$$\mu = \frac{|U|}{2^n} \le \xi^n \qquad \qquad \xi$$

$$\xi = \xi(\delta) < 1$$

Introduction Proof Ideas Open Questions

"Density" Frankl-Rödl

"Density" Frankl-Rödl

- Fix $0 < \delta < 1$. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and $d \sim \delta n$ be an even integer.
- $U \subseteq \{0,1\}^n, |U| = \mu 2^n$
- no two element of U are different in exactly d coordinates,

Theorem ([Frankl and Rodl, 1987])

Introduction Proof Ideas Open Questions

"Density" Frankl-Rödl

"Density" Frankl-Rödl

- Fix $0 < \delta < 1$. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and $d \sim \delta n$ be an even integer.
- $U \subseteq \{0,1\}^n, |U| = \mu 2^n$
- How many pairs of elements of *U* are different in exactly *d* coordinates?

Theorem ([Frankl and Rodl, 1987])

Introduction Proof Ideas Open Questions

"Density" Frankl-Rödl

"Density" Frankl-Rödl

- Fix $0 < \delta < 1$. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and $d \sim \delta n$ be an even integer.
- $U \subseteq \{0,1\}^n$, $|U| = \mu 2^n$
- How many pairs of elements of *U* are different in exactly *d* coordinates?

Theorem ([Frankl and Rodl, 1987])

```
\Pr_{x,y} \left[ x \in U, y \in U \right]
```

x, y chosen randomly so that $d_H(x, y) = d$.

Introduction Proof Ideas Open Questions

"Density" Frankl-Rödl

"Density" Frankl-Rödl

• Fix $0 < \delta < 1$. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and $d \sim \delta n$ be an even integer.

•
$$U \subseteq \{0,1\}^n, |U| = \mu 2^n$$

How many pairs of elements of U are different in exactly d coordinates?

Theorem (1)

By [Frankl and Rodl, 1987]:

```
\Pr_{x,y} \left[ x \in U, y \in U \right] > 0,
```

x, y chosen randomly so that $d_H(x, y) = d$.

Introduction Proof Ideas Open Questions

"Density" Frankl-Rödl

"Density" Frankl-Rödl

• Fix $0 < \delta < 1$. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and $d \sim \delta n$ be an even integer.

•
$$U \subseteq \{0,1\}^n, |U| = \mu 2^n$$

How many pairs of elements of U are different in exactly d coordinates?

Theorem (1)

We show:

```
\Pr_{x,y}[x \in U, y \in U] > \epsilon,
```

x, y chosen randomly so that $d_H(x, y) = d$.

Introduction Proof Ideas Open Questions

"Density" Frankl-Rödl

"Density" Frankl-Rödl

• Fix $0 < \delta < 1$. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and $d \sim \delta n$ be an even integer.

•
$$U \subseteq \{0,1\}^n, |U| = \mu 2^n$$

• How many pairs of elements of *U* are different in exactly *d* coordinates?

Theorem (1)

We show:

$$\Pr_{\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y}}[\mathbf{x}\in \mathbf{U},\mathbf{y}\in \mathbf{U}] > \epsilon, \qquad \epsilon = 2(\mu/2)^{\frac{2}{1-|1-2\delta|}} - \mathsf{o}(1)$$

x, y chosen randomly so that $d_H(x, y) = d$.

Introduction Proof Ideas Open Questions

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □

Our results

Theorem

$orall \delta \in (0, 1)$, and large enough n, if $U \subseteq \{0, 1\}^n$, $|U| = \mu 2^n$, $\Pr_{x,y}[x \in U, y \in U] > \epsilon$ $\epsilon = 2(\mu/2)^{\frac{2}{1-|1-2\delta|}} - o(1)$

x, *y* chosen randomly so that $d_H(x, y) \simeq \delta n$ is an even integer.

Introduction Proof Ideas Open Questions

Our results

Theorem

$$orall \delta \in (0, 1)$$
, and large enough n, if $U \subseteq \{0, 1\}^n$, $|U| = \mu 2^n$,
 $\Pr_{x,y}[x \in U, y \in U] > \epsilon$ $\epsilon = 2(\mu/2)^{\frac{2}{1-|1-2\delta|}} - o(1)$

x, y chosen randomly so that $d_H(x, y) \simeq \delta n$ is an even integer.

Theorem (A new Isoperimetric Inequality)

 $orall \delta \in (0, 1)$, and large enough n, if $U, W \subseteq \{0, 1\}^n$, $|U|, |W| \ge \mu 2^n$,

$$\Pr_{\boldsymbol{x},\boldsymbol{y}}[\boldsymbol{x} \in \boldsymbol{U}, \boldsymbol{y} \in \boldsymbol{W}] > \epsilon \qquad \epsilon = \mu^{\frac{2}{1-|1-2\delta|}} - o(1)$$

x, *y* chosen randomly so that $d_H(x, y) = d$ or d + 1, $d = \lfloor \delta n \rfloor$.

Introduction Proof Ideas Open Questions

High level of the proof of Frankl-Rödl Theorem

Theorem ([Frankl and Rodl, 1987])

$$orall U \subseteq \{0,1\}^n, |U| \ge \mu 2^n: \ egin{array}{c} \Pr_{x,y}[x \in U, y \in U] > 0 \end{array}$$

$$(\mu = \xi^n)$$
$$_{H}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = \delta \mathbf{n}$$

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □

d

Introduction Proof Ideas Open Questions

High level of the proof of Frankl-Rödl Theorem

Theorem ([Frankl and Rodl, 1987])

$$orall U \subseteq \{0,1\}^n, |U| \ge \mu 2^n: \ egin{array}{c} \Pr_{x,y}[x \in U, y \in U] > 0 \end{array}$$

$$(\mu = \xi^n)$$

 $d_H(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = \delta n$

Reduces to,

Theorem ([Frankl and Rodl, 1987])

$$\forall U' \subseteq \{0,1\}^n, |U'| \ge \mu 2^n/n: \qquad (\mu = \xi^n)$$

$$\Pr_{x,y} \left[x \in U', y \in U' \right] > 0 \qquad \sum_i x_i y_i = \delta' n$$

・ロト・母・・ヨト・ヨヨ ろくで

Introduction Proof Ideas Open Questions

High level of the proof of Frankl-Rödl Theorem

Theorem ([Frankl and Rodl, 1987])

$$orall U \subseteq \{0,1\}^n, |U| \ge \mu 2^n: \ egin{array}{c} \Pr_{x,y}[x \in U, y \in U] > 0 \end{array}$$

$$(\mu = \xi^n)$$

 $d_H(x, y) = \delta n$

Reduces to,

Theorem ([Frankl and Rodl, 1987])

$$\forall U' \subseteq \{0,1\}^n, |U'| \ge \mu 2^n/n: \qquad (\mu = \xi^n)$$

$$\Pr_{\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}} \left[\mathbf{x} \in U', \mathbf{y} \in U' \right] > 0 \qquad \sum_i \mathbf{x}_i \mathbf{y}_i = \delta' n$$

This first step fails for us!

Introduction Proof Ideas Open Questions

High level of our proof

Theorem (1)

$$\forall U \subseteq \{0,1\}^n, |U| \ge \mu 2^n :$$

$$\Pr_{x,y} [x \in U, y \in U] > \epsilon = \epsilon(\delta, \mu) \qquad d_H(x,y) = \delta n$$

Introduction Proof Ideas Open Questions

High level of our proof

Theorem (1)

$$\forall U \subseteq \{0,1\}^n, |U| \ge \mu 2^n :$$

$$\Pr_{x,y}[x \in U, y \in U] > \epsilon = \epsilon(\delta,\mu) \qquad d_H(x,y) = \delta n$$

We reduce it to,

Theorem ([Mossel et al., 2006])

$$\forall U \subseteq \{0,1\}^n, |U| \ge \mu 2^n :$$

$$\Pr_{\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y}} [\mathbf{x} \in U, \mathbf{y} \in U] > \epsilon = \epsilon(\delta,\mu) \quad \mathbf{y}_i = \begin{cases} 1 - \mathbf{x}_i & \textit{w.p. } \delta \\ \mathbf{x}_i & \textit{w.p. } 1 - \delta \end{cases}$$

Introduction Proof Ideas Open Questions

High level of our proof (cont.)

Fix
$$U \subseteq \{0, 1\}^n, |U| \ge \mu 2^n$$
,

$$P_1 := \Pr_{x,y}[x \in U, y \in U]$$

 $P_2 := \Pr_{x,y}[x \in U, y \in U]$

$$d_H(x, y) = \delta n$$
$$\mathbb{E} \left[d_H(x, y) \right] = \delta n$$

Introduction Proof Ideas Open Questions

High level of our proof (cont.)

Fix
$$U \subseteq \{0, 1\}^n, |U| \ge \mu 2^n$$
,

$$P_{1} := \Pr_{x,y} [x \in U, y \in U] \qquad \qquad d_{H}(x,y) = \delta n$$
$$P_{2} := \Pr_{x,y} [x \in U, y \in U] \qquad \qquad \mathbb{E} [d_{H}(x,y)] = \delta n$$

Show that: $|P_1 - P_2| = o(1)$.

Introduction Proof Ideas Open Questions

High level of our proof (cont.)

Fix
$$U \subseteq \{0,1\}^n, |U| \ge \mu 2^n$$
, define $\mathbf{1}_U(x) = egin{cases} 1 & x \in U \ 0 & ext{o.w} \end{bmatrix}$

$$P_{1} := \Pr_{x,y} [x \in U, y \in U] \qquad \qquad d_{H}(x,y) = \delta n$$
$$P_{2} := \Pr_{x,y} [x \in U, y \in U] \qquad \qquad \mathbb{E} [d_{H}(x,y)] = \delta n$$

Show that: $|P_1 - P_2| = o(1).$

Introduction Proof Ideas Open Questions

High level of our proof (cont.)

Fix
$$U \subseteq \{0,1\}^n, |U| \ge \mu 2^n$$
, define $\mathbf{1}_U(x) = egin{cases} 1 & x \in U \ 0 & \text{o.w} \end{bmatrix}$

$$P_{1} := \Pr_{x,y} [x \in U, y \in U] = \mathop{\mathbb{E}}_{x,y} [\mathbf{1}_{U}(x)\mathbf{1}_{U}(y)] \qquad d_{H}(x,y) = \delta n$$
$$P_{2} := \Pr_{x,y} [x \in U, y \in U] = \mathop{\mathbb{E}}_{x,y} [\mathbf{1}_{U}(x)\mathbf{1}_{U}(y)] \quad \mathop{\mathbb{E}} [d_{H}(x,y)] = \delta n$$

Show that: $|P_1 - P_2| = o(1).$

Introduction Proof Ideas Open Questions

High level of our proof (cont.)

Fix
$$U \subseteq \{0,1\}^n, |U| \ge \mu 2^n$$
, define $\mathbf{1}_U(x) = egin{cases} 1 & x \in U \\ 0 & \text{o.w} \end{cases}$

$$P_{1} = \underset{x,y}{\mathbb{E}} [\mathbf{1}_{U}(x)\mathbf{1}_{U}(y)] \qquad \qquad d_{H}(x,y) = \delta n$$
$$P_{2} = \underset{x,y}{\mathbb{E}} [\mathbf{1}_{U}(x)\mathbf{1}_{U}(y)] \qquad \qquad \mathbb{E} [d_{H}(x,y)] = \delta n$$

Show that:

Introduction Proof Ideas Open Questions

High level of our proof (cont.)

Fix
$$U \subseteq \{0,1\}^n, |U| \ge \mu 2^n$$
, define $\mathbf{1}_U(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & x \in U \\ 0 & \text{o.w} \end{cases}$

$$P_{1} = \underset{x,y}{\mathbb{E}} [\mathbf{1}_{U}(x)\mathbf{1}_{U}(y)] \qquad \qquad d_{H}(x,y) = \delta n$$
$$P_{2} = \underset{x,y}{\mathbb{E}} [\mathbf{1}_{U}(x)\mathbf{1}_{U}(y)] = \underset{x}{\mathbb{E}} [\mathbf{1}_{U}(x)(\mathcal{T}_{1-2\delta}\mathbf{1}_{U})(x)] \qquad \mathbb{E} [d_{H}(x,y)] = \delta n$$

Show that:

$$(\mathcal{T}_{1-2\delta}f)(\mathbf{x}) = \mathop{\mathbb{E}}_{\mathbf{y}}[f(\mathbf{y})] \qquad \qquad \mathop{\mathbb{E}}\left[d_{H}(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y})\right] = \delta n$$

Introduction Proof Ideas Open Questions

High level of our proof (cont.)

Fix
$$U \subseteq \{0,1\}^n, |U| \ge \mu 2^n$$
, define $\mathbf{1}_U(x) = egin{cases} 1 & x \in U \\ 0 & \text{o.w} \end{cases}$

$$P_{1} = \underset{x,y}{\mathbb{E}} [\mathbf{1}_{U}(x)\mathbf{1}_{U}(y)] = \underset{x}{\mathbb{E}} [\mathbf{1}_{U}(x)(\mathcal{S}_{d}\mathbf{1}_{U})(x)] \qquad d_{H}(x,y) = \delta n$$
$$P_{2} = \underset{x,y}{\mathbb{E}} [\mathbf{1}_{U}(x)\mathbf{1}_{U}(y)] = \underset{x}{\mathbb{E}} [\mathbf{1}_{U}(x)(\mathcal{T}_{1-2\delta}\mathbf{1}_{U})(x)] \qquad \mathbb{E} [d_{H}(x,y)] = \delta n$$

Show that:

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathcal{T}_{1-2\delta}f)(\mathbf{x}) &= \mathop{\mathbb{E}}_{\mathbf{y}}[f(\mathbf{y})] & & & & \\ (\mathcal{S}_{d}f)(\mathbf{x}) &= \mathop{\mathbb{E}}_{\mathbf{y}}[f(\mathbf{y})] & & & & \\ d_{H}(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y}) &= \delta n \end{aligned}$$

Introduction Proof Ideas Open Questions

High level of our proof (cont.)

Fix
$$U \subseteq \{0,1\}^n, |U| \ge \mu 2^n$$
, define $\mathbf{1}_U(x) = egin{cases} 1 & x \in U \\ 0 & \text{o.w} \end{cases}$

$$P_{1} = \underset{x,y}{\mathbb{E}} [\mathbf{1}_{U}(x)\mathbf{1}_{U}(y)] = \underset{x}{\mathbb{E}} [\mathbf{1}_{U}(x)(\mathcal{S}_{d}\mathbf{1}_{U})(x)] \qquad d_{H}(x,y) = \delta n$$
$$P_{2} = \underset{x,y}{\mathbb{E}} [\mathbf{1}_{U}(x)\mathbf{1}_{U}(y)] = \underset{x}{\mathbb{E}} [\mathbf{1}_{U}(x)(\mathcal{T}_{1-2\delta}\mathbf{1}_{U})(x)] \qquad \mathbb{E} [d_{H}(x,y)] = \delta n$$

Show that:

 $\mathcal{T}_{1-2\delta} \simeq \mathcal{S}_d$

 $\begin{aligned} (\mathcal{T}_{1-2\delta}f)(x) &= \mathop{\mathbb{E}}_{y} \left[f(y) \right] & \qquad \mathop{\mathbb{E}} \left[d_{H}(x,y) \right] = \delta n \\ (\mathcal{S}_{d}f)(x) &= \mathop{\mathbb{E}}_{y} \left[f(y) \right] & \qquad d_{H}(x,y) = \delta n \end{aligned}$
Introduction Proof Ideas Open Questions

High level of our proof ($\mathcal{T}_{1-2\delta} \simeq \mathcal{S}_d$)

Both are linear operators,

●●● 世間 不問を不問を不問を

Introduction Proof Ideas Open Questions

・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・

- Both are linear operators,
- Have the same Eigenvectors.

Introduction Proof Ideas Open Questions

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ▲目▶ ▲目▶ ●□□ ●○○

- Both are linear operators,
- Have the same Eigenvectors. $\chi_{S}(x) = \prod_{i \in S} (-1)^{x_i}$, $S \subseteq [n]$

Introduction Proof Ideas Open Questions

◆□ ▶ ◆□ ▶ ▲ = ▶ ▲ = ▶ ④ ● ●

- Both are linear operators,
- Have the same Eigenvectors. $\chi_{S}(x) = \prod_{i \in S} (-1)^{x_i}$, $S \subseteq [n]$
- Both have n + 1 (repeated) eigenvalues.plotted below,

Introduction Proof Ideas Open Questions

◆□ ▶ ◆□ ▶ ▲ = ▶ ▲ = ▶ ④ ● ●

- Both are linear operators,
- Have the same Eigenvectors. $\chi_{S}(x) = \prod_{i \in S} (-1)^{x_i}$, $S \subseteq [n]$
- Both have n + 1 (repeated) eigenvalues.plotted below,



n = 25 dSiavosh Benabbas

Introduction Proof Ideas Open Questions

- Both are linear operators,
- Have the same Eigenvectors. $\chi_{S}(x) = \prod_{i \in S} (-1)^{x_i}$, $S \subseteq [n]$
- Both have n + 1 (repeated) eigenvalues.plotted below,



Introduction Proof Ideas Open Questions

High level of our proof $(\mathcal{T}_{1-2\delta} \simeq (\mathcal{S}_d + \mathcal{S}_{d+1})/2)$

- Both are linear operators,
- Have the same Eigenvectors. $\chi_{S}(x) = \prod_{i \in S} (-1)^{x_i}$, $S \subseteq [n]$
- Both have n + 1 (repeated) eigenvalues.plotted below,
- Compare to $(S_d + S_{d+1})/2$.



Introduction Proof Ideas Open Questions

An Isoperimetric Inequality for the Hamming Cube: Open Questions

Improve the error term.



Introduction Proof Ideas Open Questions

◆□ ▶ ◆□ ▶ ▲ = ▶ ▲ = ▶ ④ ● ●

An Isoperimetric Inequality for the Hamming Cube: Open Questions

Improve the error term.

Theorem

 $orall \delta \in (0,1)$, and large enough n, if $U \subseteq \{0,1\}^n$, $|U| = \mu 2^n$,

 $\Pr_{\boldsymbol{x},\boldsymbol{y}}[\boldsymbol{x} \in \boldsymbol{U}, \boldsymbol{y} \in \boldsymbol{U}] > \epsilon \qquad \epsilon = 2(\mu/2)^{\frac{2}{1-|1-2\delta|}} - o(1)$

x, *y* chosen randomly so that $d_H(x, y) \simeq \delta n$ is an even integer.

Introduction Proof Ideas Open Questions

An Isoperimetric Inequality for the Hamming Cube: Open Questions

Improve the error term.

Theorem

 $orall \delta \in (0,1)$, and large enough n, if $U \subseteq \{0,1\}^n$, $|U| = \mu 2^n$,

 $\Pr_{x,y}[x \in U, y \in U] > \epsilon \qquad \epsilon = 2(\mu/2)^{\frac{2}{1-|1-2\delta|}} - o(1)$

x, *y* chosen randomly so that $d_H(x, y) \simeq \delta n$ is an even integer.

Could result in a new proof of [Frankl and Rodl, 1987].

◆□ ▶ ◆□ ▶ ▲ = ▶ ▲ = ▶ ④ ● ●

Introduction Proof Ideas Open Questions

◆□ ▶ ◆□ ▶ ▲ = ▶ ▲ = ▶ ④ ● ●

An Isoperimetric Inequality for the Hamming Cube: Open Questions

- Improve the error term.
 Could result in a new proof of [Frankl and Rodl, 1987].
- "Density" version of other Theorems of [Frankl and Rodl, 1987].

Introduction Proof Ideas Open Questions

An Isoperimetric Inequality for the Hamming Cube: Open Questions

- Improve the error term.
 Could result in a new proof of [Frankl and Rodl, 1987].
- "Density" version of other Theorems of [Frankl and Rodl, 1987].

Theorem ([Frankl and Rodl, 1987]) $\forall U \subseteq \{0,1\}^n, |U| \ge \mu 2^n :$ $(\mu = \xi^n)$ $\Pr_{x,y}[x \in U, y \in U] > 0$ $\sum_i x_i y_i = \delta n$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ④ ●

VERTEX COVER and INDEPENDENT SET Hierarchies of strong LP/SDP formulations IG for VERTEX COVER in bounded degree graphs Open Questions

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ④ ●

Outline

- An Isoperimetric Inequality for the Hamming Cube
 - Introduction
 - Proof Ideas
 - Open Questions
- Integrality Gaps in Bounded-degree Graphs
 - VERTEX COVER and INDEPENDENT SET
 - Hierarchies of strong LP/SDP formulations
 - IG for VERTEX COVER in bounded degree graphs
 - Open Questions

VERTEX COVER and INDEPENDENT SET Hierarchies of strong LP/SDP formulations IG for VERTEX COVER in bounded degree graphs Open Questions

VERTEX COVER and INDEPENDENT SET

Definition (VERTEX COVER)

Input: Graph G = (V, E),





VERTEX COVER and INDEPENDENT SET Hierarchies of strong LP/SDP formulations IG for VERTEX COVER in bounded degree graphs Open Questions

VERTEX COVER and INDEPENDENT SET

Definition (VERTEX COVER)

Input: Graph G = (V, E), Goal: Finding subset $S \subseteq V$:

Example



VERTEX COVER and INDEPENDENT SET Hierarchies of strong LP/SDP formulations IG for VERTEX COVER in bounded degree graphs Open Questions

VERTEX COVER and INDEPENDENT SET

Definition (VERTEX COVER)

Input: Graph G = (V, E), Goal: Finding subset $S \subseteq V$:

• it touches each edge,

Example



VERTEX COVER and INDEPENDENT SET Hierarchies of strong LP/SDP formulations IG for VERTEX COVER in bounded degree graphs Open Questions

VERTEX COVER and INDEPENDENT SET

Definition (VERTEX COVER)

Input: Graph G = (V, E), Goal: Finding subset $S \subseteq V$:

- it touches each edge,
- |S| is minimized.

Example



VERTEX COVER and INDEPENDENT SET Hierarchies of strong LP/SDP formulations IG for VERTEX COVER in bounded degree graphs Open Questions

VERTEX COVER and INDEPENDENT SET

Definition (VERTEX COVER)

Input: Graph G = (V, E), Goal: Finding subset $S \subseteq V$:

- it touches each edge,
- |S| is minimized.

Definition (INDEPENDENT SET)

Input: Graph $G = (V, \underline{E})$, Goal: Finding subset $\overline{S} \subseteq V$:,

- no edge has both ends in \overline{S} ,
- $|\overline{S}|$ is maximized.

Example



VERTEX COVER and INDEPENDENT SET Hierarchies of strong LP/SDP formulations IG for VERTEX COVER in bounded degree graphs Open Questions

VERTEX COVER and INDEPENDENT SET

Definition (VERTEX COVER)

Input: Graph G = (V, E), Goal: Finding subset $S \subseteq V$:

- it touches each edge,
- |S| is minimized.

Definition (INDEPENDENT SET)

Input: Graph $G = (V, \underline{E})$, Goal: Finding subset $\overline{S} \subseteq V$:,

- no edge has both ends in \overline{S} ,
- $|\overline{S}|$ is maximized.

Example



VERTEX COVER and INDEPENDENT SET Hierarchies of strong LP/SDP formulations IG for VERTEX COVER in bounded degree graphs Open Questions

VERTEX COVER and INDEPENDENT SET

Definition (VERTEX COVER)

Input: Graph G = (V, E), Goal: Finding subset $S \subseteq V$:

- it touches each edge
- What is known: General Graphs

Definition (INDEPENDENT SET)

Input: Graph G = (V, E), Goal: Finding subset $\overline{S} \subseteq V_{\underline{\cdot}, \underline{\cdot}}$

no edge has both ends in <u>S</u>

VERTEX COVER

INDEPENDENT SET

VERTEX COVER and INDEPENDENT SET Hierarchies of strong LP/SDP formulations IG for VERTEX COVER in bounded degree graphs Open Questions

VERTEX COVER and INDEPENDENT SET

Definition (VERTEX COVER)		Def	inition (INDEPENDENT SET)	
Input: Graph $G = (V, E)$, Goal: Finding subset $S \subseteq V$: • it touches each edge What is known: General Graph		Inpu Goa s	Input: Graph $G = (V, E)$, Goal: Finding subset $\overline{S} \subseteq V$:, • no edge has both ends in \overline{S}	
Best algorithm	VERTEX COVER 2 - 0(1)		INDEPENDENT SET $O(n/polylog(n))$	

[Karakostas, 2005] [Feige, 2004]

VERTEX COVER and INDEPENDENT SET Hierarchies of strong LP/SDP formulations IG for VERTEX COVER in bounded degree graphs Open Questions

VERTEX COVER and INDEPENDENT SET

Definition (VERTEX COVER)		Defi	Definition (INDEPENDENT SET)		
Ir G	nput: Graph $G = ($ ioal: Finding subsection it touches each eq What is known: G	V, E), et $S \subseteq V$: doe seneral Graph	Input: Graph $G = (V, E)$, Goal: Finding subset $\overline{S} \subseteq V$ • no edge has both ends in		
1		VERTEX CO	OVER	INDEPENDENT SET	P
	Best algorithm	2 – o(1)	$O(n/\operatorname{polylog}(n))$	L
	NP-hardness	1.36		$\Omega(n^{1-\epsilon})$	L

[Karakostas, 2005] [Feige, 2004] [Dinur and Safra, 2005] [Håstad, 1996]

VERTEX COVER and INDEPENDENT SET Hierarchies of strong LP/SDP formulations IG for VERTEX COVER in bounded degree graphs Open Questions

VERTEX COVER and INDEPENDENT SET

Definition (VERTEX COVER)		Definition (INDEPENDENT SET)		
Input: Graph $G = (V, E)$, Goal: Finding subset $S \subseteq V$: • it touches each edge What is known: General Graph		Input: Graph $G = (V, E)$, Goal: Finding subset $\overline{S} \subseteq V$:, • no edge has both ends in \overline{S} s		
Best algorithm NP-hardness UGC-hardness	VERTEX Co 2 – o(1 1.36 2 – ε	over)	$\frac{INDEPENDENT SET}{O(n/\operatorname{polylog}(n))} \\ \Omega(n^{1-\epsilon})$	ľ

[Karakostas, 2005] [Feige, 2004] [Dinur and Safra, 2005] [Håstad, 1996] [Khot and Regev, 2008]

VERTEX COVER and INDEPENDENT SET Hierarchies of strong LP/SDP formulations IG for VERTEX COVER in bounded degree graphs Open Questions

VERTEX COVER and INDEPENDENT SET

Definition	(Vertex	COVER)
------------	---------	--------

Definition (INDEPENDENT SET)

Input: Graph G = (V, E), Goal: Finding subset $S \subseteq V$:

• it touches each edge

What is known: General Graphs

Input: Graph G = (V, E), Goal: Finding subset $\overline{S} \subseteq V$:.

no edge has both ends in S

	VERTEX COVER	INDEPENDENT SET		
Best algorithm	2 – o(1)	$O(n/\operatorname{polylog}(n))$		
NP-hardness	1.36	$\Omega(n^{1-\epsilon})$		
UGC-hardness	$2-\epsilon$. ,		
Hierarchy IGs	$2 - \epsilon$ (LS ⁺ , SA) 1.36 (Lasserre)	$n/2^{O(\sqrt{\log n \log \log n})}$		
[Karakostas, 2005] [Feige, 2004] [Dinur and Safra, 2005]				
[Håstad, 1996] [Khot and Regev, 2008] [Tulsiani, 2009]				
[Charikar et al., 2009],				

Integrality Gaps in Bounded-degree Graphs

VERTEX COVER and INDEPENDENT SET Hierarchies of strong LP/SDP formulations

VERTEX COVER and INDEPENDENT SET

Definition (VERTEX COVER)

Definition (INDEPENDENT SET)

Input: Graph G = (V, E), Goal: Finding subset $S \subset V$:

it touches each edge

Input: Graph G = (V, E), Goal: Finding subset $\overline{S} \subset V$:,

no edge has both ends in S What is known: Graphs of bounded degree (d)

VERTEX COVER

INDEPENDENT SET

VERTEX COVER and INDEPENDENT SET Hierarchies of strong LP/SDP formulations IG for VERTEX COVER in bounded degree graphs Open Questions

Definition (VERTEX COVER)		Definitio	n (Independent Set)
Input: Graph $G = (V, E)$, Goal: Finding subset $S \subseteq V$: • it touches each edge What is known: Graphs of bour		Input: Graph $G = (V, E)$, Goal: Finding subset $\overline{S} \subseteq V$:, • no edge has both ends in \overline{S} inded degree (<i>d</i>)	
*	VERTEX	COVER	INDEPENDENT SET
Best algorithm	$2 - (2 - o_d)$	$(1))\frac{\log \log d}{\log d}$	$O\left(\frac{d\log\log d}{\log d}\right)$

VERTEX COVER and INDEPENDENT SET Hierarchies of strong LP/SDP formulations IG for VERTEX COVER in bounded degree graphs Open Questions

VERTEX COVER and INDEPENDENT SET

Definition (VERTEX COVER)		Definitio	n (Independent Set)
Input: Graph $G = (V, E)$, Goal: Finding subset $S \subseteq V$: • it touches each edge What is known: Graphs of bour		Input: G Goal: Fi • no edo nded degre	raph $G = (V, E)$, nding subset $\overline{S} \subseteq V$:, be has both ends in \overline{S} e (<i>d</i>)
*	Vertex (Cover	INDEPENDENT SET
Best algorithm NP-hardness	$2 - (2 - o_d)$	$1))\frac{\log \log d}{\log d}$	$O\left(\frac{d\log\log d}{\log d}\right)$ $\frac{d}{2^{O(\sqrt{\log d})}}$

[Halperin, 2002] [Samorodnitsky and Trevisan, 2000]

VERTEX COVER and INDEPENDENT SET Hierarchies of strong LP/SDP formulations IG for VERTEX COVER in bounded degree graphs Open Questions

Definition (VERTEX COVER)		Definitio	n (Independent Set)	
Input: Graph $G = (V, E)$, Goal: Finding subset $S \subseteq V$: • it touches each edge What is known: Graphs of bour		Input: Graph $G = (V, E)$, Goal: Finding subset $\overline{S} \subseteq V$:, e no edge has both ends in \overline{S} ded degree (<i>d</i>)		
*	Vertex Cover		INDEPENDENT SET	
Best algorithm	$2 - (2 - o_d)$	$1))\frac{\log \log d}{\log d}$	$O\left(\frac{d\log\log d}{\log d}\right)$	
NP-hardness		-	$\frac{d}{2^{O(\sqrt{\log d})}}$	
UGC-hardness	$2 - (2 + o_d)^2$	$1))\frac{\log\log d}{\log d}$	$\Omega\left(\frac{d}{\log^2 d}\right)$	
[Halperin, 2002] [Samorodnitsky and Trevisan, 2000]				

VERTEX COVER and INDEPENDENT SET Hierarchies of strong LP/SDP formulations IG for VERTEX COVER in bounded degree graphs Open Questions

Definition (VERTEX COVER)		Definitio	n (Independent Set)	
Input: Graph G = (Goal: Finding subse it touches each eo What is known: G	(V, E), set $S \subseteq V$: Graphs of bounded degree		raph $G = (V, E)$, nding subset $\overline{S} \subseteq V$:, pe has both ends in \overline{S} e (<i>d</i>)	
*	Vertex (Cover	INDEPENDENT SET	
Best algorithm	$2-(2-o_d(1))rac{\log\log d}{\log d}$		$O\left(\frac{d\log\log d}{\log d}\right)$	
NP-hardness		-	$\frac{d}{2^{O(\sqrt{\log d})}}$	
UGC-hardness	$2 - (2 + o_d)$	$1))\frac{\log \log d}{\log d}$	$\Omega\left(\frac{d}{\log^2 d}\right)$	
Hierarchy IGs				
[Halperin, 2002] [Samorodnitsky and Trevisan, 2000] [Austrin et al., 2009]				

VERTEX COVER and INDEPENDENT SET Hierarchies of strong LP/SDP formulations IG for VERTEX COVER in bounded degree graphs Open Questions

Definition (VERTEX COVER)		Definitio	n (Independent Set)		
Ir G	Input: Graph $G = (V, E)$, Goal: Finding subset $S \subseteq V$: • it touches each edge What is known: Graphs of bounded degree		raph $G = (V, E)$, nding subset $\overline{S} \subseteq V$:, be has both ends in \overline{S} e (<i>d</i>)		
1		Vertex	Cover	INDEPENDENT SET	
	Best algorithm	$2-(2-o_d(1))\frac{\log\log d}{\log d}$		$O\left(\frac{d\log\log d}{\log d}\right)$	
	NP-hardness		-	$\frac{d}{2^{O(\sqrt{\log d})}}$	
	UGC-hardness	$2 - (2 + o_d)$	$1))\frac{\log \log d}{\log d}$	$\Omega\left(\frac{d}{\log^2 d}\right)$	
	Hierarchy IGs	$2 - O(\frac{\log \log \log 1}{\log \log 1})$	$\left(\frac{d}{L}\right)$	$\Omega\left(\frac{d}{\log d}\right)$	
	[Halperin, 2002] [Samorodnitsky and Trevisan, 2000] [Austrin et al., 2009]				

VERTEX COVER and INDEPENDENT SET Hierarchies of strong LP/SDP formulations IG for VERTEX COVER in bounded degree graphs Open Questions

VERTEX COVER and INDEPENDENT SET

Definition (VERTEX COVER)		Definition (INDEPENDENT SET)	
Input: Graph $G = (V, E)$, Goal: Finding subset $S \subseteq V$: • it touches each edge What is known: Graphs of bounded de		Input: G Goal: Fi • no edo nded degre	raph $G = (V, E)$, nding subset $\overline{S} \subseteq V$:, the bas both ends in \overline{S} e (<i>d</i>)
*	Vertex (Cover	INDEPENDENT SET
Best algorithm	$2 - (2 - o_d)$	1)) $\frac{\log \log d}{\log d}$	$O\left(\frac{d \log \log d}{\log d}\right)$
NP-hardness		-	$\frac{d}{2^{O(\sqrt{\log d})}}$
UGC-hardness	$2 - (2 + o_d)$	$1))\frac{\log \log d}{\log d}$	$\Omega\left(\frac{d}{\log^2 d}\right)$
Hierarchy IGs	$2 - O(\log \log $	<u>Id</u>) (LS ⁺)	$\Omega\left(\frac{d}{\log d}\right)$ (SA)
[Halperin, 2002] [Samorodnitsky and Trevisan, 2000] [Austrin et al., 2009]			

VERTEX COVER and INDEPENDENT SET Hierarchies of strong LP/SDP formulations IG for VERTEX COVER in bounded degree graphs Open Questions

LP relaxation for VERTEX COVER

IP Formulation

 $\begin{array}{ll} \text{Minimize } \sum_{i \in V(G)} x_i & (1) \\ \text{Variables: } x_1, \dots, x_n \in \{0, 1\} \\ \text{Subject to:} \\ \forall ij \in E(G) \; x_i + x_j \geq 1 \end{array}$

VERTEX COVER and INDEPENDENT SET Hierarchies of strong LP/SDP formulations IG for VERTEX COVER in bounded degree graphs Open Questions

LP relaxation for VERTEX COVER

IP Formulation

Minimize
$$\sum_{i \in V(G)} x_i$$
 (1)
Variables: $x_1, \dots, x_n \in \{0, 1\}$
Subject to:
 $\forall ij \in E(G) \ x_i + x_j \ge 1$

LP relaxation

 $\begin{array}{ll} \text{Minimize } \sum_{i \in V(G)} x_i & (2) \\ \text{Variables: } x_1, \dots, x_n \in [0, 1] \\ \text{Subject to:} \\ \forall ij \in E(G) \; x_i + x_j \geq 1 \end{array}$

VERTEX COVER and INDEPENDENT SET Hierarchies of strong LP/SDP formulations IG for VERTEX COVER in bounded degree graphs Open Questions

LP relaxation for VERTEX COVER

IP Formulation

Minimize
$$\sum_{i \in V(G)} x_i$$
 (1)
Variables: $x_1, \dots, x_n \in \{0, 1\}$
Subject to:
 $\forall ij \in E(G) \ x_i + x_j \ge 1$

Exact, NP-hard to solve.

LP relaxation

 $\begin{array}{ll} \text{Minimize } \sum_{i \in V(G)} x_i & (2) \\ \text{Variables: } x_1, \dots, x_n \in [0, 1] \\ \text{Subject to:} \\ \forall ij \in E(G) \; x_i + x_j \geq 1 \end{array}$

Not Exact, easy to solve.

VERTEX COVER and INDEPENDENT SET Hierarchies of strong LP/SDP formulations IG for VERTEX COVER in bounded degree graphs Open Questions

LP relaxation

Subject to:

LP relaxation for VERTEX COVER

IP Formulation

Minimize
$$\sum_{i \in V(G)} x_i$$
 (1)

Variables:
$$x_1, \ldots, x_n \in \{0, 1\}$$

Subject to:

$$\forall ij \in E(G) \ x_i + x_j \geq 1$$

Exact, NP-hard to solve.

Not Exact, easy to solve.

 $\forall ij \in E(G) \ x_i + x_i \geq 1$

Minimize $\sum x_i$

 $i \in V(G)$ Variables: $x_1, \ldots, x_n \in [0, 1]$

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □

(2)

• Integrality gap: The ratio (1)/(2).

VERTEX COVER and INDEPENDENT SET Hierarchies of strong LP/SDP formulations IG for VERTEX COVER in bounded degree graphs Open Questions

LP relaxation

Subject to:

LP relaxation for VERTEX COVER

IP Formulation

Minimize
$$\sum_{i \in V(G)} x_i$$
 (1)
Variables: $x_1, \dots, x_n \in \{0, 1\}$
Subject to:
 $\forall ij \in E(G) \ x_i + x_j \ge 1$

Exact, NP-hard to solve.

Not Exact, easy to solve.

 $\forall ij \in E(G) \ x_i + x_i \geq 1$

Minimize $\sum x_i$

 $i \in V(G)$ Variables: $x_1, \ldots, x_n \in [0, 1]$

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □

(2)

Integrality gap: The ratio (1)/(2).
 Standard for how good the relaxation is.
VERTEX COVER and INDEPENDENT SET Hierarchies of strong LP/SDP formulations IG for VERTEX COVER in bounded degree graphs Open Questions

LP relaxation for VERTEX COVER

IP Formulation

Minimize
$$\sum_{i \in V(G)} x_i$$
 (1)
Variables: $x_1, \dots, x_n \in \{0, 1\}$
Subject to:
 $\forall ij \in E(G) \ x_i + x_i \ge 1$

Exact, NP-hard to solve.

LP relaxation

$$\begin{array}{ll} \text{Minimize } \sum_{i \in V(G)} x_i & (2) \\ \text{Variables: } x_1, \dots, x_n \in [0, 1] \\ \text{Subject to:} \\ \forall ij \in E(G) \; x_i + x_j \geq 1 \end{array}$$

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □

Not Exact, easy to solve.

Integrality gap: The ratio (1)/(2).
 Standard for how good the relaxation is.

• $IG \leq 2.$

VERTEX COVER and INDEPENDENT SET Hierarchies of strong LP/SDP formulations IG for VERTEX COVER in bounded degree graphs Open Questions

LP relaxation for VERTEX COVER

IP Formulation

Minimize
$$\sum_{i \in V(G)} x_i$$
 (1)
Variables: $x_1, \dots, x_n \in \{0, 1\}$
Subject to:
 $\forall ij \in E(G) \ x_i + x_j \ge 1$

Exact, NP-hard to solve.

LP relaxation

$$\begin{array}{ll} \text{Minimize } \sum_{i \in V(G)} x_i & (2) \\ \text{Variables: } x_1, \dots, x_n \in [0, 1] \\ \text{Subject to:} \\ \forall ij \in E(G) \; x_i + x_j \geq 1 \end{array}$$

・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・

Not Exact, easy to solve.

- Integrality gap: The ratio (1)/(2).
 Standard for how good the relaxation is.
- $2 o(1) \leq IG \leq 2$.

VERTEX COVER and INDEPENDENT SET Hierarchies of strong LP/SDP formulations IG for VERTEX COVER in bounded degree graphs Open Questions

LP relaxation for VERTEX COVER

IP Formulation

Minimize
$$\sum_{i \in V(G)} x_i$$
 (1)
Variables: $x_1, \dots, x_n \in \{0, 1\}$
Subject to:
 $\forall ij \in E(G) \ x_i + x_i \ge 1$

Exact, NP-hard to solve.

LP relaxation

$$\begin{array}{ll} \text{Minimize } \sum_{i \in V(G)} x_i & (2) \\ \text{Variables: } x_1, \dots, x_n \in [0, 1] \\ \text{Subject to:} \\ \forall ij \in E(G) \; x_i + x_j \geq 1 \end{array}$$

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □

Not Exact, easy to solve.

- Integrality gap: The ratio (1)/(2).
 Standard for how good the relaxation is.
- 2 − o(1) ≤ IG ≤ 2.
 factor 2 is inherent in (simple) LP based approaches.

VERTEX COVER and INDEPENDENT SET Hierarchies of strong LP/SDP formulations IG for VERTEX COVER in bounded degree graphs Open Questions

"Strengthening" the LP relaxation



VERTEX COVER and INDEPENDENT SET Hierarchies of strong LP/SDP formulations IG for VERTEX COVER in bounded degree graphs Open Questions

"Strengthening" the LP relaxation

• A distribution μ of VERTEX COVERS,



VERTEX COVER and INDEPENDENT SET Hierarchies of strong LP/SDP formulations IG for VERTEX COVER in bounded degree graphs Open Questions

"Strengthening" the LP relaxation

- A distribution μ of VERTEX COVERS,
- $x_i = \Pr_{S \sim \mu} [i \in S],$



VERTEX COVER and INDEPENDENT SET Hierarchies of strong LP/SDP formulations IG for VERTEX COVER in bounded degree graphs Open Questions

"Strengthening" the LP relaxation

- A distribution μ of VERTEX COVERS,
- $\mathbf{x}_i = \Pr_{\mathbf{S} \sim \mu} [i \in \mathbf{S}],$
- Add variable/constraints to encode more information about μ:



VERTEX COVER and INDEPENDENT SET Hierarchies of strong LP/SDP formulations IG for VERTEX COVER in bounded degree graphs Open Questions

"Strengthening" the LP relaxation

- A distribution μ of VERTEX COVERS,
- $\mathbf{x}_i = \Pr_{\mathbf{S} \sim \mu} [i \in \mathbf{S}],$
- Add variable/constraints to encode more information about μ:
 x_{ij} = Pr_{S∼μ} [i ∈ S; j ∈ S]

"Strong" LP relaxation

 $\begin{array}{l} \text{Minimize } \sum_{i \in V(G)} x_i \\ \text{Variables: } x_1, \dots, x_n \in [0, 1] \\ \mathbf{x}_{ij} \in [0, 1] \\ \text{Subject to:} \\ \forall ij \in E(G) \; x_i + x_j \geq 1 \end{array}$

VERTEX COVER and INDEPENDENT SET Hierarchies of strong LP/SDP formulations IG for VERTEX COVER in bounded degree graphs Open Questions

"Strengthening" the LP relaxation

- A distribution μ of VERTEX COVERS,
- $\mathbf{x}_i = \Pr_{\mathbf{S} \sim \mu} [i \in \mathbf{S}],$
- Add variable/constraints to encode more information about μ:
 x_{ij} = Pr_{S∼μ} [i ∈ S; j ∈ S]

"Strong" LP relaxation

 $\begin{array}{l} \text{Minimize } \sum_{i \in V(G)} x_i \\ \text{Variables: } x_1, \dots, x_n \in [0, 1] \\ x_{ij} \in [0, 1] \\ \text{Subject to:} \\ \forall ij \in E(G) \; x_i + x_j \geq 1 \\ \forall ij \; x_i + x_j - x_{ij} \geq 0 \end{array}$

VERTEX COVER and INDEPENDENT SET Hierarchies of strong LP/SDP formulations IG for VERTEX COVER in bounded degree graphs Open Questions

"Strengthening" the LP relaxation

- A distribution μ of VERTEX COVERS,
- $\mathbf{x}_i = \Pr_{\mathbf{S} \sim \mu} [i \in \mathbf{S}],$
- Add variable/constraints to encode more information about *µ*:
 x_{ij} = Pr_{S∼µ} [*i* ∈ S; *j* ∈ S]

(Equivalent to Sherali-Adams Hierarchy)

"Strong" LP relaxation

 $\begin{array}{l} \text{Minimize } \sum_{i \in V(G)} x_i \\ \text{Variables: } x_1, \dots, x_n \in [0,1] \\ x_{ij} \in [0,1] \\ \text{Subject to:} \\ \forall ij \in E(G) \; x_i + x_j \geq 1 \\ \forall ij \; x_i + x_j - x_{ij} \geq 0 \end{array}$

VERTEX COVER and INDEPENDENT SET Hierarchies of strong LP/SDP formulations IG for VERTEX COVER in bounded degree graphs Open Questions

"Strengthening" the LP relaxation

- A distribution μ of VERTEX COVERS,
- $x_i = \Pr_{S \sim \mu} [i \in S],$
- Add variable/constraints to encode more information about µ:
 x_{ij} = Pr_{S∼µ} [i ∈ S; j ∈ S]
 M = [x_{ij}]_{1 < i, i < n} ≥ 0

"Strong" LP relaxation

 $\begin{array}{ll} \text{Minimize } \sum_{i \in V(G)} x_i \\ \text{Variables: } x_1, \dots, x_n \in [0,1] \\ x_{ij} \in [0,1] \\ \text{Subject to:} \\ \forall ij \in E(G) \; x_i + x_j \geq 1 \\ \forall ij \; x_i + x_j - x_{ij} \geq 0 \\ M \succeq 0 \end{array}$

VERTEX COVER and INDEPENDENT SET Hierarchies of strong LP/SDP formulations IG for VERTEX COVER in bounded degree graphs Open Questions

"Strengthening" the LP relaxation

- A distribution μ of VERTEX COVERS,
- $\mathbf{x}_i = \Pr_{\mathbf{S} \sim \mu} [i \in \mathbf{S}],$
- Add variable/constraints to encode more information about µ:
 x_{ij} = Pr_{S∼µ} [i ∈ S; j ∈ S]
 M = [x_{ij}]_{1≤i,j≤n} ≿ 0
 (Equivalent to SDP relaxation of VERTEX COVER)

"Strong" LP relaxation

 $\begin{array}{ll} \text{Minimize } \sum_{i \in V(G)} x_i \\ \text{Variables: } x_1, \dots, x_n \in [0,1] \\ x_{ij} \in [0,1] \\ \text{Subject to:} \\ \forall ij \in E(G) \; x_i + x_j \geq 1 \\ \forall ij \; x_i + x_j - x_{ij} \geq 0 \\ M \succ 0 \end{array}$

VERTEX COVER and INDEPENDENT SET Hierarchies of strong LP/SDP formulations IG for VERTEX COVER in bounded degree graphs Open Questions

Lift and Project methods

Lift-and-Project methods

• Axiomatic methods to strengthen a relaxation.

Siavosh Benabbas

VERTEX COVER and INDEPENDENT SET Hierarchies of strong LP/SDP formulations IG for VERTEX COVER in bounded degree graphs Open Questions

Lift and Project methods

- Axiomatic methods to strengthen a relaxation.
- Often have a parameter "level" ℓ adjusting the strength.

VERTEX COVER and INDEPENDENT SET Hierarchies of strong LP/SDP formulations IG for VERTEX COVER in bounded degree graphs Open Questions

Lift and Project methods

- Axiomatic methods to strengthen a relaxation.
- Often have a parameter "level" ℓ adjusting the strength.
- ℓ = 0: original formulation, ℓ = O(1): tractable, ℓ = n: exact formulation.

VERTEX COVER and INDEPENDENT SET Hierarchies of strong LP/SDP formulations IG for VERTEX COVER in bounded degree graphs Open Questions

Lift and Project methods

- Axiomatic methods to strengthen a relaxation.
- Often have a parameter "level" ℓ adjusting the strength.
- ℓ = 0: original formulation, ℓ = O(1): tractable, ℓ = n: exact formulation.
- Relaxation used in many algorithms is weaker than $\ell = 4$.

VERTEX COVER and INDEPENDENT SET Hierarchies of strong LP/SDP formulations IG for VERTEX COVER in bounded degree graphs Open Questions

Lift and Project methods

- Axiomatic methods to strengthen a relaxation.
- Often have a parameter "level" ℓ adjusting the strength.
- $\ell = 0$: original formulation, $\ell = O(1)$: tractable, $\ell = n$: exact formulation.
- Relaxation used in many algorithms is weaker than $\ell = 4$.
- Used in algorithms [Chlamtac, 2007], [Bateni et al., 2009], [Barak et al., 2011],...

VERTEX COVER and INDEPENDENT SET Hierarchies of strong LP/SDP formulations IG for VERTEX COVER in bounded degree graphs Open Questions

Lift and Project methods

- Axiomatic methods to strengthen a relaxation.
- Often have a parameter "level" ℓ adjusting the strength.
- $\ell = 0$: original formulation, $\ell = O(1)$: tractable, $\ell = n$: exact formulation.
- Relaxation used in many algorithms is weaker than $\ell = 4$.
- Used in algorithms [Chlamtac, 2007], [Bateni et al., 2009], [Barak et al., 2011],...
- Integrality Gap studied extensively [Arora et al., 2006], [Charikar, 2002], [de la Vega and Kenyon-Mathieu, 2007], [Georgiou et al., 2007], [Schoenebeck, 2008], [Raghavendra and Steurer, 2009], ...

VERTEX COVER and INDEPENDENT SET Hierarchies of strong LP/SDP formulations IG for VERTEX COVER in bounded degree graphs Open Questions

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □

General Strategy

• Start with IG for VERTEX COVER (unbounded degree)

Siavosh Benabbas

VERTEX COVER and INDEPENDENT SET Hierarchies of strong LP/SDP formulations IG for VERTEX COVER in bounded degree graphs Open Questions

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ 三回目 のQ()

- Start with IG for VERTEX COVER (unbounded degree):
 G = (V, E) such that,
 - If S ⊂ V(G) is "small", there is an edge with both ends outside S.

VERTEX COVER and INDEPENDENT SET Hierarchies of strong LP/SDP formulations IG for VERTEX COVER in bounded degree graphs Open Questions

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□► ◇Q@

- Start with IG for VERTEX COVER (unbounded degree):
 - G = (V, E) such that,
 - If S ⊂ V(G) is "small", there is an edge with both ends outside S.
 - The value of the VERTEX COVER relaxation for G is small.

VERTEX COVER and INDEPENDENT SET Hierarchies of strong LP/SDP formulations IG for VERTEX COVER in bounded degree graphs Open Questions

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□► ◇Q@

- Start with IG for VERTEX COVER (unbounded degree):
 - G = (V, E) such that,
 - If S ⊂ V(G) is "small", there is an edge with both ends outside S.
 - The value of the VERTEX COVER relaxation for G is small.
- Sample |V(G)|d/4 edges of G at random, call the result \tilde{G}

VERTEX COVER and INDEPENDENT SET Hierarchies of strong LP/SDP formulations IG for VERTEX COVER in bounded degree graphs Open Questions

◆□ ▶ ◆□ ▶ ▲ = ▶ ▲ = ▶ ④ ● ●

- Start with IG for VERTEX COVER (unbounded degree):
 - G = (V, E) such that,
 - If S ⊂ V(G) is "small", there is an edge with both ends outside S.
 - The value of the VERTEX COVER relaxation for G is small.
- Sample |V(G)|d/4 edges of G at random, call the result \tilde{G}
- \tilde{G} has average degree d/2, maximum degree $\leq d$.

VERTEX COVER and INDEPENDENT SET Hierarchies of strong LP/SDP formulations IG for VERTEX COVER in bounded degree graphs Open Questions

◆□ ▶ ◆□ ▶ ▲ = ▶ ▲ = ▶ ④ ● ●

- Start with IG for VERTEX COVER (unbounded degree):
 - G = (V, E) such that,
 - If S ⊂ V(G) is "small", there is an edge with both ends outside S.
 - The value of the VERTEX COVER relaxation for G is small.
- Sample |V(G)|d/4 edges of G at random, call the result \tilde{G}
- \tilde{G} has average degree d/2, maximum degree $\leq d$.
- Is G̃ an IG instance?

VERTEX COVER and INDEPENDENT SET Hierarchies of strong LP/SDP formulations IG for VERTEX COVER in bounded degree graphs Open Questions

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □

- Start with IG for VERTEX COVER (unbounded degree):
 - G = (V, E) such that,
 - If S ⊂ V(G) is "small", there is an edge with both ends outside S.
 - The value of the VERTEX COVER relaxation for G is small.
- Sample |V(G)|d/4 edges of G at random, call the result \tilde{G}
- \tilde{G} has average degree d/2, maximum degree $\leq d$.
- Is G̃ an IG instance?
 - The value of the VERTEX COVER relaxation for \tilde{G} is small.

VERTEX COVER and INDEPENDENT SET Hierarchies of strong LP/SDP formulations IG for VERTEX COVER in bounded degree graphs Open Questions

- Start with IG for VERTEX COVER (unbounded degree):
 - G = (V, E) such that,
 - If S ⊂ V(G) is "small", there is an edge with both ends outside S.
 - The value of the VERTEX COVER relaxation for G is small.
- Sample |V(G)|d/4 edges of G at random, call the result \tilde{G}
- \tilde{G} has average degree d/2, maximum degree $\leq d$.
- Is G̃ an IG instance?
 - If S ⊂ V(G̃) is "small", is there an edge with both ends outside S?
 - The value of the VERTEX COVER relaxation for \tilde{G} is small.

VERTEX COVER and INDEPENDENT SET Hierarchies of strong LP/SDP formulations IG for VERTEX COVER in bounded degree graphs Open Questions

- Start with IG for VERTEX COVER (unbounded degree):
 - G = (V, E) such that,
 - If S ⊂ V(G) is "small", there is an edge with both ends outside S.
 - The value of the VERTEX COVER relaxation for G is small.
- Sample |V(G)|d/4 edges of G at random, call the result \tilde{G}
- \tilde{G} has average degree d/2, maximum degree $\leq d$.
- Is G̃ an IG instance?
 - If S ⊂ V(G̃) is "small", is there an edge with both ends outside S?
 - The value of the VERTEX COVER relaxation for \tilde{G} is small.
- We have to show \overline{S} is dense in G!

VERTEX COVER and INDEPENDENT SET Hierarchies of strong LP/SDP formulations IG for VERTEX COVER in bounded degree graphs Open Questions

Frankl-Rödl Graphs[Frankl and Rodl, 1987]

•
$$G_{\lambda}^{(n)} = (\{0,1\}^n, E).$$

< □ > < @ > < 注 > < 注 > 注 = > < 2 = の < 0

Siavosh Benabbas

VERTEX COVER and INDEPENDENT SET Hierarchies of strong LP/SDP formulations IG for VERTEX COVER in bounded degree graphs Open Questions

Frankl-Rödl Graphs[Frankl and Rodl, 1987]

•
$$G_{\lambda}^{(n)} = (\{0,1\}^n, E).$$

• $(x, y) \in E(G) \iff d_H(x, y) = (1 - \lambda)n.$

VERTEX COVER and INDEPENDENT SET Hierarchies of strong LP/SDP formulations IG for VERTEX COVER in bounded degree graphs Open Questions

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ▲目▶ ▲目▶ ●□□ ●○○

Frankl-Rödl Graphs[Frankl and Rodl, 1987]

•
$$G_{\lambda}^{(n)} = (\{0,1\}^n, E).$$

• $(x,y) \in E(G) \iff d_H(x,y) = (1-\lambda)n.$

Theorem ([Frankl and Rodl, 1987])

If $U \subseteq \{0,1\}^n$ and $|U| > \xi^n 2^n$ implies U is not independent.

VERTEX COVER and INDEPENDENT SET Hierarchies of strong LP/SDP formulations IG for VERTEX COVER in bounded degree graphs Open Questions

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ▲目▶ ▲目▶ ●□□ ●○○

Frankl-Rödl Graphs[Frankl and Rodl, 1987]

•
$$G_{\lambda}^{(n)} = (\{0,1\}^n, E).$$

• $(x,y) \in E(G) \iff d_H(x,y) = (1-\lambda)n.$

Theorem ([Frankl and Rodl, 1987])

If $U \subseteq \{0,1\}^n$ and $|U| > \xi^n 2^n$ implies U is not independent.

We need to show such U is also dense!

VERTEX COVER and INDEPENDENT SET Hierarchies of strong LP/SDP formulations IG for VERTEX COVER in bounded degree graphs Open Questions

Frankl-Rödl Graphs[Frankl and Rodl, 1987]

•
$$G_{\lambda}^{(n)} = (\{0,1\}^n, E).$$

• $(x,y) \in E(G) \iff d_H(x,y) = (1-\lambda)n.$

Theorem ([Frankl and Rodl, 1987])

If $U \subseteq \{0,1\}^n$ and $|U| > \xi^n 2^n$ implies U is not independent.

We need to show such U is also dense!

Theorem

$$orall U \subseteq \{0,1\}^n, |U| \ge \mu 2^n :$$

$$\Pr_{\substack{\textbf{x}, \textbf{y}: d_{\mathcal{H}}(\textbf{x}, \textbf{y}) = (1-\lambda)n}} [\textbf{x}, \textbf{y} \in U] > \epsilon = \epsilon(\mu, \lambda).$$

VERTEX COVER and INDEPENDENT SET Hierarchies of strong LP/SDP formulations IG for VERTEX COVER in bounded degree graphs Open Questions

◆□ ▶ ◆□ ▶ ▲ = ▶ ▲ = ▶ ④ ● ●

Integrality Gap results

Theorem

For any constant ℓ , the Integrality Gap for level- ℓ Lovasz-Schrijver SDP relaxation (LS⁺) for VERTEX COVER in graphs of maximum degree d is 2 – O $\left(\frac{\log \log d}{\log d}\right)$.

VERTEX COVER and INDEPENDENT SET Hierarchies of strong LP/SDP formulations IG for VERTEX COVER in bounded degree graphs Open Questions

◆□ ▶ ◆□ ▶ ▲ = ▶ ▲ = ▶ ④ ● ●

Integrality Gap results

Theorem

For any constant ℓ , the Integrality Gap for level- ℓ Lovasz-Schrijver SDP relaxation (LS⁺) for VERTEX COVER in graphs of maximum degree d is 2 – O $\left(\frac{\log \log d}{\log d}\right)$.

Proof: Apply the above construction to [Georgiou et al., 2007].

VERTEX COVER and INDEPENDENT SET Hierarchies of strong LP/SDP formulations ICs for VERTEX COVER in bounded degree graphs Open Questions

Integrality Gap results

Theorem

For any constant ℓ , the Integrality Gap for level- ℓ Lovasz-Schrijver SDP relaxation (LS⁺) for VERTEX COVER in graphs of maximum degree d is 2 – O $\left(\frac{\log \log d}{\log d}\right)$.

Proof: Apply the above construction to [Georgiou et al., 2007].

Theorem

For any constant ℓ , the Integrality Gap for level- ℓ Sherali-Adams LP relaxation for INDEPENDENT SET in graphs of maximum degree d is $\Omega\left(\frac{d}{\log d}\right)$.

VERTEX COVER and INDEPENDENT SET Hierarchies of strong LP/SDP formulations IG for VERTEX COVER in bounded degree graphs Open Questions

Integrality Gap results

Theorem

For any constant ℓ , the Integrality Gap for level- ℓ Lovasz-Schrijver SDP relaxation (LS⁺) for VERTEX COVER in graphs of maximum degree d is 2 – O $\left(\frac{\log \log d}{\log d}\right)$.

Proof: Apply the above construction to [Georgiou et al., 2007].

Theorem

For any constant ℓ , the Integrality Gap for level- ℓ Sherali-Adams LP relaxation for INDEPENDENT SET in graphs of maximum degree d is $\Omega\left(\frac{d}{\log d}\right)$.

Proof: Apply the above construction to [Benabbas et al., 2011].

Siavosh Benabbas
An Isoperimetric Inequality for the Hamming Cube Integrality Gaps in Bounded-degree Graphs VERTEX COVER and INDEPENDENT SET Hierarchies of strong LP/SDP formulations IG for VERTEX COVER in bounded degree graphs Open Questions

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ 三回目 のQ()

Integrality Gaps in Bounded-degree Graphs: Open Questions

 Improve the UGC-hardness of INDEPENDENT SET in degree-bounded graphs,

Siavosh Benabbas

An Isoperimetric Inequality for the Hamming Cube Integrality Gaps in Bounded-degree Graphs VERTEX COVER and INDEPENDENT SET Hierarchies of strong LP/SDP formulations IG for VERTEX COVER in bounded degree graphs Open Questions

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ 三回目 のQ()

Integrality Gaps in Bounded-degree Graphs: Open Questions

- Improve the UGC-hardness of INDEPENDENT SET in degree-bounded graphs,
- Extend our results to other hierarchies.

An Isoperimetric Inequality for the Hamming Cube Integrality Gaps in Bounded-degree Graphs VERTEX COVER and INDEPENDENT SET Hierarchies of strong LP/SDP formulations IG for VERTEX COVER in bounded degree graphs Open Questions

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ 三回目 のQ()

Integrality Gaps in Bounded-degree Graphs: Open Questions

- Improve the UGC-hardness of INDEPENDENT SET in degree-bounded graphs,
- Extend our results to other hierarchies.

Thank you!

Arora, S., Bollobás, B., Lovász, L., and Tourlakis, I. (2006). Proving integrality gaps without knowing the linear program. Theory of Computing, 2(2):10, 51

Theory of Computing, 2(2):19–51.

- Austrin, P., Khot, S., and Safra, M. (2009).
 Inapproximability of Vertex Cover and Independent Set in bounded degree graphs.
 Annual IEEE Conference on Computational Complexity, pages 74–80.
- Barak, B., Raghavendra, P., and Steurer, D. (2011). Rounding semidefinite programming hierarchies via global correlation. In EQCS'11.

To appear.

 Bateni, M. H., Charikar, M., and Guruswami, V. (2009). MaxMin allocation via degree lower-bounded arborescences.
 In STOC'09, pages 543–552. ACM Press.

 Benabbas, S., Chan, S. O., Georgiou, K., and Magen, A. (2011).
 Tight integrality gap for Sherali-Adams SDPs for Vertex Cover.
 to appear in FSTTCS.

to appear in FSTTCS.

Charikar, M. (2002).

On semidefinite programming relaxations for graph coloring and Vertex Cover.

In SODA'02: Proceedings of the 13th annual ACM-SIAM symposium on Discrete algorithms, pages 616–620, Philadelphia, PA, USA. ACM Press.

Charikar, M., Makarychev, K., and Makarychev, Y. (2009). Integrality gaps for Sherali-Adams relaxations. In STOC'09: Proceedings of the 41st annual ACM symposium on Theory of computing, pages 283–292, New York, NY, USA. ACM Press.

Chlamtac, E. (2007).

Approximation Algorithms Using Hierarchies of Semidefinite Programming Relaxations.

In IEEE Symposium on Foundations of Computer Science (FOCS), pages 691–701.

- de la Vega, W. F. and Kenyon-Mathieu, C. (2007). Linear programming relaxations of Max Cut. In SODA'07, pages 53–61. ACM Press.
- Dinur, I. and Safra, S. (2005).

On the Hardness of Approximating Minimum Vertex Cover. *Annals of Mathematics*, 162(1):439–485.

Feige, U. (2004).

Approximating Maximum Clique by Removing Subgraphs. *SIAM J. Discrete Math.*, 18(2):219–225.

Frankl, P. and Rodl, V. (1987). Forbidden intersections.

Transactions of the American Mathematical Society, 300(1):259–286.

Georgiou, K., Magen, A., Pitassi, T., and Tourlakis, I. (2007).

Integrality Gaps of 2 - o(1) for Vertex Cover SDPs in the Lovász-Schrijver Hierarchy.

In IEEE Symposium on Foundations of Computer Science (FOCS), pages 702–712.

Halperin, E. (2002).

Improved Approximation Algorithms for the Vertex Cover Problem in Graphs and Hypergraphs.

SIAM J. Comput., 31(5):1608–1623.

Håstad, J. (1996). Clique is hard to approximate within $n^{1-\epsilon}$.

In IEEE Symposium on Foundations of Computer Science (FOCS), pages 627–636.

- Karakostas, G. (2005).
 A Better Approximation Ratio for the Vertex Cover Problem.
 In International Colloquium on Automata, Languages and Programming (ICALP), pages 1043–1050.
- Khot, S. and Regev, O. (2008).

Vertex Cover Might be Hard to Approximate to Within $2 - \epsilon$.

► < = ► = = < <</p>

Journal of Computer and System Sciences, 74(3):335–349.

 Mossel, E., O'Donnell, R., Regev, O., Steif, J. E., and Sudakov, B. (2006).
 Non-interactive correlation distillation, inhomogeneous Markov chains, and the reverse Bonami-Beckner inequality.

Israel Journal of Mathematics, 154:299–336.

- Raghavendra, P. and Steurer, D. (2009).
 Integrality gaps for strong SDP relaxations of Unique Games.
 In *FOCS'09*, pages 575–585. IEEE Computer Society.
- Samorodnitsky, A. and Trevisan, L. (2000). A PCP characterization of NP with optimal amortized query complexity.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ 三回目 のQ()

In ACM Symposium on Theory of Computing (STOC), pages 191–199.

Schoenebeck, G. (2008).

Linear Level Lasserre Lower Bounds for Certain *k*-CSPs. In *IEEE Symposium on Foundations of Computer Science* (FOCS), pages 593–602.

Tulsiani, M. (2009).

CSP gaps and reductions in the Lasserre hierarchy. In STOC'09: Proceedings of the 41th annual ACM symposium on Theory of computing, pages 303–312, New York, NY, USA. ACM Press.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ④ ●