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## Example

$$
000
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
n=3 \tag{100}
\end{equation*}
$$
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By [Frankl and Rodl, 1987]:
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## Theorem (A new Isoperimetric Inequality)

$\forall \delta \in(0,1)$, and large enough $n$, if $U, W \subseteq\{0,1\}^{n}$, $|U|,|W| \geq \mu 2^{n}$,

$$
\operatorname{Pr}_{x, y}[x \in U, y \in W]>\epsilon \quad \epsilon=\mu^{\frac{2}{1-1-2 \sigma \mid}}-o(1)
$$

$x, y$ chosen randomly so that $d_{H}(x, y)=d$ or $d+1, d=\lfloor\delta n\rfloor$.
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n=25 \cdot d=6 \quad n=25 \cdot d=7
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## High level of our proof $\left(\mathcal{T}_{1-2 \delta} \simeq\left(\mathcal{S}_{d}+\mathcal{S}_{d+1}\right) / 2\right)$

- Both are linear operators,
- Have the same Eigenvectors. $\chi_{S}(x)=\prod_{i \in S}(-1)^{x_{i}}, S \subseteq[n]$
- Both have $n+1$ (repeated) eigenvalues.plotted below,
- Compare to $\left(\mathcal{S}_{d}+\mathcal{S}_{d+1}\right) / 2$.
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$$
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Could result in a new proof of [Frankl and Rodl, 1987].

- "Density" version of other Theorems of
[Frankl and Rodl, 1987].
Theorem ([Frankl and Rodl, 1987])

$$
\begin{array}{rr}
\forall U \subseteq\{0,1\}^{n},|U| \geq \mu 2^{n}: & \left(\mu=\xi^{n}\right) \\
\operatorname{Pr}_{x, y}[x \in U, y \in U]>0 & \sum_{i} x_{i} y_{i}=\delta n
\end{array}
$$
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## Definition (INDEPENDENT SET)

Input: Graph $G=(V, E)$,
Goal: Finding subset $\bar{S} \subseteq V$ :, - no edne has both ends in $\bar{S}$

|  | Vertex Cover | Independent Set |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Best algorithm | $2-o(1)$ | $O(n / \operatorname{polylog}(n))$ |

[Karakostas, 2005] [Feige, 2004]
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## Definition (INDEPENDENT SET)

Input: Graph $G=(V, E)$,
Goal: Finding subset $\bar{S} \subseteq V$ :, - no edne has both ends in $\bar{S}$

|  | Vertex Cover | INDEPENDENT SET |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Best algorithm | $2-o(1)$ | $O(n /$ polylog $(n))$ |
| NP-hardness | 1.36 | $\Omega\left(n^{1-\epsilon}\right)$ |

[Karakostas, 2005] [Feige, 2004] [Dinur and Safra, 2005] [Håstad, 1996]

## Vertex Cover and Independent Set

## Definition (VERTEX COVER)

Input: Graph $G=(V, E)$,
Goal: Finding subset $S \subseteq V$ :

- it touches each edoe


## Definition (INDEPENDENT SET)

Input: Graph $G=(V, E)$,
Goal: Finding subset $\bar{S} \subseteq V$ :, - no edne has both ends in $\bar{S}$

- What is known: General Graphs

|  | VERTEX Cover | Independent Set |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Best algorithm | $2-o(1)$ | $O(n /$ polylog $(n))$ |
| NP-hardness | 1.36 | $\Omega\left(n^{1-\epsilon}\right)$ |
| UGC-hardness | $2-\epsilon$ |  |

[Karakostas, 2005] [Feige, 2004] [Dinur and Safra, 2005]
[Håstad, 1996] [Khot and Regev, 2008]

## Vertex Cover and Independent Set

## Definition (VERTEX COVER)

Input: Graph $G=(V, E)$,
Goal: Finding subset $S \subseteq V$ :

- it touches each edoe


## What is known: General Graphs

$-$

|  | VERTEX COVER | INDEPENDENT SET |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Best algorithm | $2-o(1)$ | $O(n / \operatorname{polylog}(n))$ |
| NP-hardness | 1.36 | $\Omega\left(n^{1-\epsilon}\right)$ |
| UGC-hardness | $2-\epsilon$ |  |
| Hierarchy IGs | $2-\epsilon\left(\mathrm{LS}^{+}\right.$, SA $)$ | $n / 2^{O(\sqrt{\log n \log \log n})}$ |
|  | 1.36 (Lasserre) | (Lasserre) |

[Karakostas, 2005] [Feige, 2004] [Dinur and Safra, 2005]
[Håstad, 1996] [Khot and Regev, 2008] [Tulsiani, 2009]
[Charikar et al., 2009].
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Goal: Finding subset $S \subseteq V$ :

- it touches each edoe

What is known: Graphs of bounded degree ( $d$ )

|  | Vertex Cover | INDEPENDENT SET |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Best algorithm | $2-\left(2-o_{d}(1)\right) \frac{\log \log d}{\log d}$ | $O\left(\frac{d \log \log d}{\log d}\right)$ |

[Halperin, 2002]
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Input: Graph $G=(V, E)$,
Goal: Finding subset $S \subseteq V$ :

- it touches each_edne

What is known: Graphs of bounded degree ( $d$ )

|  | VERTEX COVER | INDEPENDENT SET |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Best algorithm | $2-\left(2-o_{d}(1)\right) \frac{\log \log d}{\log d}$ | $O\left(\frac{d \log \log d}{\log d}\right)$ |
| NP-hardness |  | $\frac{d}{2^{O(\sqrt{\log d})}}$ |

[Halperin, 2002] [Samorodnitsky and Trevisan, 2000]
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## Definition (VERTEX COVER)
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Goal: Finding subset $S \subseteq V$ :

- it touches each edne

What is known: Graphs of bounded degree ( $d$ )

|  | VERTEX COVER | INDEPENDENT SET |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Best algorithm | $2-\left(2-o_{d}(1)\right) \frac{\log \log d}{\log d}$ | $O\left(\frac{d \log \log d}{\log d}\right)$ |
| NP-hardness |  | $\frac{d}{2^{0(\sqrt{\log d})}}$ |
| UGC-hardness | $2-\left(2+o_{d}(1)\right) \frac{\log \log d}{\log d}$ | $\Omega\left(\frac{d}{\log ^{2} d}\right)$ |

[Halperin, 2002] [Samorodnitsky and Trevisan, 2000]
[Austrin et al., 2009]
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| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Best algorithm | $2-\left(2-o_{d}(1)\right) \frac{\log \log d}{\log d}$ | $O\left(\frac{d \log \log d}{\log d}\right)$ |
| NP-hardness |  | $\frac{(1)}{20(\sqrt{\log d})}$ |
| UGC-hardness | $2-\left(2+o_{d}(1)\right) \frac{\log \log d}{\log d}$ | $\Omega\left(\frac{d}{\log ^{2} d}\right)$ |

## Hierarchy IGs

[Halperin, 2002] [Samorodnitsky and Trevisan, 2000]
[Austrin et al., 2009]
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## Definition (VERTEX COVER)

Input: Graph $G=(V, E)$,
Goal: Finding subset $S \subseteq V$ :

- it touches each edoe

What is known: Graphs of bounded degree ( $d$ )

|  | VERTEX COVER | INDEPENDENT SET |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Best algorithm | $2-\left(2-o_{d}(1)\right) \frac{\log \log d}{\log d}$ | $O\left(\frac{d \log \log d}{\log d}\right)$ |
| NP-hardness |  | $\frac{d}{20(\sqrt{\log d)}}$ |
| UGC-hardness | $2-\left(2+o_{d}(1)\right) \frac{\log \log d}{\log d}$ | $\Omega\left(\frac{d}{\log d}\right)$ |
| Hierarchy IGs | $2-O\left(\frac{\log \log d}{\log d}\right)\left(\right.$ LS $\left.^{+}\right)$ | $\Omega\left(\frac{d}{\log d}\right)($ SA $)$ |

[Halperin, 2002] [Samorodnitsky and Trevisan, 2000]
[Austrin et al., 2009]

## LP relaxation for Vertex Cover

## IP Formulation

Minimize

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i} x_{i} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Variables: $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n} \in\{0,1\}$
Subject to:
$\forall i j \in E(G) x_{i}+x_{j} \geq 1$
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## LP relaxation for VERTEX Cover

## IP Formulation

Minimize $\sum_{i \in V(G)} x_{i}$
(1)

Variables: $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n} \in\{0,1\}$
Subject to:

$$
\forall i j \in E(G) x_{i}+x_{j} \geq 1
$$

## LP relaxation

## Minimize $\sum_{i \in V(G)} x_{i}$

Variables: $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n} \in[0,1]$
Subject to:

$$
\forall i j \in E(G) x_{i}+x_{j} \geq 1
$$

Not Exact, easy to solve.

Exact, NP-hard to solve.

- Integrality gap: The ratio (1)/(2). Standard for how good the relaxation is.
- $2-o(1) \leq I G \leq 2$. factor 2 is inherent in (simple) LP based approaches.
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- A distribution $\mu$ of Vertex Covers,
- $x_{i}=\operatorname{Pr}_{S \sim \mu}[i \in S]$,
- Add variable/constraints to encode more information about $\mu$ :

$$
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$$
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## "Strengthening" the LP relaxation

## "Strong" LP relaxation

- A distribution $\mu$ of Vertex Covers,
- $x_{i}=\operatorname{Pr}_{S \sim \mu}[i \in S]$,
- Add variable/constraints to encode more information about $\mu$ :
$x_{i j}=\operatorname{Pr}_{S \sim \mu}[i \in S ; j \in S]$
(Equivalent to Sherali-Adams Hierarchy)

Minimize $\sum_{i \in V(G)} x_{i}$
Variables: $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n} \in[0,1]$

$$
x_{i j} \in[0,1]
$$

Subject to:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \forall i j \in E(G) x_{i}+x_{j} \geq 1 \\
& \quad \forall i j x_{i}+x_{j}-x_{i j} \geq 0
\end{aligned}
$$

## "Strengthening" the LP relaxation

## "Strong" LP relaxation

- A distribution $\mu$ of Vertex Covers,
- $x_{i}=\operatorname{Pr}_{S \sim \mu}[i \in S]$,
- Add variable/constraints to encode more information about $\mu$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x_{i j}=\operatorname{Pr}_{S \sim \mu}[i \in S ; j \in S] \\
& M=\left[x_{i j}\right]_{1 \leq i, j \leq n} \succeq 0
\end{aligned}
$$

Minimize $\sum_{i \in V(G)} x_{i}$
Variables: $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n} \in[0,1]$

$$
x_{i j} \in[0,1]
$$

Subject to:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\forall i j \in E(G) x_{i}+x_{j} \geq 1 \\
\forall i j x_{i}+x_{j}-x_{i j} \geq 0 \\
M \succeq 0
\end{gathered}
$$

## "Strengthening" the LP relaxation

## "Strong" LP relaxation

- A distribution $\mu$ of Vertex Covers,
- $x_{i}=\operatorname{Pr}_{S \sim \mu}[i \in S]$,
- Add variable/constraints to encode more information about $\mu$ :
$x_{i j}=\operatorname{Pr}_{S \sim \mu}[i \in S ; j \in S]$
$M=\left[x_{i j}\right]_{1 \leq i, j \leq n} \succeq 0$
(Equivalent to SDP relaxation of
Vertex Cover)
Minimize $\sum_{i \in V(G)} x_{i}$
Variables: $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n} \in[0,1]$

$$
x_{i j} \in[0,1]
$$

Subject to:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\forall i j \in E(G) x_{i}+x_{j} \geq 1 \\
\forall i j x_{i}+x_{j}-x_{i j} \geq 0 \\
M \succeq 0
\end{gathered}
$$
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## Lift and Project methods

## Lift-and-Project methods

- Axiomatic methods to strengthen a relaxation.
- Often have a parameter "level" $\ell$ adjusting the strength.
- $\ell=0$ : original formulation, $\ell=O(1)$ : tractable, $\ell=n$ : exact formulation.
- Relaxation used in many algorithms is weaker than $\ell=4$.
- Used in algorithms [Chlamtac, 2007], [Bateni et al., 2009], [Barak et al., 2011],...
- Integrality Gap studied extensively [Arora et al., 2006], [Charikar, 2002], [de la Vega and Kenyon-Mathieu, 2007], [Georgiou et al., 2007], [Schoenebeck, 2008], [Raghavendra and Steurer, 2009], ...


## General Strategy

- Start with IG for Vertex Cover (unbounded degree)


## General Strategy

- Start with IG for Vertex Cover (unbounded degree): $G=(V, E)$ such that,
- If $S \subset V(G)$ is "small", there is an edge with both ends outside $S$.


## General Strategy

- Start with IG for Vertex Cover (unbounded degree): $G=(V, E)$ such that,
- If $S \subset V(G)$ is "small", there is an edge with both ends outside $S$.
- The value of the Vertex Cover relaxation for $G$ is small.


## General Strategy

- Start with IG for Vertex Cover (unbounded degree): $G=(V, E)$ such that,
- If $S \subset V(G)$ is "small", there is an edge with both ends outside $S$.
- The value of the Vertex Cover relaxation for $G$ is small.
- Sample $|V(G)| d / 4$ edges of $G$ at random, call the result $\tilde{G}$


## General Strategy

- Start with IG for Vertex Cover (unbounded degree): $G=(V, E)$ such that,
- If $S \subset V(G)$ is "small", there is an edge with both ends outside $S$.
- The value of the Vertex Cover relaxation for $G$ is small.
- Sample $|V(G)| d / 4$ edges of $G$ at random, call the result $\tilde{G}$
- $\tilde{G}$ has average degree $d / 2$, maximum degree $\leq d$.


## General Strategy

- Start with IG for Vertex Cover (unbounded degree): $G=(V, E)$ such that,
- If $S \subset V(G)$ is "small", there is an edge with both ends outside $S$.
- The value of the Vertex Cover relaxation for $G$ is small.
- Sample $|V(G)| d / 4$ edges of $G$ at random, call the result $\tilde{G}$
- $\tilde{G}$ has average degree $d / 2$, maximum degree $\leq d$.
- Is $\tilde{G}$ an IG instance?


## General Strategy

- Start with IG for Vertex Cover (unbounded degree): $G=(V, E)$ such that,
- If $S \subset V(G)$ is "small", there is an edge with both ends outside $S$.
- The value of the Vertex Cover relaxation for $G$ is small.
- Sample $|V(G)| d / 4$ edges of $G$ at random, call the result $\tilde{G}$
- $\tilde{G}$ has average degree $d / 2$, maximum degree $\leq d$.
- Is $\tilde{G}$ an IG instance?
- The value of the VERTEX COVER relaxation for $\tilde{G}$ is small.


## General Strategy

- Start with IG for Vertex Cover (unbounded degree): $G=(V, E)$ such that,
- If $S \subset V(G)$ is "small", there is an edge with both ends outside $S$.
- The value of the Vertex Cover relaxation for $G$ is small.
- Sample $|V(G)| d / 4$ edges of $G$ at random, call the result $\tilde{G}$
- $\tilde{G}$ has average degree $d / 2$, maximum degree $\leq d$.
- Is $\tilde{G}$ an IG instance?
- If $S \subset V(\tilde{G})$ is "small", is there an edge with both ends outside $S$ ?
- The value of the Vertex Cover relaxation for $\tilde{G}$ is small.


## General Strategy

- Start with IG for Vertex Cover (unbounded degree): $G=(V, E)$ such that,
- If $S \subset V(G)$ is "small", there is an edge with both ends outside $S$.
- The value of the Vertex Cover relaxation for $G$ is small.
- Sample $|V(G)| d / 4$ edges of $G$ at random, call the result $\tilde{G}$
- $\tilde{G}$ has average degree $d / 2$, maximum degree $\leq d$.
- Is $\tilde{G}$ an IG instance?
- If $S \subset V(\tilde{G})$ is "small", is there an edge with both ends outside $S$ ?
- The value of the Vertex Cover relaxation for $\tilde{G}$ is small.
- We have to show $\bar{S}$ is dense in $G$ !
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- $G_{\lambda}^{(n)}=\left(\{0,1\}^{n}, E\right)$.
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## Theorem ([Frankl and Rodl, 1987])

If $U \subseteq\{0,1\}^{n}$ and $|U|>\xi^{n} 2^{n}$ implies $U$ is not independent.
We need to show such $U$ is also dense!
Theorem

$$
\begin{aligned}
\forall U \subseteq\{0,1\}^{n},|U| \geq & \mu 2^{n}: \operatorname{Pr}_{x, y: d_{H}(x, y)=(1-\lambda) n}[x, y \in U]>\epsilon=\epsilon(\mu, \lambda) .
\end{aligned}
$$
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Proof: Apply the above construction to [Benabbas et al., 2011].
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