I Point-counting *and* diophantine applications

Jonathan Pila



Hermann Weyl Lecture, IAS, 23 October 2018

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Overview

General topic: diophantine problems

- But: some will involve non-algebraic sets
- With: applications to classical diophantine problems around the André-Oort conjecture

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Leads to: functional transcendence questions

Conjecture (Mordell 1922; Faltings 1983)

A curve of genus at least 2 has only finitely many rational points.

E.g. A non-singular plane quartic (or higher) curve.

Conjecture (Lang's General Conjecture)

An algebraic variety V is "mordellic" outside its "special set".

E.g. Expect no non-trivial solutions in positive integers to

$$w^5 + x^5 = y^5 + z^5.$$

Browning–Heath-Brown: trivial solutions ($\approx T^2$ up to height T) outnumber non-trivial $\ll_{\epsilon} T^{13/8+\epsilon}$ (improving Hooley $5/3 + \epsilon$).

Non-algebraic function f, analytic on $U \supset [0, 1]$, graph

$$Z: y = f(x), \quad x \in [0,1]$$

Possible (Weierstrass... van der Poorten): $f(\mathbb{Q}) \subset \mathbb{Q}$.

But (Bombieri-P, 1989):

In a height density sense there are "few" rational points.

With Alex Wilkie (2005): Extension to higher dimensional sets $Z \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ "definable in an o-minimal structure".

Umberto Zannier: Strategy to reprove Manin-Mumford conjecture (Raynaud's theorem),

Via: the arithmetic properties of classical special functions. Under the exponential function

$$e: \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C}^{\times}, \quad e(z) = \exp(2\pi i z),$$

rational numbers map to roots of unity.

Studying rational points on

$$\mathcal{Z} = \{(z,w) \in \mathbb{C}^2 : F(e(z),e(w)) = 0\}, \quad F \in \mathbb{C}[X,Y]$$

a possible route to study torsion points (root-of-unity coords) on

$$V:F(x,y)=0.$$

The **modular function** (a.k.a. the *j* function)

$$j: \mathbb{H} \to \mathbb{C}, \quad \mathbb{H} = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : \operatorname{Im}(z) > 0\}$$

maps quadratic points (over \mathbb{Q}) to singular moduli, algebraic numbers with rich arithmetic properties.

Studying quadratic points on

$$\mathcal{Z} = \{(z,w) \in \mathbb{H}^2 : F(j(z),j(w)) = 0\}, \quad F \in \mathbb{C}[X,Y]$$

a possible route to "special points" (singular moduli coords) on

$$V:F(x,y)=0.$$

The counting function

Let $Z \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, $T \geq 1$ set

$$Z(\mathbb{Q},T) = \{z \in Z : z_i \in \mathbb{Q}, H(z_i) \leq T, i = 1,\ldots,n\},\$$

where height $H(a/b) = \max(|a|, b), \operatorname{gcd}(a, b) = 1, b \ge 1$, and

$$N(Z, T) = \#Z(\mathbb{Q}, T).$$

Extend H to number fields (Weil height); then count points of degree (up to) $d \ge 1$:

$$Z(d, T) = \{z \in Z : [\mathbb{Q}(z_i) : \mathbb{Q}] \le d, H(z_i) \le T, i = 1, \dots, n\}$$
$$N(Z, d, T) = \#Z(d, T).$$

Analytic curves

Consider $f : [0,1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, f real analytic on a nbd, graph Z.

Methods of Bombieri-P 1989 yield: Z has "few" rational points.

TheoremFor $\epsilon > 0$, $N(Z, T) \leq c(f, \epsilon)T^{\epsilon}$.

Lemma (H. A. Schwarz, 1880)

Let $\phi_1, \ldots, \phi_D \in C^{D-1}(I)$, $x_1, \ldots, x_D \in I$, $\Delta = \det (\phi_i(x_j))$. Then

$$\Delta = V(x_1, \ldots, x_D) \det \left(\phi_i^{(j-1)}(\xi_{ij}) \right)$$

where V is Vandermonde and ξ_{ij} suitable points. Hence

$$|\Delta| \le c(\max_{k < D} \{ |\phi_i^k| \}) |I|^{D(D-1)/2}$$

Proof of Theorem

Combines: The "fundamental theorem of transcendence theory" $(\mathbb{Z} \cap (0,1) = \emptyset)$ with a "zero-estimate".

Proof. Fix *d*, let D = (d + 1)(d + 2)/2 and apply Lemma with the *D* monomial functions $\phi_{ij} = x^i f(x)^j$, $i + j \le d$. Suppose $x_k \in J$ with $(x_k, f(x_k)) \in Z(\mathbb{Q}, T)$, *J* subinterval.

Then Δ has denominator $\leq T^{dD/3}$ but $|\Delta| \ll_{f,d} |J|^{D(D-1)/2}$. Now $dD \approx d^3$ but $D(D-1)/2) \approx d^4$. So if $|J|^{D(D-1)/2} \ll_{f,d} T^{-dD/3}$ then $\Delta = 0$. So all $(x_k, y_k) \in Z, x_k \in J$ lie on **one** algebraic curve deg $(Y) \leq d$. Now [0, 1] can be covered by $\ll_{f,d} T^{\frac{3}{d+3}}$ such subintervals. (So: Given ϵ , choose $d: 3/(d+3) \leq \epsilon$.)

But $\#Z \cap Y$, deg $Y \leq d$, uniformly bounded.

Observe: we did not really need f to be analytic. f = f = f = f = f

Try to count rational points on $Z \subset \mathbb{R}^n$.

- Z should be "tame": "definable in an o-minimal structure"
 e.g. image Z of φ : [0,1]^k → [0,1]ⁿ real analytic on nbd.
- A non-algebraic, Z might still contain positive-dimensional semi-algebraic subsets, which could have "many" rational points. E.g. Z : z = x^y, x, y ∈ [2,3].

Definition

The algebraic part $Z^{\text{alg}} \subset Z$ is the union of all connected positive-dimensional semi-algebraic sets $A \subset Z$.

The Counting Theorem

Theorem (P-Wilkie, 2006)

Let $Z \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be "definable in an o-minimal structure", $\epsilon > 0$. Then

$$N(Z-Z^{\mathrm{alg}},T) \leq c(Z,\epsilon)T^{\epsilon}.$$

Remarks

- A crude analogue of Lang's General Conjecture.
- (But can do better than just exclude all of $Z^{\text{alg.}}$)
- Implies one-dimensional result for more curves.
- Similarly, $N(Z Z^{\text{alg}}, d, T) \leq c(Z, d, \epsilon)T^{\epsilon}$.
- Result is uniform in "definable families" $Z \subset \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^m$.

Multiplicative Manin-Mumford (MMM)

Theorem (Lang 1965: Ihara, Serre, Tate)

Let $V \subset (\mathbb{C}^{\times})^2$ be a curve defined by F(x, y) = 0. Then V has only **finitely many** torsion points unless F is of form $X^n Y^m = \zeta$ where $n, m \in \mathbb{Z}$ not both zero and ζ root of unity.

Torsion coset: the translate of a subtorus by a torion point. Eqvtly, a component of an algebraic subgroup, i.e. cpt of some system of multiplicative equations:

$$x^{a} = x_{1}^{a_{1}} \dots x_{n}^{a_{n}} = 1$$
, $x^{b} = 1, \dots$

Theorem (Laurent, Mann, Sarnak)

Let $V \subset (\mathbb{C}^{\times})^n$. Then V contains only finitely many maximal torsion cosets.

Classical MM (Raynaud, 1983): replace $(\mathbb{C}^{\times})^n$ by an abelian vty.

Sketch proof of MMM. First step: Opposing bounds

Let $e : \mathbb{C}^n \to (\mathbb{C}^{\times})^n$. Identify $\mathbb{C} = \mathbb{R}^2$. Let $F = [0, 1) \times i\mathbb{R}$ fundamental domain for \mathbb{Z}^n action.

Theorem

Let $V \subset (\mathbb{C}^{\times})^n$. Then $e^{-1}(V) \cap \mathbb{Q}^n$ consists of the \mathbb{Z}^n translates of finitely many rational linear subvarieties contained in $e^{-1}(V)$.

Sketch proof. Can assume V is defined over a number field. Let

$$Z=e^{-1}(V)\cap F^n.$$

Then Z is a "definable set" in \mathbb{R}^{2n} (full $e^{-1}(V)$ isn't).

A torsion point $\zeta \in V$ of order N has nearly N conjugates, so get: $\gg N^{1/2}$ rational points on Z of height $\ll N$ on Z.

So (CT with e.g. $\epsilon = 1/4$) large N gives: semi-algebraic $A \subset Z$.

Second step: Functional transcendence

Have positive dimensional semi-algebraic $A \subset Z$, by analytic continuation get positive dimensional **complex algebraic** $W \subset e^{-1}(V).$

Theorem (Ax, 1971; "Ax-Schanuel"; implies "Ax-Lindemann")

Functional version of Schanuel's Conjecture (next lecture). Implies: e(W) is Zariski-dense in $(\mathbb{C}^{\times})^n$ unless $W \subset L$ a translate of a proper \mathbb{Q} subspace

Translate of \mathbb{Q} -subspace L a weakly special subvariety.

If now e(W) is Zariski dense in e(L) we get $e(L) \subset V$. Else W is contained in a further proper $L' \subset L$.

Theorem ("Ax-Lindemann")

A maximal $W \subset e^{-1}(V)$ is weakly special.

Conclusion

Weakly special $L \subset e^{-1}(V)$ give cosets of subtori $T \subset V$, which is essentially the exceptional case: only torsion cosets \ni torsion pts.

Need: the maximal weakly special subvarieties in $e^{-1}(V)$ are translates of **finitely many** \mathbb{Q} subspaces.

This can be proved in (at least) 3 ways:

- Explicit, effective argument of Bombieri-Masser-Zannier
- O-minimality (the set of such \mathbb{Q} subspaces is "definable"; L2)
- Model-theoretic compactness on Ax-Schanuel theorem.

For each such \mathbb{Q} -subspace, the torsion cosets of it contained in V gives a lower dimensional MMM problem.

Conclude by induction.

hol

The André-Oort conjecture is an analogue of Manin-Mumford. The simplest cases are obtained by replacing: the (cartesian product of the) **exponential function**

 $e:\mathbb{C}^n\to \left(\mathbb{C}^\times\right)^n$

by the (cartesian product of the) modular function

 $j:\mathbb{H}^n\to\mathbb{C}^n.$

$$j:\mathbb{H} o\mathbb{C}, \quad j(z)=rac{1}{q}+\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}c_nq^n, \quad q=e(z),$$
omorphic in $\mathbb{H}=\{z\in\mathbb{C}:\mathrm{Im}(z)>0\}.$

The j function

Background: elliptic curves and their moduli:

Lattice $\Lambda = \mathbb{Z} + \mathbb{Z}\tau$, $\tau \in \mathbb{H} = \{\tau \in \mathbb{C} : \text{Im}\tau > 0\}$ Elliptic curve: $E_{\tau} = \Lambda \setminus \mathbb{C}$, has structure of an algebraic curve. The *j*-invariant j(E) determines *E* up to isomorphism over \mathbb{C} .

The modular function a.k.a. *j*-invariant, *j*-function:

$$j:\mathbb{H}\to\mathbb{C},\quad j(\tau)=j(E_{\tau}).$$

Basic arithmetic properties: $SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ invariance:

$$j(g\tau) = j(\tau), \quad g = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \operatorname{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}), \quad \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \tau = \frac{a\tau + b}{c\tau + d}$$

For $g \in \operatorname{GL}_2^+(\mathbb{Q})$, $\Phi_N(j(\tau), j(g\tau)) = 0$, modular polynomial Φ_N .

Fundamental domain for the *j*-function



Singular Moduli

Singular moduli are the "special values" of the *j*-function.

Definition

A singular modulus is a complex number $j(\tau)$ where $j : \mathbb{H} \to \mathbb{C}$ is the modular function, and $\tau \in \mathbb{H}$ is quadratic $([\mathbb{Q}(\tau) : \mathbb{Q}] = 2)$.

$$\Sigma = \{\sigma = j(\tau) : \tau \in \mathbb{H}, [\mathbb{Q}(\tau) : \mathbb{Q}] = 2\}$$

Schneider: These are precisely the points with $\tau, j(\tau) \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}}$.

These are the elliptic curves with "complex multiplication" (CM): there are non-integer $\mu : \mu \Lambda_{\tau} \subset \Lambda_{\tau}$.

They are algebraic integers.

E.g.
$$j(\frac{1+\sqrt{-163}}{2}) = -2^{18}3^35^323^329^3$$
, $j(\sqrt{-5}) = (50+26\sqrt{5})^3$.

Theorem of André

Theorem (André 1998)

Let $V \subset \mathbb{C}^2$. Then V contains only finitely many special points unless V is a special subvariety, that is

- A modular curve
- a vertical or horizontal line on a singular modulus

Also proved by Edixhoven 1998 under GRH, which led to further cases of AO under GRH, such as \mathbb{C}^n , 2005, and full proof of AO under GRH by Klingler-Ullmo-Yafaev.

André's thm is the analogue of the Lang/Ihara/Serre/Tate thm:

Theorem

Let $V \subset (\mathbb{C}^{\times})^2$. Then V contains only finitely many torsion points unless V is a torsion coset.

Special subvarieties and AO in \mathbb{C}^n

Special points in \mathbb{C}^n : *n*-tuples of singular moduli.

Special subvarieties of \mathbb{C}^n :

- 1. The hypersurface $\Phi_N(x_i, x_j) = 0$ is special;
- 2. Also hypersurfaces $x_k = \sigma$, where σ a singular modulus;
- 3. Irreducible cmpnnts of intersections of special subvts are special.

Equivalently: the images of maps of the form

$$\mathbb{H}
i z \mapsto (g_1 z, \dots, g_k z) \in \mathbb{C}^k, \quad g_i \in \mathrm{GL}_2^+(\mathbb{Q}),$$

and cartesian products of such images and special points.

Weakly special subvs: same but any point is weakly special.

Theorem (P 2011; Edixhoven 2005 on GRH)

A subvariety $V \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ contains only finitely many maximal special subvarieties.

Sketch proof

Since special points are algebraic we can assume V defined over a number field. We consider

$$j: \mathbb{H}^n \to \mathbb{C}^n$$

and take the "definable set" (the full $j^{-1}(V)$ isn't)

$$Z=j^{-1}(V)\cap F^n\subset \mathbb{R}^{2n}.$$

A special point $\sigma \in V$ has a pre-image $\tau \in Z$ which is a quadratic point. We will apply the Counting Theorem to quadratic points. A quadratic point $\tau_i \in \mathbb{H}$ has a minimal polynomial

$$a au_i^2+b au_i+c=0, \quad a,b,c\in\mathbb{Z}, \quad ext{gcd}(a,b,c)=1,$$

and discriminant

$$D(\tau_i) = b^2 - 4ac < 0, \quad D(\tau) = \max \left(D(\tau_i) \right)$$

First step: Opposing bounds

The discriminant measures "complexity" of the special point. For $j(\tau) = \sigma$ with $\tau \in F$ have:

$$H(\tau) \ll |D(\tau)|.$$

The theory of CM gives that

$$[\mathbb{Q}(\sigma_i):\mathbb{Q}]=h(D(\tau_i)),$$

the class number of the corresponding quadratic order. One has

$$h(D) \ge c(\epsilon)|D|^{1/2-\epsilon},$$

for $\epsilon > 0$, by a classical (ineffective) theorem of Siegel.

A positive proportion (depending on field of definition of V) of the conjugates land back on V and by Counting (with some $\epsilon < 1/2$) we see that **one** special point of large complexity gives **too many** quadratic points in Z, unless we have "algebraic" $W \subset j^{-1}(V)$.

Second step: "Ax-Lindemann" for the modular function

Theorem (Modular "Ax-Lindemann"; P 2011)

A maximal $W \subset j^{-1}(V)$ is weakly special.

- Equivalently: j(W) is Zariski dense in Cⁿ unless some coordinate on W is constant, or z_i = gz_k on W for some g ∈ GL₂⁺(Q).
- Implies: The "bi-algebraic" varieties are precisely the weakly specials subvarieties.
- Observe: If *j*(*W*) is not Zariski dense, i.e. the *j*(*z_i*) restricted to *z* ∈ *W* are algebraically dependent over C, then already either one of them is (i.e. constant) or two are (modular relation).

Sketch proof. Say $W \subset j^{-1}(V)$ with $W \cap F^n \neq \emptyset$. Each "translate" gW of W by $g \in SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ has $gW \subset j^{-1}(V)$, and "many" of these also intersect F^n and so Z.

The full space of $SL_2(\mathbb{R})$ translates is definable, as is its intersections with Z, and we get a definable set which intersects Z locally in its full dimension, with "many" rational $(SL_2(\mathbb{Z}))$ points.

Counting: get a positive-dimensional semi-algebraic set of such translates, hence complex algebraic family.

Try to enlarge W by taking a union over the family. If W is maximal, it must be stable under a lot of translations, and prove it is weakly special.

Show: the maximal weakly special subvarieties of V come in finitely many families i.e the $\operatorname{GL}_2^+(\mathbb{Q})$ relations (the "translates" are the constant coordinates).

Proof: By o-minimality, as the set of them is "definable".

Conclude by induction.

Ineffective due to: (1) Siegel lower bound and (2) The counting and (3) this finiteness step (now effective).

Kühne, Bilu-Masser-Zannier: Effective proof of André's theorem (\mathbb{C}^2) , and Bilu-Kühne: effective AO for linear subvarieties of \mathbb{C}^n .

A lot of progress towards effective counting (or better bounds: Wilkie's conjecture) by Butler, Jones-(Miller-)Thomas, Binyamini-Novikov, Cluckers-P-Wilkie.

The André-Oort conjecture

André (1989), Oort (1994): the "same" statement for a Shimura variety X, certain kind of arithmetic quotient

$$u: \Omega \to X, \quad \Gamma \backslash \Omega = X$$

for a suitable Hermitian symmetric domain Ω , and arithmetic group Γ . E.g. Siegel modular varieties \mathcal{A}_g .

Such X has **special subvarieties**, which are "Shimura subvarieties" in a compatible way, the zero-dimensional ones being the **special points**. Also **weakly special subvarieties**, which are precisely the "bi-algebraic" varieties (Ullmo-Yafaev).

Conjecture (André-Oort)

Let $V \subset X$. Then V contains only finitely many maximal special subvarieties.

Ingredients for AO via point-counting

Ullmo showed: point-counting proves AO given:

 Definability of u : Ω → X on a fundamental domain F. This holds by Peterzil-Starchenko (A_g), Klingler-Ullmo-Yafaev for arithmetic quotients.
 Also: Klingler, Bakker-Tsimerman: period mappings and new

proof of Cattani-Deligne-Kaplan.

- 2. Height bound for pre-image in F of a special point. Tsimerman (\mathcal{A}_g) ; Daw-Orr in general.
- 3. **Ax-Lindemann**: P, UY, P-Tsimerman (\mathcal{A}_g) , KUY in general. All use point-counting (also monodromy, Hwang-To, ...)
- Lower bound for Galois orbits of special points. Tsimerman, for A_g, required the "Averaged Colmez conjecture" (Andreatta-Goren- Howard- Madapusi Pera; Yuan-Zhang, 2015) and isogeny estimates (Masser-Wustholz).

The André-Oort Conjecture

Theorem

The André-Oort conjecture holds...

- 1. unconditionally for \mathbb{C}^2 (André,1998)
- 2. under GRH (Edixhoven, Klingler-Ullmo-Yafaev, 1998-2014)
- 3. unconditionally $\mathbb{C}^n, \ldots, \mathcal{A}_g$ (P ... Tsimerman 2015; ineffctive)
- 4. in general assuming lower bounds for Galois orbits (see prev)
- 5. for the corresponding mixed Shimura varieties (Gao)
- 6. effectively for \mathbb{C}^2 (Kühne, Bilu-Masser-Zannier)
- 7. effectively for linear subvars of \mathbb{C}^n (Bilu-Kühne)
- 8. "nearly effective" for \mathbb{C}^n (Binyamini)

With items 3, 4, 5, 8 via point-counting. Item 6: Linear forms in logarithms, item 7, 8. Class field theory. Item 8: also, Duke+Siegel-Tatuzawa (Kowalski)



Next lectures

- L2: O-minimality and point-counting; Ax-Schanuel properties
- L3: The Zilber-Pink conjecture

THANK YOU!

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ