

Golden Gates in $PU_n(\mathbb{R})$ and the Density Hypothesis

Shai Evra

joint work with Ori Parzanchevski and Amitay Kamber

Institute for Advanced Study

24.9.19

A finite subset S of a compact Lie group L , is called golden gate if:

Optimal (Topological) Generators

Almost any $y \in L$ is contained in $B_V(x)$, the ball of volume V centred at $x \in S^{(\ell)}$ - the set of words of S of length ℓ , where

$$V = |S^{(\ell)}|^{-1} \cdot \text{poly log } |S^{(\ell)}|.$$

and

Approximation (Heuristic) Algorithm

There is a polynomial algorithm, such that given $y \in L$ and $V > 0$, it outputs a word $x \in S^{(\ell)} \cap B_V(y)$, where

$$V = |S^{(\ell)}|^{-\dim(L)}.$$

In the 80's LPS proved the following results:

Optimal Generators

Explicit constructions of optimal generators of $L = PU_2(\mathbb{R})$.
(\implies an optimal covering of the 2-dimensional sphere).

and

Ramanujan Graphs

Explicit constructions of Ramanujan regular graphs.
(Ramanujan = spectrally optimal graphs).

Generalizations

In recent years the notions appearing in the works of LPS have seen the following generalizations for higher dimensions:

Golden Gates

Instead of optimal generators for $L = PU_2(\mathbb{R})$,
Golden gates for general compact Lie groups L .

and

Ramanujan Complexes

Instead of Ramanujan regular graphs,
Ramanujan irregular graphs and simplicial complexes.

The proof of LPS relies on the following two results:

Ramanujan Conjecture

The Ramanujan conjecture for PGU_2/\mathbb{Q} , which follows from Deligne's Theorem and Jacquet-Langlands correspondence.

and

Class Number One

A p -arithmetic group that acts simply transitive on the Bruhat-Tits tree, which follows from Jacobi four squares Theorem.

There are two main obstacles in extending the method of proof of LPS to higher dimensions:

Naive Ramanujan Conjecture

The naive Ramanujan conjecture for higher rank groups is false.

and

Class Number One

There are only finitely many p -arithmetic groups that acts simply transitive on the Bruhat-Tits buildings.

To overcome the failure of the NRC, we follow Sarnak's strategy from the 90's, of proving and using a density hypothesis:

Density Hypothesis - Definition

Let \mathcal{F} be a collection of automorphic representations.

Let $\mathcal{F}(T)$ the finite subset of \mathcal{F} of analytic conductor $\leq T$.

Let $\mathcal{F}(T, \sigma)$ the subset of $\mathcal{F}(T)$ of decay of matrix coefficient $\geq \sigma$.

Then the density hypothesis is the claim that for any $\epsilon > 0$,

$$|\mathcal{F}(T, \sigma)| \ll_{\epsilon} |\mathcal{F}(T)|^{2/\sigma + \epsilon}.$$

Density Hypothesis - Theorem

Let G/\mathbb{Q} be a classical group such that $G(\mathbb{R})$ is compact.

Let $\mathcal{F} = L^2(G(\mathbb{Q}) \backslash G(\mathbb{A}))^K$, for a compact adelic subgroup K .

Then \mathcal{F} satisfy the density hypothesis.

Using the Density hypothesis we can prove the following results:

Golden Gates

Explicit constructions of golden gates for $PU_n(\mathbb{R})$, for any $n \leq 8$.

and

Optimal Covering of Hecke Points

Let G/\mathbb{Q} be a classical group such that $G(\mathbb{R})$ is compact.

Let X be a homogeneous space of the Lie group $L = G(\mathbb{R})$.

Then the p^ℓ -Hecke points covers X almost optimally.

THANK YOU
FOR LISTENING