

The many forms of rigidity for symplectic embeddings

based on work of Dan Cristofaro-Gardiner, Michael Entov, David Frenkel, Janko Latschev, Dusa McDuff, Dorothee Müller, FS, Misha Verbitsky

The problems

1. $E(1, a) \xrightarrow{s} Z^4(A)$
2. $E(1, a) \xrightarrow{s} C^4(A)$
3. $E(1, a) \xrightarrow{s} P(A, bA), \quad b \in \mathbb{N}$
4. $E(1, a) \xrightarrow{s} T^4(A)$

The problems

1. $E(1, a) \xrightarrow{s} Z^4(A)$
2. $E(1, a) \xrightarrow{s} C^4(A)$
3. $E(1, a) \xrightarrow{s} P(A, bA), \quad b \in \mathbb{N}$
4. $E(1, a) \xrightarrow{s} T^4(A)$

where:

$$E(a, b) = \left\{ (z_1, z_2) \in \mathbb{C}^2 \mid \frac{\pi|z_1|^2}{a} + \frac{\pi|z_2|^2}{b} < 1 \right\}$$

can assume: $b = 1, a \geq 1$

and :

$$Z^4(A) = D(A) \times \mathbb{C}$$

$$C^4(A) = P(A, A)$$

$$P(A, bA) = D(A) \times D(bA)$$

$$T^4(A) = T^2(A) \times T^2(A)$$

moment map images (under $(z_1, z_2) \mapsto (\pi|z_1|^2, \pi|z_2|^2)$) :

The answers

The answers

1. Gromov 1985: $E(1, a) \xrightarrow{s} Z^4(A)$ iff $A \geq 1$

Hence

$$c_{EZ}(a) := \inf \left\{ A \mid E(1, a) \xrightarrow{s} Z^4(A) \right\} \equiv 1$$

TOTAL symplectic rigidity, NO structure

To get “some structure”, truncate!

To get “some structure”, truncate!

First: Truncate all down to $C^4(A)$:

$$\begin{aligned} c_1(a) &:= \inf \left\{ A \mid E(1, a) \stackrel{s}{\hookrightarrow} C^4(A) \right\} \\ &\geq \sqrt{\frac{a}{2}} \quad (\text{Volume constraint}) \end{aligned}$$

2. Frenkel–Müller 2014, based on McDuff–S 2012:

$c_1(a)$ starts with the **Pell stairs** :

Pell numbers: $P_0 = 0, P_1 = 1, P_n = 2P_{n-1} + P_{n-2}$

HC Pell numbers: $H_0 = 1, H_1 = 1, H_n = 2H_{n-1} + H_{n-2}$

2. Frenkel–Müller 2014, based on McDuff–S 2012:

$c_1(a)$ starts with the **Pell stairs** :

Pell numbers: $P_0 = 0, P_1 = 1, P_n = 2P_{n-1} + P_{n-2}$

HC Pell numbers: $H_0 = 1, H_1 = 1, H_n = 2H_{n-1} + H_{n-2}$

Form the sequence

$$\begin{aligned}(\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \gamma_3, \dots) &:= \left(\frac{P_1}{H_0}, \frac{H_2}{2P_1}, \frac{P_3}{H_2}, \frac{H_4}{2P_3}, \dots \right) \\ &= \left(1, \frac{3}{2}, \frac{5}{3}, \frac{17}{10}, \dots \right) \\ &\rightarrow \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{2}} \quad \text{where } \sigma = \sqrt{2} + 1 \text{ the silver ratio}\end{aligned}$$

An application:

Biran 1996:

k	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	≥ 8
p_k	$\frac{1}{2}$	1	$\frac{2}{3}$	$\frac{8}{9}$	$\frac{9}{10}$	$\frac{48}{49}$	$\frac{224}{225}$	1

where $p_k =$ percentage of volume of $[0, 1]^4 \subset \mathbb{R}^4$ that can be symplectically filled by k disjoint equal balls

What are these numbers?

The function c_1 explains **Biran's list**:

$$d_k := \inf \left\{ A \mid \prod_k B^4(1) \xrightarrow{s} C^4(A) \right\}$$

Since $p_k = \frac{k \cdot \frac{1}{2}}{d_k^2}$, his list becomes

k	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	≥ 8
d_k	1	1	$\frac{3}{2}$	$\frac{3}{2}$	$\frac{5}{3}$	$\frac{7}{4}$	$\frac{15}{8}$	$\sqrt{\frac{k}{2}}$

and (McDuff 2009): $d_k = c_1(k)$, ie

$$\prod_k B^4(1) \xrightarrow{s} C^4(A) \iff E(1, k) \xrightarrow{s} C^4(A)$$

Hence: It was worthwhile to elongate the **domain**:

$$B^4(1) \rightsquigarrow E(1, a)$$

Now: Also elongate the **target**:

$$C^4(A) = P(A, A) \rightsquigarrow P(A, bA), \quad b \geq 1$$

Hence: It was worthwhile to elongate the **domain**:

$$B^4(1) \rightsquigarrow E(1, a)$$

Now: Also elongate the **target**:

$$C^4(A) = P(A, A) \rightsquigarrow P(A, bA), \quad b \geq 1$$

For $a, b \geq 1$

$$c_b(a) = \inf \left\{ A \mid E(1, a) \xrightarrow{s} P(A, bA) \right\}$$

1-parametric family of problems

Volume constraint: $c_b(a) \geq \sqrt{\frac{a}{2b}}$

3. Cristofaro–Gardiner, Frenkel, S 2016 $c_b(a)$ is given by ...

3. Cristofaro–Gardiner, Frenkel, S 2016 $c_b(a)$ is given by ...

So: **fine structure** of symplectic rigidity in c_1
first disappears (as $b \rightarrow 2$), then reappears (as $b \rightarrow \infty$)

3. Cristofaro–Gardiner, Frenkel, S 2016 $c_b(a)$ is given by ...

So: **fine structure** of symplectic rigidity in c_1
first **disappears** (as $b \rightarrow 2$), **then reappears** (as $b \rightarrow \infty$)

Remarks

1. “The same” holds true for $b \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 2}$ (no proof)
2. The first two linear steps, and the affine step of the Pell stairs are **stable**, the other steps **disappear**

Open problem: How does the Pell stairs disappear?

Understand $c_{1+\varepsilon}$

4. $E(1, a) \xrightarrow{s} T^4(A)$:

Note: $C^4(A)$ compactifies to both $S^2(A) \times S^2(A)$ and $T^4(A)$

Fact: $c_1(a) = \inf \left\{ A \mid E(1, a) \xrightarrow{s} C^4(A) \right\}$
 $= \inf \left\{ A \mid E(1, a) \xrightarrow{s} S^2(A) \times S^2(A) \right\}$

4. $E(1, a) \xrightarrow{s} T^4(A)$:

Note: $C^4(A)$ compactifies to both $S^2(A) \times S^2(A)$ and $T^4(A)$

Fact: $c_1(a) = \inf \left\{ A \mid E(1, a) \xrightarrow{s} C^4(A) \right\}$
 $= \inf \left\{ A \mid E(1, a) \xrightarrow{s} S^2(A) \times S^2(A) \right\}$

On the other hand (Latschev–McDuff–S, Entov–Verbitsky 2014):

$E(1, a) \xrightarrow{s} T^4(A)$ **whenever** $\text{Vol } E(1, a) < \text{Vol } T^4(A)$

(“Total flexibility”)

But:

- It is unknown whether $\text{Emb}(E(1, a), T^4(A))$ is connected (hence $<$)
- **Hidden rigidity** (Biran): Assume that

$$\varphi: B^4(a) \xrightarrow{s} T^4(1), \quad \text{Vol } B^4(a) = \frac{3}{4},$$

$$\psi: \coprod_2 B^4(b) \xrightarrow{s} T^4(A), \quad \text{Vol } \coprod_2 B^4(b) = \frac{2}{3}.$$

Then $\text{Im } \psi \subset \text{Im } \varphi$ is impossible (by Gromov's 2-ball theorem)

Ideas of the proof

Ideas of the proof

Common ingredient:

from $\overline{B}^4(a) \xrightarrow{s} (M^4, \omega)$ get symplectic form ω_a on the **blow-up**

$$\pi: M_1 \rightarrow M$$

in class

$$e = \text{PD}(E), E = [\Sigma]$$

$$\pi^*[\omega] - a e$$

Ideas of the proof

Common ingredient:

from $\overline{B}^4(a) \xrightarrow{S} (M^4, \omega)$ get symplectic form ω_a on the **blow-up**

$$\pi: M_1 \rightarrow M$$

in class

$$\pi^*[\omega] - a e$$

$e = \text{PD}(E), E = [\Sigma]$

Conversely: If $\pi^*[\omega] - a e$ has a symplectic representative, **non-degenerate** along Σ ,

then can “blow-down”, get $B^4(a) \xrightarrow{S} (M, \omega?)$

$$E(1, 2) \xrightarrow{s} C^4(1 + \varepsilon) \quad (\coprod_2 B^4(1) \xrightarrow{s} C^4(1 + \varepsilon) \text{ easy! })$$

$E(1, 2) \xrightarrow{s} C^4(1 + \varepsilon)$ ($\coprod_2 B^4(1) \xrightarrow{s} C^4(1 + \varepsilon)$ easy!)

omit ε, δ ...

compactify $C^4(1) = D(1) \times D(1)$ to $M = S^2(1) \times S^2(1)$

$\Delta := \{(z, z)\} \subset S^2 \times S^2$: holomorphic

$E(1, 2) \xrightarrow{s} C^4(1 + \varepsilon)$ ($\coprod_2 B^4(1) \xrightarrow{s} C^4(1 + \varepsilon)$ easy!)

omit ε, δ ...

compactify $C^4(1) = D(1) \times D(1)$ to $M = S^2(1) \times S^2(1)$

$\Delta := \{(z, z)\} \subset S^2 \times S^2$: holomorphic

blow-up M twice “at the right points” by size $\lambda = \frac{1}{3}$

get holomorphic sphere $\Delta_2 \subset M_2$ in class

$$[\Delta_2] = S_1 + S_2 - E_1 - E_2$$

and a chain of spheres $C_1 \cup C_2$ “bounding” $E(\lambda, 2\lambda)$

and a symplectic form ω_λ in class

$$[\omega_\lambda] = s_1 + s_2 - \lambda(e_1 + e_2)$$

Wish to “inflate” the form

$$\omega_\lambda \text{ in } [\omega_\lambda] = s_1 + s_2 - \lambda(e_1 + e_2)$$

to

$$\omega_1 \text{ in } [\omega_1] = s_1 + s_2 - (e_1 + e_2)$$

Inflation along $e_1 + e_2$ is impossible, but can inflate along

$$\text{PD}(\Delta_2) = s_1 + s_2 - e_1 - e_2 :$$

Wish to “inflate” the form

$$\omega_\lambda \text{ in } [\omega_\lambda] = s_1 + s_2 - \lambda(e_1 + e_2)$$

to

$$\omega_1 \text{ in } [\omega_1] = s_1 + s_2 - (e_1 + e_2)$$

Inflation along $e_1 + e_2$ is impossible, but **can inflate** along

$$\text{PD}(\Delta_2) = s_1 + s_2 - e_1 - e_2 :$$

$\forall \tau > 0$ there exists a symplectic form Ω_τ in class

$$[\omega_\lambda] + \tau \text{PD}[\Delta_2] = (1 + \tau)(s_1 + s_2) - (\lambda + \tau)(e_1 + e_2)$$

Get $E(\lambda + \tau, 2(\lambda + \tau)) \xrightarrow{s} C^4(1 + \tau)$

Hence $E(1, 2) \xrightarrow{s} C^4\left(\frac{1+\tau}{\lambda+\tau}\right)$

$$E(1, 2) \xrightarrow{s} T^4(1)$$

Cannot do inflation, since there are no J -curves to inflate along

But: Use existence of **Kähler forms** on blow-ups

(much stronger than **Nakai–Moishezon** in the algebraic case)

$$E(1, 2) \xrightarrow{s} T^4(1)$$

Cannot do inflation, since there are no J -curves to inflate along

But: Use existence of **Kähler forms** on blow-ups

(much stronger than **Nakai–Moishezon** in the algebraic case)

(M, J) Kähler

$H_J^{1,1}(M; \mathbb{R})$: classes represented by J -invariant closed 2-forms

candidates for Kähler classes: $\mathcal{C}_+^{1,1}(M, J) :=$

$$\left\{ \alpha \in H_J^{1,1}(M; \mathbb{R}) \mid \alpha^m([V]) > 0 \ \forall \text{ complex subv. } V^m \subset (M, J) \right\}$$

Demailly–Paun 2004 The Kähler cone of (M, J) is one of the connected components of $\mathcal{C}_+^{1,1}(M, J)$

Demailly–Paun 2004 The Kähler cone of (M, J) is one of the connected components of $\mathcal{C}_+^{1,1}(M, J)$

Hence: $E(1, 2) \xrightarrow{s} T^4(1, 1 + \varepsilon)$ (for ε irrational)
since there is a Kähler J without J -curves
(positivity on exceptional divisors and on M_2 clear)

Demailly–Paun 2004 The Kähler cone of (M, J) is one of the connected components of $\mathcal{C}_+^{1,1}(M, J)$

Hence: $E(1, 2) \xrightarrow{s} T^4(1, 1 + \varepsilon)$ (for ε irrational)

since there is a Kähler J without J -curves

(positivity on exceptional divisors and on M_2 clear)

For $T^4(1, 1)$ use approximation of (T^4, ω, I) by J close to I without J -curves

Kähler for some ω_J with $[\omega_J]$ close to $[\omega]$ (Kodaira–Spencer)

Demailly–Paun 2004 The Kähler cone of (M, J) is one of the connected components of $\mathcal{C}_+^{1,1}(M, J)$

Hence: $E(1, 2) \xrightarrow{s} T^4(1, 1 + \varepsilon)$ (for ε irrational)

since there is a Kähler J without J -curves

(positivity on exceptional divisors and on M_2 clear)

For $T^4(1, 1)$ use approximation of (T^4, ω, I) by J close to I without J -curves

Kähler for some ω_J with $[\omega_J]$ close to $[\omega]$ (Kodaira–Spencer)

“Yesterday”: Entov–Verbitsky proved flexibility of ellipsoid packings of Kähler tori in all dimensions

by directly blowing-up $E(a_1, \dots, a_n)$ (a_j relatively prime) and resolving the cyclic singularities (Hironaka)

Can assume $a \in \mathbb{Q}$

weight sequence

$$\mathbf{w}(a) = (1, \dots, 1, w_1^{\times \ell_1}, \dots, w_N^{\times \ell_N})$$

Can assume $a \in \mathbb{Q}$

weight sequence

$$\mathbf{w}(a) = (1, \dots, 1, w_1^{\times \ell_1}, \dots, w_N^{\times \ell_N})$$

Examples:

$$\mathbf{w}(3) = (1, 1, 1), \quad \mathbf{w}\left(\frac{5}{3}\right) = \left(1, \frac{2}{3}, \left(\frac{1}{3}\right)^{\times 2}\right)$$

$$B(\mathbf{w}(a)) := \coprod_i B(w_i) \quad (\text{with multiplicities})$$

$$B(\mathbf{w}(a)) := \coprod_i B(w_i) \quad (\text{with multiplicities})$$

McDuff, Frenkel–Müller:

$$E(1, a) \xrightarrow{s} P(\lambda, \lambda b) \iff$$

$$B(\mathbf{w}(a)) \coprod B(\lambda) \coprod B(\lambda b) \xrightarrow{s} B(\lambda(b+1))$$

Use **three methods!**

Method 1: **obstructive classes**

Method 2: dual version: **reduction “at a point”**

Method 3: **ECH capacities**

Method 1: McDuff, Polterovich, Biran, Li-Lu:

$$E(1, a) \xrightarrow{s} P(\lambda, \lambda b) \iff$$

(i) $\lambda \geq \sqrt{\frac{a}{2b}}$ volume constraint

Method 1: McDuff, Polterovich, Biran, Li-Lu:

$$E(1, a) \xrightarrow{s} P(\lambda, \lambda b) \iff$$

(i) $\lambda \geq \sqrt{\frac{a}{2b}}$ volume constraint

(ii) $\lambda \geq \frac{\langle \mathbf{m}, \mathbf{w}(a) \rangle}{d + be}$ for all solutions $(d, e; \mathbf{m}) \in \mathbb{N}^3$ of

$$\sum_i m_i = 2(d + e) - 1, \quad \sum_i m_i^2 = 2de + 1$$

constraint from J -spheres

For $b \geq 2$ all obstructions come from

$$E_n = (n, 1; 1^{\times(2n+1)}) \quad (\text{linear steps})$$

$$F_n = (n(n+1), n+1; n+1, n^{\times(2n+3)}) \quad (\text{affine step})$$

Method 3:

Hutchings, McDuff:

ECH capacities are complete invariants for our problem:

$$E(a, b) \xrightarrow{s} E(c, d) \iff c_k(E(a, b)) \leq c_k(E(c, d)) \quad \text{for all } k$$

Method 3:

Hutchings, McDuff:

ECH capacities are complete invariants for our problem:

$$E(a, b) \overset{s}{\hookrightarrow} E(c, d) \iff c_k(E(a, b)) \leq c_k(E(c, d)) \quad \text{for all } k$$

In particular

$$E(1, a) \overset{s}{\hookrightarrow} P(\lambda, \lambda b) \iff c_k(E(1, a)) \leq \lambda c_k(E(1, 2b)) \quad \text{for all } k$$

Method 2: reduction at a point

$(\mu; a_1, \dots, a_k) \in \mathbb{R}^{1+k}$ ordered if $a_1 \geq \dots \geq a_k$

Method 2: reduction at a point

$(\mu; a_1, \dots, a_k) \in \mathbb{R}^{1+k}$ **ordered** if $a_1 \geq \dots \geq a_k$

defect of an ordered vector $(\mu; \mathbf{a})$: $\delta = \mu - (a_1 + a_2 + a_3)$

Method 2: reduction at a point

$(\mu; a_1, \dots, a_k) \in \mathbb{R}^{1+k}$ **ordered** if $a_1 \geq \dots \geq a_k$

defect of an ordered vector $(\mu; \mathbf{a})$: $\delta = \mu - (a_1 + a_2 + a_3)$

$(\mu; \mathbf{a})$ ordered is **reduced** if $\delta \geq 0$ and $a_i \geq 0$

Method 2: reduction at a point

$(\mu; a_1, \dots, a_k) \in \mathbb{R}^{1+k}$ **ordered** if $a_1 \geq \dots \geq a_k$

defect of an ordered vector $(\mu; \mathbf{a})$: $\delta = \mu - (a_1 + a_2 + a_3)$

$(\mu; \mathbf{a})$ ordered is **reduced** if $\delta \geq 0$ and $a_i \geq 0$

Cremona transform $\text{Cr}: \mathbb{R}^{1+k} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{1+k}$

$$(\mu; \mathbf{a}) \mapsto (\mu + \delta; a_1 + \delta, a_2 + \delta, a_3 + \delta, a_4, \dots, a_k)$$

Method 2: reduction at a point

$(\mu; a_1, \dots, a_k) \in \mathbb{R}^{1+k}$ **ordered** if $a_1 \geq \dots \geq a_k$

defect of an ordered vector $(\mu; \mathbf{a})$: $\delta = \mu - (a_1 + a_2 + a_3)$

$(\mu; \mathbf{a})$ ordered is **reduced** if $\delta \geq 0$ and $a_i \geq 0$

Cremona transform $\text{Cr}: \mathbb{R}^{1+k} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{1+k}$

$$(\mu; \mathbf{a}) \mapsto (\mu + \delta; a_1 + \delta, a_2 + \delta, a_3 + \delta, a_4, \dots, a_k)$$

Cremona move: $\text{reorder} \circ \text{Cr}$

Tian-Jun Li, Buse–Pinsonnault:

$$E(1, a) \xrightarrow{s} P(\lambda, \lambda b) \quad \iff$$

$(\lambda(b+1); \lambda b, \lambda, \mathbf{w}(a))$ reduces under **finitely many Cremona moves** to a **reduced** vector

Tian-Jun Li, Buse–Pinsonnault:

$$E(1, a) \xrightarrow{s} P(\lambda, \lambda b) \quad \iff$$

$(\lambda(b+1); \lambda b, \lambda, \mathbf{w}(a))$ reduces under **finitely many Cremona moves** to a **reduced** vector

Dynamical interpretation:

$X_k = \text{blow up of } \mathbb{C}P^2 \text{ in } k \text{ points}$

X_k = blow up of $\mathbb{C}P^2$ in k points

$\mathcal{E}(X_k)$ = exceptional classes $E \in H_2(X_k; \mathbb{Z})$ with $c_1(E) = 1$ and $E \cdot E = -1$ that can be represented by smoothly embedded spheres

X_k = blow up of $\mathbb{C}P^2$ in k points

$\mathcal{E}(X_k)$ = exceptional classes $E \in H_2(X_k; \mathbb{Z})$ with $c_1(E) = 1$ and $E \cdot E = -1$ that can be represented by smoothly embedded spheres

$\mathcal{C}(X_k)$ = symplectic cone:

$$\{\alpha \in H^2(X_k; \mathbb{R}) \mid \alpha^2 > 0 \text{ and } \alpha(E) > 0 \text{ for all } E \in \mathcal{E}(X_k)\}$$

X_k = blow up of $\mathbb{C}P^2$ in k points

$\mathcal{E}(X_k)$ = exceptional classes $E \in H_2(X_k; \mathbb{Z})$ with $c_1(E) = 1$ and $E \cdot E = -1$ that can be represented by smoothly embedded spheres

$\mathcal{C}(X_k)$ = symplectic cone:

$$\{\alpha \in H^2(X_k; \mathbb{R}) \mid \alpha^2 > 0 \text{ and } \alpha(E) > 0 \text{ for all } E \in \mathcal{E}(X_k)\}$$

$\overline{\mathcal{P}}_+^k$ = positive cone:

$$\{(\mu; \mathbf{a}) \in \mathbb{R}^{1+k} \mid \mu, a_1, \dots, a_k \geq 0, \|\mathbf{a}\| \leq \mu\}$$

X_k = blow up of $\mathbb{C}P^2$ in k points

$\mathcal{E}(X_k)$ = exceptional classes $E \in H_2(X_k; \mathbb{Z})$ with $c_1(E) = 1$ and $E \cdot E = -1$ that can be represented by smoothly embedded spheres

$\mathcal{C}(X_k)$ = symplectic cone:

$$\{\alpha \in H^2(X_k; \mathbb{R}) \mid \alpha^2 > 0 \text{ and } \alpha(E) > 0 \text{ for all } E \in \mathcal{E}(X_k)\}$$

$\overline{\mathcal{P}}_+^k$ = positive cone:

$$\{(\mu; \mathbf{a}) \in \mathbb{R}^{1+k} \mid \mu, a_1, \dots, a_k \geq 0, \|\mathbf{a}\| \leq \mu\}$$

\mathcal{R} = reduced vectors

Then $\mathcal{R} \subset \overline{\mathcal{C}(X_k)} \subset \overline{\mathcal{P}}_+^k$