

# Branching laws for representations of real reductive groups

Yoshiki OSHIMA

Institute for Advanced Study, Sep. 30, 2013

## Branching problem

Let  $G$  be a group and  $G'$  a subgroup.

Let  $V$  be an irreducible representation of  $G$ .

Decompose the restriction  $V|_{G'}$  into irreducible objects.

Suppose that  $G$  and  $G'$  are real linear reductive Lie groups.

i.e.  $G \subset GL(N, \mathbb{R})$  : closed, finitely many conn. components,  
and  ${}^tG = G$ , same for  $G'$ .

e.g.  $G = SL(n, \mathbb{R}), Sp(n, \mathbb{C}), O(p, q), E_{6(2)}, \dots$

$G \supset G'$  real linear reductive Lie groups

$\mathcal{H}$  an irreducible unitary representation of  $G$

$$\Rightarrow \mathcal{H}|_{G'} = \underbrace{\bigoplus_{\tau \in \widehat{G}'} m_d(\tau) \mathcal{H}_\tau}_{\text{discrete part}} \oplus \underbrace{\int_{\tau \in \widehat{G}'}^{\oplus} m_c(\tau) \mathcal{H}_\tau \mu(\tau)}_{\text{continuous part}}$$

If  $G'$  is compact, we have  $\mathcal{H}|_{G'} = \bigoplus_{\tau \in \widehat{G}'} m_d(\tau) \mathcal{H}_\tau$ .

Not much is known about explicit branching laws for non-compact  $G'$ .

## $(\mathfrak{g}, K)$ -modules

$\mathfrak{g} := \text{Lie } G,$

$K$  a maximal compact subgroup of  $G, \quad K = G \cap O(N)$

$(\mathfrak{g}, K)$ -module =  $\mathfrak{g}$ -action + locally finite  $K$ -action

+ compatibility condition

$\{ \text{irred. unitary rep. of } G \} \longleftrightarrow \{ \text{irred. unitarizable } (\mathfrak{g}, K)\text{-mod.} \}$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{H} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{H}_K \\ \mathcal{H}_K := \{v \in \mathcal{H} : \dim \langle Kv \rangle < \infty\} & & \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \overline{V} & \longleftarrow & V \end{array}$$

Hilbert completion

## Discrete decomposability of $(\mathfrak{g}, K)$ -modules (Kobayashi '90s)

$$G \rightsquigarrow (\mathfrak{g}, K), \quad G' \rightsquigarrow (\mathfrak{g}', K')$$

Consider branching problem for  $(\mathfrak{g}, K)$ -modules.

**Definition** Let  $V$  be a unitarizable  $(\mathfrak{g}, K)$ -module.

$V|_{\mathfrak{g}'}$  is discretely decomposable

$$\iff V = V_1 \oplus V_2 \oplus \cdots \text{ as a } (\mathfrak{g}', K')\text{-module.}$$

( $V_i$  : an irreducible  $(\mathfrak{g}', K')$ -module)

$$\text{If } V|_{\mathfrak{g}'} = \bigoplus_i V_i, \text{ then } \overline{V}|_{G'} = \hat{\bigoplus}_i \overline{V}_i.$$

If we assume the discrete decomposability, the branching problems for unitary representations of groups are equivalent to the branching problems for corresponding  $(\mathfrak{g}, K)$ -modules.

## Zuckerman's derived functor module

$\mathfrak{q} \subset \mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}$  a parabolic subalgebra such that  ${}^t\mathfrak{q} = \mathfrak{q}$

$\lambda$  a character of  $\mathfrak{q}$

$\rightsquigarrow A_{\mathfrak{q}}(\lambda) : (\mathfrak{g}, K)$ -module (cohomological induction)

### Theorem (Zuckerman, Vogan, Wallach)

Under certain positivity condition on  $\lambda$ , the  $(\mathfrak{g}, K)$ -module  $A_{\mathfrak{q}}(\lambda)$  is irreducible and unitarizable.

**Remark** The discrete series representations are isomorphic to  $A_{\mathfrak{q}}(\lambda)$  for some  $\mathfrak{q}$  and  $\lambda$ .

Suppose that  $(G, G')$  is a symmetric pair.

i.e.  $\exists \sigma$  an involution of  $G$  such that  $G' = \{g \in G : \sigma(g) = g\}$ .

e.g.  $(G, G') = (H \times H, \Delta H), (U(p, q), U(p, q - 1) \times U(1)),$   
 $(SL(p + q, \mathbb{R}), SO(p, q)), \dots$

**Theorem** (Kobayashi)

$A_q(\lambda)|_{\mathfrak{g}'}$  is discretely decomposable

$$\iff \text{pr}_{\mathfrak{g} \downarrow \mathfrak{g}'}(\text{Ass}_{\mathfrak{g}}(A_q(\lambda))) \subset \mathcal{N}(\mathfrak{g}'_{\mathbb{C}}).$$

associated variety

Classification (Kobayashi–O.)

## Branching law

Theorem (O. 2013)

Let  $(G, G')$  be a symmetric pair of real reductive groups and assume that  $A_{\mathfrak{q}}(\lambda)|_{\mathfrak{g}'}$  is discretely decomposable.

Then

$$A_{\mathfrak{q}}(\lambda)|_{\mathfrak{g}'} = \bigoplus_i m_{1,i} A_{\mathfrak{q}'_1}(\lambda_i) \oplus \bigoplus_j m_{2,j} A_{\mathfrak{q}'_2}(\lambda_j) \oplus \cdots$$

Proof uses  $\mathcal{D}$ -module realization of  $A_{\mathfrak{q}}(\lambda)$  and case-by-case analysis.