

# Random matrix theory motivated by number theory

Ashkan Nikeghbali  
University of Zurich

# References

- K. Maples, J. Najnudel, A.N., *Limit operators for circular ensembles.*
- P. Bourgade, J. Najnudel, A.N., *A unitary extension of virtual permutations.*
- R. Chhaibi, J. Najnudel, A.N., *A limiting random analytic function related to the CUE.*
- F. Delbaen, E. Kowalski, A.N., *mod- $\phi$  convergence.*

# The random matrix model

- The unitary group with the Haar measure;
- Eigenvalues on the unit circle;  $e^{i\theta_1}, \dots, e^{i\theta_n}$ .
- Weyl's integration formula: the joint density of the eigenangles  $(\theta_1, \dots, \theta_n) \in [0, 2\pi]^n$  is:

$$\frac{1}{(2\pi)^n n!} \prod_{j < k} |e^{i\theta_j} - e^{i\theta_k}|^2.$$

# Determinantal structure

- If  $u_n$  is distributed according to Haar measure, then one can define, for  $1 \leq p \leq n$ , the  $p$ -point correlation function  $\rho_p^{(n)}$  of the eigenangles, as follows: for any bounded, measurable function  $\phi$  from  $\mathbb{R}^p$  to  $\mathbb{R}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E} \left[ \sum_{1 \leq j_1 \neq \dots \neq j_p \leq n} \phi(\theta_{j_1}^{(n)}, \dots, \theta_{j_p}^{(n)}) \right] \\ &= \int_{[0, 2\pi]^p} \rho_p^{(n)}(t_1, \dots, t_p) \phi(t_1, \dots, t_p) dt_1 \dots dt_p. \end{aligned}$$

- If the kernel  $K^{(n)}$  is defined by

$$K^{(n)}(t) := \frac{\sin(nt/2)}{2\pi \sin(t/2)}$$

then the  $p$ -point correlation function is given by

$$\rho_p^{(n)}(t_1, \dots, t_n) = \det (K^{(n)}(t_j - t_k))_{j,k=1}^p.$$

## Proposition

Let  $E_n$  denote the set of eigenvalues taken in  $(-\pi, \pi]$  and multiplied by  $n/2\pi$ . Let  $y \neq y'$ . Define for  $y \neq y'$

$$K^{(\infty)}(y, y') = \frac{\sin[\pi(y' - y)]}{\pi(y' - y)}$$

and

$$K^{(\infty)}(y, y) = 1.$$

Then there exists a point process  $E_\infty$  such that for all  $r \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ , and for all measurable and bounded functions  $F$  with compact support from  $\mathbb{R}^r$  to  $\mathbb{R}$ :

$$\mathbb{E} \left( \sum_{x_1 \neq \dots \neq x_r \in E_n} F(x_1, \dots, x_r) \right) \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^r} F(y_1, \dots, y_r) \rho_r^{(\infty)}(y_1, \dots, y_r) dy_1 \dots dy_r,$$

where

$$\rho_r^{(\infty)}(y_1, \dots, y_r) = \det((K^{(\infty)}(y_j, y_k))_{1 \leq j, k \leq r}).$$

Moreover the point process  $E_n$  converges to  $E_\infty$  in the following sense: for all Borel measurable bounded functions  $f$  with compact support from  $\mathbb{R}$  to  $\mathbb{R}$ ,

$$\sum_{x \in E_n} f(x) \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{x \in E_\infty} f(x),$$

where the convergence above holds in law.

# Dyson (1962)

## Pair Correlation

For suitable test functions  $f$ ,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \int_{U(n)} \sum_{j \neq k} f(\tilde{\theta}_j - \tilde{\theta}_k) dX = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(v) \left( 1 - \left( \frac{\sin \pi v}{\pi v} \right)^2 \right) dv$$

# Distribution of zeros

The Riemann zeta function: for  $\Re(s) > 1$ ,

$$\zeta(s) = \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{1}{n^s} = \prod_p (1 - p^{-s})^{-1};$$

It can be analytically continued:

$$\xi(s) = \pi^{-s/2} s(s-1) \Gamma(s/2) \zeta(s) = \xi(1-s).$$

Riemann hypothesis: write a zero  $\rho_n$  as:

$$\rho_n = 1/2 + i\gamma_n, \quad \gamma_n > 0.$$



# Montgomery

## Conjecture

Write  $\tilde{\gamma}_n = \frac{\gamma_n}{2\pi} \log(\gamma_n/2\pi)$ ; then

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{j \neq k \leq N} f(\tilde{\gamma}_j - \tilde{\gamma}_k) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(v) \left( 1 - \left( \frac{\sin \pi v}{\pi v} \right)^2 \right) dv$$

# Why the unitary group?

- The sine kernel has some universal feature; so is there really something about zeta?
- Spectral interpretation: the conjectures are proved in the function field case by Katz and Sarnak;
- There are more striking connections to RMT through the approach by Keating and Snaith.

# Moments of the zeta function

It was conjectured by number theorists that the following should hold: for  $\Re(\lambda > -1/2)$ ,

$$\frac{1}{T} \int_0^T |\zeta(1/2 + it)|^{2\lambda} dt \sim a(\lambda)g(\lambda)(\log T)^{\lambda^2/2},$$

with

$$a(\lambda) = \prod_p (1 - p^{-1})^{\lambda^2} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \left( \frac{\Gamma(m + \lambda)}{m! \Gamma(\lambda)} \right) p^{-m},$$

and  $g$  a rational function with  $g(1) = 1$ ,  $g(2) = 2$ ,  $g(3) = \frac{42}{9!}$  and  $g(4) = \frac{24024}{16!}$ .

# A random model for the value distribution of $\zeta(1/2 + it)$

A remarkable random variable: for  $u \in U(n)$ ,

$$P_n(z) = \det(zI - u)$$

and

$$\int_{U(n)} |P_n(1)|^{2\lambda} d\mu \sim \frac{G^2(1 + \lambda)}{G(1 + 2\lambda)} n^{\lambda^2},$$

where  $G$  is the Barnes function defined by  $G(z + 1) = \Gamma(z)G(z)$  and  $G(1) = 1$ .

# The missing factor

It is not hard to see that:

$$\frac{G^2(1+k)}{G(1+2k)} = \prod_{j=1}^{k-1} \frac{j!}{(j+k)!}.$$

For  $k = 1, 2, 3, 4$ , this  $g(k)$ .

## Conjecture

$$g(\lambda) = \frac{G^2(1+\lambda)}{G(1+2\lambda)}.$$

# A remarkable finite $n$ computation

Keating and Snaith proved that for  $s, t$  complex numbers with  $\Re t > -1$ ,

$$\mathbb{E}[|P_n(1)|^t \exp(is \arg P_n(1))] = \prod_{k=1}^n \frac{\Gamma(k)\Gamma(k+t)}{\Gamma(k+(t+s)/2)\Gamma(k+(t-s)/2)}.$$

From this they were able to show that as  $n \rightarrow \infty$

$$\frac{\log P_n(1)}{\sqrt{1/2 \log n}} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}_{\mathbb{C}}, \text{ in law.}$$

This is to be compared with Selberg's CLT:

$$\frac{\log \zeta(1/2 + iU_T)}{\sqrt{1/2 \log \log T}} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}_{\mathbb{C}} \text{ in law}$$

where

$$\mathcal{N}_{\mathbb{C}} = \mathcal{N}(0, 1) + i\mathcal{N}'(0, 1).$$

# Questions

- This approach allows a dictionary where one tries to solve in the RMT world hard problems in NT;
- Problem by Katz and Sarnak: how to associate in a natural way to a given ensemble of random matrices an infinite dimensional operator with the good eigenvalues?
- Can one construct a limiting random analytic function from the characteristic polynomials?
- Take a typical problem about the value distribution of the zeta function, say Ramachandra's conjecture. Can one develop methods which would lead to theorems?
- Examples of problems which are proved in NT and whose RMT analogue would be meaningful.

# Goals

- Give a meaning to strong convergence;
- Prove convergence of eigenvalues and eigenvectors;
- Set the framework for the construction of the operator;



Consider

$$\xi_n(z) = \frac{P_n(e^{2iz\pi/n})}{P_n(1)}.$$

### Theorem (Chhaibi, Najnudel, N)

In the space of continuous functions from  $\mathbb{C}$  to  $\mathbb{C}$ , endowed with the topology of uniform convergence on compact sets, the random entire function  $\xi_n$  converges in law to a limiting entire function  $\xi_\infty$ . The zeros of  $\xi_\infty$  are all real and form a determinantal sine-kernel point process, i.e. for all  $r \geq 1$ , the  $r$ -point correlation function  $\rho_r$  corresponding to this point process is given, for all  $x_1, \dots, x_r \in \mathbb{R}$ , by

$$\rho_r^{(\infty)}(x_1, \dots, x_r) = \det \left( \frac{\sin[\pi(x_j - x_k)]}{\pi(x_j - x_k)} \right)_{1 \leq j, k \leq r}.$$

# Virtual Permutations (Kerov, Olshanski, Vershik)

## Proposition

For  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , let  $t(n) \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ . Then any permutation  $\sigma_n$  can be uniquely written as

$$\sigma_n = \tau_{n,t(n)} \tau_{n-1,t(n-1)} \cdots \tau_{1,1}$$

where  $\tau_{k,j} = 1$  if  $j = k$  and otherwise is the transposition  $(j, k)$ .

- If for each  $k \geq 1$ ,  $\mathbb{P}[t(k) = j] = 1/k$  for  $1 \leq j \leq k$ , and the  $t(k)$  are independent, then  $\sigma_n$  is Haar distributed.
- A virtual permutation is a sequence  $\{(\sigma_n), n \geq 1\}$  such that  $\sigma_{n+1} = \tau_{n+1,t(n+1)} \sigma_n$ .
- One goes from  $\sigma_{n+1}$  to  $\sigma_n$  by deleting  $n+1$  from the cycle structure of  $\sigma_{n+1}$ .
- With  $(t(n))_{n \geq 1}$ , independent and chosen as above, each  $\sigma_n$  is Haar distributed.
- Then there exists a projective limit of the Haar measure on the space of virtual permutations and it is w.r.to this measure that a.s. convergence can be established.

# Complex Reflections

- We endow  $\mathbb{C}^n$  with the scalar product:  $\langle x, y \rangle = \sum_{k=1}^n x_k \bar{y}_k$ .
- A reflection is a unitary transformation such that  $r$  such that it is the identity or the rank of  $Id - r$  is 1.
- Every reflection can be represented as:

$$r(x) = x - (1 - \alpha) \frac{\langle x, a \rangle}{\langle a, a \rangle} a,$$

where  $a$  is some vector and  $\alpha$  is an element of the unit circle.

- Given two distinct unit vectors  $e$  and  $m$ , there exists a unique complex reflection  $r$  such that  $r(e) = m$  and it is given by

$$r(x) = x - \frac{\langle x, m - e \rangle}{1 - \langle e, m \rangle} (m - e).$$

# Constructing virtual isometries $(u_n)_{n \geq 1}$

The sequence  $(u_n)_{n \geq 1}$  can be constructed in the following way:

- 1 One considers a sequence  $(x_n)_{n \geq 1}$  of independent random vectors,  $x_n$  being uniform on the unit sphere of  $\mathbb{C}^n$ .
- 2 Almost surely, for all  $n \geq 1$ ,  $x_n$  is different from the last basis vector  $e_n$  of  $\mathbb{C}^n$ , which implies that there exists a unique complex reflection  $r_n \in U(n)$  such that  $r_n(e_n) = x_n$  and  $I_n - r_n$  has rank one.
- 3 We define  $(u_n)_{n \geq 1}$  by induction as follows:  $u_1 = x_1$  and for all  $n \geq 2$ ,

$$u_n = r_n \begin{pmatrix} u_{n-1} & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

# Random virtual isometries

## Theorem [Bourgade-Najnudel-N]

Let  $(x_n)_{n \geq 1}$  be a sequence of random vectors,  $x_n \in \mathbb{C}^n$  and  $\|x_n\| = 1$ . Let  $(u_n)_{n \geq 1}$  be the virtual isometry satisfying  $u_n(e_n) = x_n$ . Then for each  $n$ , the random matrix  $u_n$  follows the Haar measure on  $U(n)$  iff the vectors  $(x_n)$  are independent and uniformly distributed on the corresponding spheres (i.e.  $x_n$  uniformly distributed on the unit sphere of  $\mathbb{C}^n$ ).

# Projective limit of the Haar measure

Let  $\mathcal{U}$  be the sigma-algebra generated on  $U^\infty$  by the sets

$$\{(u_n), u_k \in B_k\}, \quad k \geq 1 \quad \text{and} \quad B_k \in \mathcal{B}(U(k)).$$

There exists a unique probability measure  $\mu_\infty$  on this space such that its image under projection on  $U(n)$  is the Haar measure on  $U(n)$ .

# The characteristic polynomial

## Theorem [Bourgade-Najnudel-N]

Let  $(u_n)_{n \geq 1}$  be the virtual isometry satisfying  $u_n(e_n) = x_n$  and note  $v_n = x_n - e_n$ . Let  $(f_k^{(n)})_{1 \leq k \leq n}$  be an o.n. basis of  $\mathbb{C}^n$  consisting of eigenvectors of  $u_n$  and let  $(\lambda_k^{(n)})_{1 \leq k \leq n}$  be the corresponding sequence of eigenvalues. Recall  $P_n = \det(z - u_n)$ . Let us also decompose  $x_{n+1}$  as follows:

$$x_{n+1} = \sum_{k=1}^n \mu_k^{(n)} f_k^{(n)} + v_n e_{n+1}.$$

Then for all  $n$  such that  $x_{n+1} \neq e_{n+1}$ , one has  $v_n \neq 1$  and

$$P_{n+1}(z) = \frac{P_n(z)}{\bar{v}_n - 1} \left[ (z - v_n)(\bar{v}_n - 1) - (z - 1) \sum_{k=1}^n |\mu_k^{(n)}|^2 \frac{\lambda_k^{(n)}}{z - \lambda_k^{(n)}} \right].$$

## Idea of the proof

- Let  $x_n = u_n(e_n)$  and let  $r_n$  denote the unique reflection on  $\mathbb{C}^n$  mapping  $e_n$  to  $x_n$ . Therefore, we have  $u_{n+1} = r_{n+1} \circ (u_n \oplus 1)$ .
- Write  $r_{n+1} = I_{n+1} + \frac{1}{\bar{\nu}_n - 1} v_{n+1} \bar{v}_{n+1}^t$ .
- Then note that

$$P_{n+1}(z) = (z-1)P_n(z) \det \left( I_{n+1} - \left( \frac{1}{\bar{\nu}_n - 1} (zI_{n+1} - u_n \oplus 1)^{-1} v_{n+1} \bar{v}_{n+1}^t (u_n \oplus 1) \right) \right)$$

- Use  $\det(1 + A) = 1 + \text{Tr}(A)$  for a matrix of rank 1.



## Theorem (Maple-Najnudel-N)

Almost surely the eigenvalues of  $u_{n+1}$  are the unique roots of the rational equation

$$\sum_{j=1}^n |\mu_j^{(n)}|^2 \frac{\lambda_j^{(n)}}{\lambda_j^{(n)} - z} + \frac{|1 - \nu_n|^2}{1 - z} = 1 - \bar{\nu}_n$$

on the unit circle. Furthermore, they interlace between 1 and the eigenvalues of  $u_n$

$$0 < \theta_1^{(n+1)} < \theta_1^{(n)} < \theta_2^{(n+1)} < \dots < \theta_n^{(n)} < \theta_{n+1}^{(n+1)} < 2\pi.$$

and the eigenvectors satisfy the relation

$$(h_k^{(n+1)})^{\frac{1}{2}} f_k^{(n+1)} = \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{\mu_j^{(n)}}{\lambda_j^{(n)} - \lambda_k^{(n+1)}} f_j^{(n)} + \frac{\nu_n - 1}{1 - \lambda_k^{(n+1)}} e_{n+1},$$

$$h_k^{(n+1)} = \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{|\mu_j^{(n)}|^2}{|\lambda_j^{(n)} - \lambda_k^{(n+1)}|^2} + \frac{|\nu_n - 1|^2}{|1 - \lambda_k^{(n+1)}|^2}$$

is the unique positive real which makes  $f^{(n+1)}$  a unit vector

## Idea of Proof

- Let  $f$  be an eigenvector of  $u_{n+1}$  with corresponding eigenvalue  $z$ . Then we write

$$f = \sum_{j=1}^n a_j f_j^{(n)} + b e_{n+1}$$

where  $a_1, \dots, a_n, b$  are (as yet unknown) complex numbers, not all zero. Our goal is to write these coefficients in terms of  $x_{n+1}$  and the eigenvalues of  $u_n$ .

- We write  $z f = u_{n+1} f$  and use  $u_{n+1} = r_{n+1} \circ (u_n \oplus 1)$ .
- This leads to the system  $Q f = 0$  where

$$Q = I_{n+1} + w v^t,$$

and

$$w = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\mu_1^{(n)}}{\lambda_1^{(n)} - z} \\ \vdots \\ \frac{\mu_n^{(n)}}{\lambda_n^{(n)} - z} \\ \frac{\nu_n - 1}{1 - z} \end{pmatrix}; \text{ and } v^t = \left( \lambda_1^{(n)} \frac{\overline{\mu_1^{(n)}}}{\overline{\nu_n - 1}}, \dots, \lambda_n^{(n)} \frac{\overline{\mu_n^{(n)}}}{\overline{\nu_n - 1}}, 1 \right).$$

- The one can show that

$$v^t w = -1,$$

and this gives the recurrence relations.

- The interlacing property is obtained after a careful study of the rational function  $\Phi : S^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \cup \{\infty\}$  by

$$\Phi(z) = \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{\lambda_j^{(n)} |\mu_j^{(n)}|^2}{\lambda_j^{(n)} - z} + \frac{|\nu_n - 1|^2}{1 - z} - (1 - \bar{\nu}_n).$$

## Some fundamental a priori estimates

Let us fix  $\epsilon > 0$ , and let us define the following events:

$$E_0 = \{\theta_0^{(1)} \neq 0\} \cap \{\forall n \geq 1, \nu_n \neq 0\} \cap \{\forall n \geq 1, 1 \leq k \leq n, \mu_k^{(n)} \neq 0\}$$

$$E_1 = \{\exists n_0 \geq 1, \forall n \geq n_0, |\nu_n| \leq n^{-\frac{1}{2} + \epsilon}\}$$

$$E_2 = \{\exists n_0 \geq 1, \forall n \geq n_0, 1 \leq k \leq n, |\mu_k^{(n)}| \leq n^{-\frac{1}{2} + \epsilon}\}$$

$$E_3 = \{\exists n_0 \geq 1, \forall n \geq n_0, k \geq 1, n^{-\frac{5}{3} - \epsilon} \leq \theta_{k+1}^{(n)} - \theta_k^{(n)} \leq n^{-1 + \epsilon}\}.$$

We then let  $E := E_0 \cap E_1 \cap E_2 \cap E_3$ . Then  $E$  is a set of full measure.

# Convergence of eigenangles

## Theorem (Bourgade, Najnudel, N/ Maples, Najnudel, N)

There is a sine-kernel point process  $(y_k)_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}$  such that almost surely,

$$\frac{n}{2\pi} \theta_k^{(n)} = y_k + O((1 + k^2)n^{-\frac{1}{3} + \epsilon}),$$

for all  $n \geq 1$ ,  $|k| \leq n^{1/4}$  and  $\epsilon > 0$ , where the implied constant may depend on  $(u_m)_{m \geq 1}$  and  $\epsilon$ , but not on  $n$  and  $k$ .

## Some filtrations

### Lemma (Maples, Najnudel, N)

For  $n \geq 1$ , we define the  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathcal{A}_n = \sigma\{\lambda_j^{(m)} \mid 1 \leq m \leq n, 1 \leq j \leq m\}$  and its limit  $\mathcal{A} = \bigvee_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{A}_n$ . For all  $n \geq 1$ , the  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathcal{A}_n$  is equal, up to completion, to the  $\sigma$ -algebra generated by  $u_1$  the variables  $|\mu_j^{(m)}|$  and  $\nu_m$  for  $1 \leq m \leq n-1$  and  $1 \leq j \leq m$ .

### Lemma (Maples, Najnudel, N)

For  $1 \leq j \leq n$ , we define the phase  $\phi_j^{(n)}$  by  $\mu_j^{(n)} = \phi_j^{(n)} |\mu_j^{(n)}|$ , and the  $\sigma$ -algebras  $\mathcal{B}_n = \mathcal{A} \vee \sigma\{\phi_j^{(m)} \mid 1 \leq m \leq n-1, 1 \leq j \leq m\}$  and  $\mathcal{B} = \bigvee_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{B}_n$ . Then the  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathcal{B}_n$  is equal, up to completion, to the  $\sigma$ -algebra generated by  $\mathcal{A}$  and the eigenvectors  $f_j^{(m)}$  for  $1 \leq j \leq m$  and  $1 \leq m \leq n$ .

## A.s. weak convergence of eigenvectors

We introduce the following eigenvectors, for  $n \geq k$ :

$$g_k^{(n)} := D_k^{(n)} f_k^{(n)},$$

where  $D_k^{(n)} \in \mathbb{C}$  is the random variable

$$D_k^{(n)} = \prod_{s=k}^{n-1} (h_k^{(s+1)})^{\frac{1}{2}} \frac{\lambda_k^{(s)} - \lambda_k^{(s+1)}}{\mu_k^{(s)}}.$$

### Theorem (Maples, Najnudel, N)

For each  $k \geq 1$  and  $\ell \geq 1$ , the sequence  $\{\langle g_k^{(n)}, e_\ell \rangle\}_{n \geq k \vee \ell}$  is a martingale with respect to the filtration  $(\mathcal{B}_n)_{n \geq k \vee \ell}$ , and the conditional expectation of  $|\langle g_k^{(n)}, e_\ell \rangle|^2$ , given  $\mathcal{A}$ , is almost surely bounded when  $n$  varies.

## A.s. weak convergence of eigenvectors

Because this martingale is bounded in  $L^2$ , we have the following immediate corollary.

### Corollary (Maples, Najnudel, N)

Almost surely, for all  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $\ell \geq 1$ , the scalar product  $\langle g_k^{(n)}, e_\ell \rangle$  converges to a limit  $g_{k,\ell}$  when  $n$  goes to infinity.

- For each  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ , the infinite sequence  $g_k := (g_{k,\ell})_{\ell \geq 1} \in \mathbb{C}^\infty$  can be considered as the weak limit of the eigenvector  $g_k^{(n)}$  of  $u_n$ , when  $n$  goes to infinity.



## A.s. weak convergence of eigenvectors

### Theorem (Maples, Najnudel, N)

Let  $(u_n)_{n \geq 1}$  be a virtual rotation, following the Haar measure. For  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $n \geq 1$ , let  $v_k^{(n)}$  be a unit eigenvector corresponding to the  $k$ th smallest nonnegative eigenangle of  $u_n$  for  $k \geq 1$ , and the  $(1 - k)$ th largest strictly negative eigenangle of  $u_n$  for  $k \leq 0$ . Then for all  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ , there almost surely exist some complex numbers  $(\psi_k^{(n)})_{n \geq 1}$  of modulus 1, and a sequence  $(t_{k,\ell})_{\ell \geq 1}$ , such that for all  $\ell \geq 1$ ,

$$\sqrt{n} \langle \psi_k^{(n)} v_k^{(n)}, e_\ell \rangle \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} t_{k,\ell}.$$

Almost surely, for all  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ , the sequence  $(t_{k,\ell})_{\ell \geq 1}$  depends, up to a multiplicative factor of modulus one, only on the virtual rotation  $(u_n)_{n \geq 1}$ . Moreover, if  $(\psi_k)_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}$  is a sequence of iid, uniform variables on  $\mathbb{U}$ , independent of  $(t_{k,\ell})_{\ell \geq 1}$ , then  $(\psi_k t_{k,\ell})_{k \in \mathbb{Z}, \ell \geq 1}$  is an iid family of standard complex gaussian variables ( $\mathbb{E}[|\psi_k t_{k,\ell}|^2] = 1$ ).

# A flow of operators on a random space

- For each  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ , let  $(\alpha_n)_{n \geq 1}$  be a sequence such that  $\alpha_n$  is equivalent to  $\alpha n$  when  $n$  goes to infinity. For  $n \geq 1$ ,  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ , we have

$$u_n^{\alpha_n} f_k^{(n)} = e^{i\theta_k^{(n)} \alpha_n} f_k^{(n)}.$$

- Now,  $e^{i\theta_k^{(n)} \alpha_n}$  tends to  $e^{2i\pi\alpha y_k}$  and after normalization, the coordinates of  $f_k^{(n)}$  tend to the corresponding coordinates of the sequence  $(t_{k,\ell})_{\ell \geq 1}$ . It is then natural to expect that, in a sense which needs to be made precise,  $u_n^{\alpha_n}$  tends to some operator  $U$ , acting on some infinite sequences, such that

$$U((t_{k,\ell})_{\ell \geq 1}) = e^{2i\pi\alpha y_k} (t_{k,\ell})_{\ell \geq 1}.$$

# Definition of the random space

## Definition

The space  $\mathcal{E}$  is the random vector subspace of  $\mathbb{C}^\infty$ , generated by the sequences  $(t_{k,\ell})_{\ell \geq 1}$ , or equivalently,  $(g_{k,\ell})_{\ell \geq 1}$ , for  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ . For  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ , the operator  $U^\alpha$  is the unique linear application from  $\mathcal{E}$  to  $\mathcal{E}$  such that for all  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,

$$U^\alpha((t_{k,\ell})_{\ell \geq 1}) = e^{2i\pi\alpha y_k} (t_{k,\ell})_{\ell \geq 1},$$

or equivalently,

$$U^\alpha((g_{k,\ell})_{\ell \geq 1}) = e^{2i\pi\alpha y_k} (g_{k,\ell})_{\ell \geq 1}.$$

- The notation  $U^\alpha$  is motivated by the immediate fact that  $(U^\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathbb{R}}$  is a flow of operators on  $\mathcal{E}$ , i.e.  $U^0 = I_{\mathcal{E}}$  and  $U^{\alpha+\beta} = U^\alpha U^\beta$  for all  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$ .

## Theorem (Maples, Najnudel, N)

Almost surely, for any sequence  $(s_\ell)_{\ell \geq 1}$  in  $\mathcal{E}$  and for all integers  $m \geq 1$ ,

$$[U_n^{\alpha_n}((s_\ell)_{1 \leq \ell \leq n})]_m \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} [U^\alpha((s_\ell)_{\ell \geq 1})]_m,$$

where  $[\cdot]_m$  denotes the  $m$ th coordinate of a vector or a sequence.

## Theorem

Let  $\epsilon > 0$ . Almost surely, for all  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ , we have the following.

- 1 The euclidian norm  $\|g_k[n]\|$  is equivalent to a strictly positive random variable times  $\sqrt{n}$ , when  $n$  goes to infinity.
- 2  $\|g_k[n] - g_k^{(n)}\| = O_\epsilon(n^{\frac{1}{3}+\epsilon})$ .
- 3 For any  $T > 0$  and  $\delta \in (0, 1/6)$ ,

$$\sup_{\alpha \in [-T, T]} \sup_{\alpha_n \in [n(\alpha - n^{-\delta}), n(\alpha + n^{-\delta})]} \|u_n^{\alpha_n} g_k[n] - e^{2\pi i \alpha y_k} g_k[n]\| = O(n^{\frac{1}{2}-\delta}).$$

## Theorem

Almost surely, for all  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $\ell \geq 1$ ,  $\alpha, \gamma \in \mathbb{R}$ , and for all sequences  $(\alpha_n)_{n \geq 1}$  and  $(\gamma_n)_{n \geq 1}$  such that  $\alpha_n/n = \alpha + o(n^{-\delta})$  and  $\gamma_n/n = \gamma + o(n^{-\delta})$  for some  $\delta \in [0, 1/6)$ ,

$$\langle u_n^{\alpha_n}(g_k[n]) - e^{2\pi i \alpha y_k} g_k[n], u_n^{\gamma_n}(e_\ell) \rangle = o(n^{-\delta}),$$

when  $n$  goes to infinity. Moreover, for  $\delta \in (0, 1/6)$ , we get the uniform estimate:

$$\sup_{\substack{\alpha_n \in [n(\alpha - n^{-\delta}), n(\alpha + n^{-\delta})] \\ \gamma_n \in [n(\gamma - n^{-\delta}), n(\gamma + n^{-\delta})]}} \langle u_n^{\alpha_n}(g_k[n]) - e^{2\pi i \alpha y_k} g_k[n], u_n^{\gamma_n}(e_\ell) \rangle = O(n^{-\delta}).$$

- We can naturally define an inner product  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  on  $\mathcal{E}$ , by saying that the vectors  $(t_{k,\ell})_{\ell \geq 1}$ ,  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$  have norm 1 and are pairwise orthogonal. Note that this construction does not depend on the phase of  $(t_{k,\ell})_{\ell \geq 1}$  for  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ , so it is almost surely well-defined. From this point on, we assume that the phases are chosen in such a way that  $(t_{k,\ell})_{\ell \geq 1, k \in \mathbb{Z}}$  are iid, complex gaussian. Then, the scalar product on  $\mathcal{E}$  can almost surely be written as a function of the coordinates of the sequences:

### Proposition

Let  $(w_\ell)_{\ell \geq 1}$  and  $(w'_\ell)_{\ell \geq 1}$  be two vectors in  $\mathcal{E}$ . Then

$$\langle w, w' \rangle = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{\ell=1}^n w_\ell \overline{w'_\ell} = \lim_{s \rightarrow 1, s < 1} (1-s) \sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty} s^{\ell-1} w_\ell \overline{w'_\ell}.$$

- For  $\delta > 0$ , let  $\mathcal{E}_\delta$  be given by combinations  $(\lambda_k)$  such that

$$\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} (1 + |k|^{1+\delta}) |\lambda_k|^2 < \infty.$$

Indeed, under this assumption, for all  $\ell \geq 1$ , by Cauchy-Schwarz

$$\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} |\lambda_k t_{k,\ell}| \leq \left( \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} (1 + |k|^{1+\delta}) |\lambda_k|^2 \right)^{1/2} \left( \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{|t_{k,\ell}|^2}{1 + |k|^{1+\delta}} \right)^{1/2}. \quad (1)$$

The first factor is finite from the definition of  $\mathcal{E}_\delta$  and the second factor is almost surely finite, since

$$\mathbb{E} \left[ \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{|t_{k,\ell}|^2}{1 + |k|^{1+\delta}} \right] = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{1}{1 + |k|^{1+\delta}} < \infty.$$



## Proposition

Let  $w$  and  $w'$  be two sequences in  $\mathcal{E}_\delta$ , such that

$$w_\ell = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \lambda_k t_{k,\ell}, \quad w'_\ell = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \lambda'_k t_{k,\ell},$$

where

$$\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} (1 + |k|^{1+\delta})(|\lambda_k|^2 + |\lambda'_k|^2) < \infty \quad (2)$$

Then, for

$$\langle w, w' \rangle := \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \lambda_k \overline{\lambda'_k},$$

the conclusion of the previous Proposition holds.

### Proposition (Chhaibi, Najnudel, N)

Almost surely:

$$y_k^{(n)} \equiv \frac{n}{2\pi} \theta_k^{(n)} = k + O(\log(2 + |k|))$$

This comes from the fact (plus all information about the characteristic polynomial) that if  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ , and if  $\varepsilon > 0$  is small enough so that there are no eigenangles of  $U_n$  in  $[0, \varepsilon]$  and  $(\theta_k^{(n)}, \theta_k^{(n)} + \varepsilon]$ , then:

$$k = y_k^{(n)} - \frac{1}{\pi} \Im \left( \log \left( Z_n(e^{i(\theta_k^{(n)} + \varepsilon)}) \right) - \log \left( Z_n(e^{i\varepsilon}) \right) \right)$$

## Theorem (Chhaibi, Najnudel, N)

Almost surely and uniformly on compact subsets of  $\mathbb{C}$ , we have the convergence:

$$\xi_n(z) \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} \xi_\infty(z) := e^{i\pi z} \prod_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \left(1 - \frac{z}{y_k}\right)$$

Here, the infinite product is not absolutely convergent. It has to be understood as the limit of the following product, obtained by regrouping the factors two by two:

$$\left(1 - \frac{z}{y_0}\right) \prod_{k \geq 1} \left[ \left(1 - \frac{z}{y_k}\right) \left(1 - \frac{z}{y_{-k}}\right) \right],$$

which is absolutely convergent.

### Proposition (Chhaibi, Najnudel, N)

Almost surely,  $\xi_\infty$  is of order 1. More precisely, there exists a.s. a random  $C > 0$ , such that for all  $z \in \mathbb{C}$ .

$$|\xi_\infty(z)| \leq e^{C|z| \log(2+|z|)}.$$

On the other hand, there exists a.s. a random  $c > 0$  such that for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ ,

$$|\xi_\infty(ix)| \geq ce^{c|x|}.$$

## From Central to local limit theorems

### Theorem

Let  $(X_k)_{k \geq 1}$  be symmetric i.i.d. random variables which are non-lattice. Assume that there exists a sequence  $(b_n)_{n \geq 1}$  such that  $b_n \rightarrow \infty$  and as  $n \rightarrow \infty$

$$\frac{X_1 + \cdots + X_n}{b_n} \rightarrow \mu \quad \text{in law}$$

where  $\mu$  is a probability distribution whose c.f. is given by  $\exp(-|t|^p)$  for some  $0 < p \leq 2$ . Then for every Borel bounded set  $B$  whose boundary has Lebesgue measure 0 we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} b_n \mathbb{P}(X_1 + \cdots + X_n \in B) = c_p \lambda(B)$$

where  $\lambda$  is the Lebesgue measure and  $c_p = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int \exp(-|t|^p) dt$ .

## Mod $\phi$ Convergence

Let  $\mu$  be a probability measure on  $\mathbb{R}^d$  with c.f.  $\phi$ . Let  $X_n$  be random vector with values in  $\mathbb{R}^d$  with c.f.  $\varphi_n$ . We say that there is mod- $\phi$  convergence if there exists  $A_n \in GL_d(\mathbb{R})$  such that:

- (H1)  $\phi$  is integrable;
- (H2) Denoting  $\Sigma_n = A_n^{-1}$ , we have  $\Sigma_n \rightarrow 0$  and the vectors  $Y_n = \Sigma_n X_n$  converge in law to  $\mu$ .
- (H3) For all  $k \geq 0$ , we have

$$\sup_{n \geq 1} \int_{|t| \geq a} |\varphi_n(\Sigma_n^* t)| \mathbf{1}_{|\Sigma_n^* t| \leq k} dt \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } a \rightarrow \infty.$$

## Theorem (Delbaen, Kowalski, N)

Suppose that mod- $\phi$  convergence holds for  $(X_n)$ . Then for all continuous functions with compact support, we have:

$$\det(A_n)\mathbb{E}[f(X_n)] \rightarrow \frac{d\mu}{d\lambda}(0) \int f d\lambda.$$

Consequently for all relatively compact Borel set  $B$  with boundary of Lebesgue measure 0,

$$\det(A_n)\mathbb{P}(X_n \in B) \rightarrow \frac{d\mu}{d\lambda}(0)\lambda(B).$$

# Link with mod-Gaussian Convergence

## Proposition

If (H1) holds and if there exists a continuous function  $\psi : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  such that

$$\varphi_n(t) = \psi(t)\phi(A_n^*t)(1 + o(1))$$

uniformly for  $|\Sigma_n^*t| \leq k$  for  $k > 0$ , then we have mod- $\phi$  convergence.



## Useful Lemma

### Lemma

Suppose  $f : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is a continuous function with compact support. Then for each  $\eta > 0$  we can find two integrable functions  $g_1, g_2$  such that

- (i)  $\hat{g}_1$  and  $\hat{g}_2$  have compact support;
- (ii)  $g_2 \leq f \leq g_1$ ,
- (iii)  $\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (g_1 - g_2)(t) dt \leq \eta$ .

## Sketch of the proof of the Theorem

We can assume that  $f$  is continuous, integrable with  $\hat{f}$  having compact support.  
We write

$$\mathbb{E}[f(X_n)] = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(x) d\mu_n(x) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \varphi_n(t) \hat{f}(-t) dt.$$

Change of variables:

$$\mathbb{E}[f(X_n)] = (2\pi)^{-d} |\det \Sigma_n| \int_{|\Sigma_n^* s| \leq k} \varphi_n(\Sigma_n^* s) \hat{f}(-\Sigma_n^* s) dt.$$

The integrand converges piecewise to  $\varphi(s) \hat{f}(0)$ .

# The Winding Number of the Complex Brownian Motion

Let  $(W_t)_{t \geq 0}$  be a complex BM starting at 1. Let  $(\theta_t)_{t \geq 0}$  be the argument of  $W$ , starting at 0 and defined by continuity. Spitzer theorem asserts that

$$\frac{2\theta_t}{\log t} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$$

where the convergence is in law and where  $\mathcal{C}$  stands for a random variable with the Cauchy distribution with density  $\frac{1}{\pi} \frac{dx}{1+x^2}$ .

## Theorem

We have the following local limit theorem for the winding number:

$$\frac{\log t}{2} \mathbb{P}(\theta_t \in (a, b)) \rightarrow \frac{b - a}{\pi}.$$

This is a situation where we are in the stronger mod-Cauchy convergence situation with an explicitly computable limiting function involving Bessel functions.

# Random Matrices

## Theorem

For  $B$  a suitable Borel set of  $\mathbb{C}$ ,

$$\mathbb{P}(P_n \in B) \sim \frac{1}{\pi \log n} \lambda(B).$$

# Conjecture for the Riemann zeta function

## Conjecture

For any suitable Borel subset of  $\mathbb{C}$ , we have:

$$\lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1/2 \log \log T}{T} \lambda\{t \in [0, T] \mid \log \zeta(1/2 + it) \in B\} = \frac{\lambda(B)}{2\pi}.$$

This conjecture is true if for instance one can show that for all  $k > 0$ , there exists  $C_k > 0$  such that

$$\left| \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T \exp(it \cdot \log \zeta(1/2 + iu)) du \right| \leq \frac{C_k}{1 + |t|^4 (\log \log T)^2}$$

for all  $T \geq 1$  and  $|t| \leq k$ .

### Theorem [Kowalski-N]

The set of central values of the  $L$ -functions attached to non-trivial primitive Dirichlet characters of  $\mathbb{F}_p[X]$ , where  $p$  ranges over primes, is dense in  $\mathbb{C}$ .

For  $L$ -functions of hyper elliptic curves we have:

### Theorem [Kowalski-N]

Let  $\mathcal{H}_g(\mathbb{F}_q)$  be the set of square free, monic, polynomials of degree  $2g + 1$  in  $\mathbb{F}_q[X]$ . Fix a non-empty open interval  $(\alpha, \beta) \subset (0, \infty)$ . For all  $g$  large enough we have

$$\liminf_{q \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{|\mathcal{H}_g(\mathbb{F}_q)|} \left| \left\{ f \in \mathcal{H}_g(\mathbb{F}_q), \frac{L(C_f, 1/2)}{\sqrt{\pi g/2}} \in (\alpha, \beta) \right\} \right| \gg \frac{1}{\sqrt{\log g}}.$$