Table 1: Numerical estimation of $c$ for matrices of size $N$.

| $N$ | $c$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| 20 | 1.43570 |
| 30 | 1.46107 |
| 40 | 1.48018 |
| 50 | 1.49072 |
| 60 | 1.49890 |
| 70 | 1.50756 |

Table 2: Ratio of data mean $\tilde{\delta}$ to model mean $\delta$ with $c=3 / 2$ and $c=1 / 2$.

| $T$ | $N$ | $(\tilde{\delta} / \delta)_{c=3 / 2}$ | $(\tilde{\delta} / \delta)_{c=1 / 2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $10^{22}$ | 51 | 1.001343 | 0.504993 |
| $10^{19}$ | 44 | 0.992672 | 0.510293 |
| $10^{15}$ | 35 | 0.976830 | 0.518057 |
| $3.6 \times 10^{7}$ | 17 | 0.930533 | 0.552856 |



Figure 1: Numerical computation (red crosses) for $10^{6}$ matrices with $n=50$ compared to the theoretical prediction (blue line) for $p(x)$.


Figure 2: Numerical computation (solid red line) compared to theoretical prediction (dashed black line) for $p(x)$.


Figure 3: Numerical computation (red dots) compared to the theoretical prediction (dashed black line) for $D_{T}(\beta)$, suggesting freezing beyond $\beta=1$

