## 1 Introduction to Higgs Experiment

It's important to put the LHC experimental program in Higgs physics into context. The context being what can we expect or at least hope to learn about the newly discovered 126 GeV Higgs boson and the Higgs sector in general, what could new experiments and colliders measure with higher precision than the LHC, and, ultimately, is Higgs physics a good place to look to expand our understanding of the universe and the laws of physics. I've circulated a draft of the Higgs Snowmass working group report. In this document, a range of potential future Higgs programs are compared against the projected capabilities of the LHC program at 14 TeV with two integrated luminosities: the baseline program with 300fb<sup>-1</sup> and the high luminosity program with 3000fb<sup>-1</sup>. The proposals for new experiments and facilities include  $e^+e^-$  linear and circular colliders, a muon collider, a gamma-gamma collider, and a higher energy pp collider with energies up to 100 TeV. The reason to think about this more general context is to understand which are the areas of Higgs physics that the LHC can do best - some measurements are very timely in that there is still a possibility for large deviations from SM theory, and some measurements can be done particularly well or are particularly insightful. I will talk about two analyses that I am working on that are completely not covered in the Snowmass document, but I think they are rather interesting in any case. I will also cover the practical aspects of  $4\pi$  detectors at the LHC and analysis methods. Let me first start by asking about the limitations of doing measurements in Higgs physics at the LHC.

What is the expected total width of a Standard Model Higgs boson with a mass of 126 GeV? It's approximately 4.2 MeV. What's the source of the largest uncertainty on the prediction of the total width? It's the prediction of the  $H \to b\bar{b}$  decay rate, which is the largest branching fraction at roughly 58%. What's the mass of the b-quark on the H pole? It's approximately 2.8 GeV, and if we want to predict the total width to better than 1%, then we have to have an accurate value of  $\alpha_s$  and accurate lattice QCD calculations to determine the b mass at the H pole. The relative uncertainty on the prediction of other branching fractions are larger in some cases, but the uncertainty on the total width is smaller. If the total width is not known, then the normalization of the branching fractions is not known and therefore the coupling of the Higgs boson to a particular elementary particle cannot be directly compared against the coupling one expects based on the particle's mass. The LHC can constrain the total width, but can never measure it that well - the most accurate modelindependent measurements of the total width can be made at a muon collider where through the s-channel production mode one can scan the line shape of the Higgs resonance and also at leptons colliders through the recoil mass method in HZ production. One can, however, look at the ratio of branching ratios at the LHC which can be tested independent of the total width. The production cross section of Higgs bosons is big at a pp collider, we will get upwards of 10<sup>8</sup> Higgs bosons from the full LHC program whereas a linear collider can only produce roughly 10<sup>4</sup> Higgs bosons per year. The LHC is expected to measure the  $H \to \mu^+\mu^-$  decay mode while this rare decay mode is barely observed at an  $e^+e^-$  collider - conversely, the muon collider will produce the most accurate measurement of the Higgs-muon coupling.

Two of the Higgs boson decay modes are the WW and ZZ. In the rest frame of a Higgs boson decaying to ZZ, what is our rough expectation for the outgoing momentum of the two Z bosons? There is a wide range, because the decay is sub-threshold to produce both Z bosons on mass shell, where the natural width of the Z is roughly 2.5 GeV. In fact, we will get a large range of outgoing Z momenta depending on the masses of the Z bosons that are produced in a given decay. We observe these masses experimentally in particular when the two Z bosons decay to leptons of different flavors, such as an  $e^+e^-\mu^+\mu^-$  4-lepton final state. We can therefore measure momentum dependences and learn a lot about the vertex terms in these final states. This variation is also present at  $e^+e^$ and mu colliders through the HZ production mode at a center of mass energy of 250 GeV through the production vertex – a lepton collider does not have as much statistics on Higgs decays into ZZ into 4-leptons. The analysis of  $H \to ZZ$  can be used to exclude (most) spin-2 models and to look for CP-violation, though sensitivity to CP-violation is suppressed. CP-violation in the Higgs sector could potentially be tested more accurately in either muon or gamma-gamma colliders in s-channel production through the polarization of the incident beams.

Another interesting aspect of the Higgs production and decay at the LHC are the loop-induced processes. Which are these? On the production side, we can see  $gg \to H$ . On the decay side, we can look at  $H \to \gamma \gamma$  and  $H \to Z\gamma$ . What can we say about the expectation of deviations with respect to the Standard Model predictions in the Higgs sector. If we see a deviation in the total width? Then we could have new decays, such as invisible or exotic decays. If we see a deviation in the coupling of the Higgs to the muon, where could it come from? Another degree of freedom in the Higgs sector. If we see a deviation in a loop decay rate? We could have new particles entering the loops. Loop decays could also be present in the decays of multiple Higgs bosons. That is one of the things we looked for recently at the CMS experiment for the EPS conference (https://twiki.cern.ch/twiki/bin/view/CMSPublic/Hig13016TWiki). Here we followed a proposal by Scott Thomas and Nathaniel Craig on the potential existence of nearly degenerate or in general additional Higgs bosons that can decay to diphotons in the mass range from above the Z boson mass to below the  $t\bar{t}$  threshold which can arise in the MSSM from a low tan  $\beta$  enhancement of the decay loop. There were two ways to approach this analysis. One situation is where the resonances are clearly separated in mass, in which case one can take the observed diphoton invariant mass distribution and subtract off the expected rate for the SM Higgs boson, either using the observed rate at 126 GeV or the expected SM rate and signal shape, and then look at the residuals in the diphoton invariant mass distribution. An excess rate with respect to the remaining background with a shape consistent with the experimental resolution of a boson decaying to two photons would be a signature of multiple Higgs bosons. There is a very slight less than 3 sigma excess at 136 GeV in the current CMS data. The second method is to float the relative rate of two signal peaks such that the sum reproduces within statistics uncertainties the observed diphoton peak at 126 GeV. This is done in a region of 4 GeV of the mass peak. Nothing significant is observed in the data to suggest degenerate bosons decaying to diphotons.. The direct upper limit on the total width from the limited experimental resolution on the reconstructed invariant mass from the diphoton decays is 6.9 GeV at 95% C.L. as compared to an expected 4.2 MeV natural width.

Let me describe to you another analysis involving the Higgs boson called a Higgs portal process. The term portal means here that we are looking for particles with masses that are larger than half the Higgs boson mass and therefore are not produced in Higgs decays, though they may enter the loops. As an example, if we look at the rate of  $t\bar{t}$  production through a Higgs propagator with Madgraph using a VBF process, we get a cross section of approximately 2fb. If we reduce the top mass down to 120 GeV, the cross section goes up to roughly 6fb. Of course, there is nothing special about looking for top quarks produced in this way – there are plenty produced through the strong interaction at the LHC and there are better ways to measure the Higgs to top quark coupling. We have the  $qq \to H$  and  $H \to \gamma \gamma$  loops and the ttH production process. However, suppose there were particles that only interact via the Higgs sector. Some of the direct dark matter searches for masses from 10 to 150 GeV probe direct scattering with the equivalent of unit Yukawa coupling to the Higgs boson (same strength as the top quark coupling). There is also the suggestion that dark matter annihilation can proceed through a Higgs propagator and therefore two 120 GeV Majorana dark matter particles can annihilate to two photons, each with an energy of a 120 GeV, not the 63 GeV one gets from an on-shell Higgs decay. One search we are looking at is the search for spin-3/2 particles. One can identify these particles if there is a decay to a photon plus missing energy, where the missing energy could be a low mass spin-3/2 gravitino. If the Higgs boson has a large Yukawa or a sufficiently large electroweak coupling to an NLSP neutralino with a photon plus gravitino decay, then we could look for forward VBF production jets with diphotons and transverse missing energy at the LHC. One can construct models where this cross section is a fraction to a few fb for neutralino masses in the range 80 to 170 GeV - these are the masses we have looked at so far. For a 3000fb<sup>-1</sup> program, this could yield a reasonable event rate if the efficiency for the final state is high and the fraction of accepted background events is low. Here I had help from Josh Ruderman on getting an SLHA file for Pythia that would decay the NLSP to a photon + gravitino. I also had help from Mariangela on getting the Pythia interface to process the decay. We then did a full simulation of these events, digitization in the presence of high pileup, and reconstructed them. Now it's a matter of tuning the analysis sensitivity to see whether a signal could be found with enough integrated luminosity.

Now onto the experimental primer on  $4\pi$  detector at the LHC (covered in separate slides).

## 2 Introduction to Higgs Factories

Why is it we live in a large-scale universe? By all rights, the Higgs mechanism should have made elementary particles extremely heavy and in doing so should have made gravity and the electroweak interactions of comparable scale and in doing do should have created a universe the size of a small dot. The discovery of the Higgs boson shows that we understand Nature's tools at a purely mechanical level, but we can't figure out how these tools ended up making the universe that we live in.

The Higgs boson discovery presents us for the first time with a layer of elementary structure that is different from all others uncovered by short-distance particle interactions – a fundamental scalar field. What opportunities does this discovery open up for reaching a deeper level of understanding of the laws of physics?

We have found one and only one boson at this time. Are there really no other degrees of freedom in the Higgs sector? That is question #1 - answer by exploration - we know that if an extended Higgs sector is found that there is likely to be additional symmetries and potentially even evidence for physics at the grand unification scale and beyond. We know that additional scalars could appear in decays of the found boson or have mixed states with shared final states at higher mass.

Question #2 - is there something awry with the boson that has been found? Here we turn to the approach commonly known as a Higgs factory. A dedicated environment that provides direct, high precision measurements of the Higgs boson couplings and properties so that by over-constraining the measurements we see deviations at the QFT level. The most obvious discrepancy would be in the partial widths of the Higgs boson to particular final states or on the expected total width of the resonance, indicating something new. But unexpected behavior could appear in other aspects of the Higgs boson in the form of CP violation or anomalous Higgs self-couplings.

The 14 TeV LHC program will achieve the highest rate of Standard Model Higgs boson production in the foreseeable future, and from that standpoint is a Higgs factory with  $10^7$  to  $10^8$  Higgs bosons produced in a hadron collider environment. It is also a broadband discovery environment to answer question #1.

Some numbers: The production cross sections at the LHC are approximately 50pb for gluon-gluon fusion (ggF), 4.2pb for VBF, 1.5pb(0.9pb) for HW(HZ), and 0.6pb for ttH. At 33 TeV the cross sections increase to 178pb(ggF), 15pb VBF, 4.3pb(2.7pb) for HW(HZ), and 4.4pb for ttH. The triple Higgs production in ggF(VBF) increases from 34fb(1.8fb) at 14 TeV to 207fb(11fb) at 33 TeV.

What can we measure well at the LHC? From the table of SM branching fractions, Table 1, start from the bottom. The highest measurement precision will be on measuring ZZ, WW, and gamma-gamma decays. Those are important modes. Custodial symmetry will be the law of the land, and the two richest loop diagrams, the top quark loop for production and the loops that couple to gamma-gamma for decay (and some precision on the loop-induced

Z-gamma decay) will be known with good accuracy. Arguably, the loop content contributing to the gamma-gamma final state is the most important place to look for indirect evidence for new physics. Only an s-channel gamma-gamma collider has the potential to supersede the LHC measurement precision. This makes the argument that the LHC should push for extraordinary precision for measuring the gamma-gamma partial width in high luminosity operation - however, the current detector phase 2 development appears to be aiming at constant performance of even downscaling on gamma-gamma. There are a broad range of production modes at the LHC (ggF with top loop, VBF, HW, HZ, ttH), some with unique properties to reduce measurement systematics - such as the tag and probe topologies. There may be new production modes (such as from cascade decays) - so verifying the production sources is also a way to search for H+X in new physics. A wide range of decays (include the  $b\bar{b}$ ,  $\tau^+\tau^-$ , and  $\mu^+\mu^-$  fermion pair decays and the Z-gamma loop-mediated decay) can be observed and established at better than 3 standard deviations. With such a large statistics of Higgs boson, new unexpected decays at 10<sup>3</sup>–10<sup>6</sup> branching fraction levels could potentially be detected.

The most important property of the SM Higgs is the self-coupling that establishes the present-day physical vacuum and which extrapolates to a metastable minimum at small length scales. This observation of the Higgs tri-linear coupling requires upgrades to the existing LHC program. The higher the LHC beam energy, the more sensitivity there will be to the self-coupling - this sensitivity should be compared with 3 TeV lepton colliders where a precision of 20% is estimated. The Higgs sector itself is largely undefined, and may contain a host of new scalar fields, including a two-doublet sector as required by supersymmetry. The broadband search capabilities of the LHC in this regard is largest motivation to increase the luminosity and energy. The burden on providing a strong program high luminosity 14 TeV LHC (beyond 300 fb<sup>-1</sup>) is on innovative and exceptional improvements in the detector technologies to allow interesting events to be separated from background. Higher energy operation will provide higher production cross sections (nearly an order of magnitude for HHH self-couplings) and is effectively a cleaner environment in comparison to lower energy, higher luminosity operation.

Looking at Table 1, one could ask, "Where are the high precision predictions against which experimental measurements can be compared?" The answer is that the branching fraction uncertainties are limited by the lack of direct measurements on the total width of the Higgs boson and therefore from the most uncertain prediction of the known visible decays – the  $H \to b\bar{b}$  branching fraction. There is a plan to potentially improve the  $H \to b\bar{b}$  theory error down to 1% if  $\alpha_s$  can also be improved. As we will see for Higgs factories, the direct measurement of  $H \to b\bar{b}$  will eventually supersede theory, and it is likely that this measurement will be used to reduce the total width uncertainty contribution to other branching fractions. For a precision Higgs program, in the absence of improvements on  $H \to b\bar{b}$  and the total width, ratios of branching fractions need to be constructed to isolate the highest precision predictions that can be made from theory, or theory extrapolations of other, independent,

Process	Branching fraction	Relative uncertainty
$H  o b ar{b}$	57.7%	(+3.2 - 3.3)%
$H \to \tau^+ \tau^-$	6.32%	(+5.7 - 5.7)%
$H \to \mu^+ \mu^-$	0.022%	(+6.0 - 5.9)%
$H \to c\bar{c}$	2.91%	(+12.2 - 12.2)%
H  o gg	8.57%	(+10.2 - 10.0)%
$H \to \gamma \gamma$	0.228%	(+5.0 - 4.9)%
$H  o Z \gamma$	0.154%	(+9.0 - 8.8)%
$H \rightarrow W^+W^-$	21.5%	(+4.3 - 4.2)%
H  o ZZ	2.64%	(+4.3 - 4.2)%

Table 1: SM Higgs decay branching fractions ( $M_H$ =125 GeV) [LHC Higgs Cross Section Group]. The relative uncertainties on the branching fractions are several % or more. This comes from the uncertainty on the total width which is turn is limited by  $H \to b\bar{b}$ .

high precision measurements. The natural width of the SM Higgs boson at  $m_H = 125$  GeV,  $\Gamma_H \approx 4.07$  MeV (+4.0-3.9)%, is two orders of magnitude smaller than direct measurement resolutions at the LHC. The only constraints that can be applied are based on assumptions of cross-section times branching fraction measurements and are, in general, limited to the 10-20% level.

Returning to Question #2. The issue with question 2 is that if we are going to search for deviations that point to new physics, the additional measurement precision in the Higgs sector has to be matched with order of magnitude improvements in the electroweak sector and in the W boson and top quark mass measurements. In the event of new discoveries at the LHC, the higher precision on gauge couplings will more precisely fit for the existence of a grand unification point in the presence of high mass thresholds from new physics - the most far-reaching indication for a common origin of the present day interactions.

Resonant (s-channel) Higgs Factories - there are two possible approaches,  $\mu^+\mu^-$  and  $\gamma\gamma$ .

What is interesting about a mu collider - other than the spectacular technology needed to produce, accelerate, and collide muons within their short, time-dilated lifetime?

By far the most amazing capability of the mu collider is its unique capabilities to scan the natural line shape of the Higgs boson. The energy spread of a muon beam can be reduced to 0.2 MeV with a knowledge of the beam energy of 10 keV using g-2 precession methods. The line shape scan unambiguously measures the total width of the Higgs boson to a precision of approximately 0.4 MeV and potentially to better than 0.1 MeV. The Higgs boson production rate with two experiments on the ring is 80000/year. The most precise (sub-percent) and unique measurement will be of the Higgs-muon coupling, where the muon mass is the best known of all heavy fermion masses (better than a part per million). The precision on the range of potential branching fraction measurements are

set mainly by the Higgs boson production statistics - which will be compared against other potential dedicated machines - circular machines generally produce more integrated luminosity.

The mu collider has many interesting aspects that support several areas of particle physics beyond the Higgs. The high luminosity capability at the Z peak and at energies of 1, 3, and 6 TeV make it the highest energy reach, highest luminosity lepton collider. It is also the most compact, and fits within the Fermilab site. The ultra-low beam energy spread and beam energy calibration capabilities may provide the highest precision top mass measurement. The luminosity measurement with tracking as opposed to calorimetry and reduced effects from radiative corrections may make for a high precision luminosity determination, but this level of study has not been done. The mu collider environment has a substantial source of background from the constant dumping of off-momentum electrons from muon decay - backgrounds are generated in the beam dumps and stream out in all directions. The detector backgrounds have been found to be minimal with the bulk of the particles being MeV-scale electrons and out-oftime tracks are removed with high precision timing methods. The mu collider has a complete physics program of GigaZ, HZ and VBF, precision W boson and top quark mass threshold scan, ttH coupling measurement, triple-H selfcoupling, and 3 TeV or higher lepton collider new physics capabilities - so what is the sticking point? The mu collider design has several non-trivial hurdles to overcome in the accelerator and detectors. The outlook for the muon collider is a 20 year time scale with several intermediate stages of muon accelerator development, some of which support high intensity neutrino physics programs.

The gamma-gamma s-channel collider is an interesting approach. The gamma-gamma collisions can be realized with an extremely compact electron-electron machine with inverse Compton scattered photons from a powerful pulsed laser incident on the electron beams. The energy resolution of the gamma-gamma collider is wider than the s-channel resonance, so there is no capability for a line shape scan. There is a large capability for polarized photons. The strongest area one can explore are in tests of CP violation with polarized initial-states and the measurement precision on the gamma-gamma loop-induced production vertex. There are expected to be substantial backgrounds from charged-fermion final-states, but most of these backgrounds can be removed with kinematical cuts. The development of the laser technology is common with developments in inertial confinement fusion (LIFE) and also free electron lasers (FEL) and energy recovery linacs. The gamma-gamma option could be also added to a linear  $e^+e^-$  collider rather than running as a dedicated electron-electron machine.

The story of the maximum energy for an  $e^+e^-$  collider started with a paper by Burt Richter. In his paper he drew a line (reasonably defined at the time) in beam energy where  $e^+e^-$  circular colliders would end (based on synchrotron energy loss) and where linear colliders could continue. Total power consumption is the main limitation of a linear collider, where one stops at the scale of GigaWatts. In the meanwhile, the KEK-B factory developed a dual-ring technology for topping up beam current at full energy. Not surprisingly this technique nearly doubles the maximum energy of a circular  $e^+e^-$  collider

and makes it practical to achieve a 350 GeV  $e^+e^-$  collider in a 80-100km ring. Typically, one gets one to two orders of magnitude in delivered luminosity with a circular collider.

The ILC was designed to go 1 TeV. This range covered the possible range of Higgs boson masses and in many regards provided a completely parallel route to the Higgs boson discovery we have today. The cleaner environment of  $e^+e^$ collisions offsets to a large extent the lower rate of Higgs boson production, approximately 10,000 per year in the ZH channel at the peak cross section, to upwards of 40,000 per year at 1 TeV in VBF. The ZH data provide an important alternative technique to measure the total width - through the recoil mass. The bulk of the precision on couplings is achieved from the statistics at 1 TeV and this is also where precision on the ttH coupling is maximal with a 4% precision that can be reached. CLIC is an alternative  $e^+e^-$  linear collider technology that can be pushed up to 3 TeV using a pair of collinear beams - one used for generating an acceleration gradient. The incremental gain of the 3 TeV operation is most relevant for the HHH self-coupling measurement where better than 20% can be achieved. TLEP is the proposal to install an additional ring of 80-100km to extend the existing LHC accelerator complex. This proposal would achieve the highest rate of Higgs boson production for an  $e^+e^-$  collider, exceeding 400,000 Higgs bosons per year. TLEP would provide higher luminosity for all precision measurements including the top quark mass. The missing elements of this program are the ttH and HHH self-coupling measurements which require higher center-of-mass energies. A new 80-100km tunnel would leave open the future possibility of a 100 TeV proton-proton machine, where yet a whole new domain of exploration would be possible.

What are the major issues for Higgs factories? First of all, there cannot be a high precision search for new physics from branching fraction deviations in the Higgs sector unless the uncertainty stemming mainly from  $H \to b\bar{b}$  and in general, the measurement of the total width of the Higgs boson is known to better than 1%. Improvements from theory require a high precision program that improves all electroweak measurements,  $\alpha_s$ , and the W boson and top quark mass measurements. Alternatively, a high precision direct measurement of  $H \to b\bar{b}$  will allow measurement to supersede the theory uncertainties so that precision comparisons can be made for the remaining branching fractions, which are few in number for factories that produce a limited number of Higgs bosons. Precision theory predictions for ratios of branching fractions and experimental programs to measure the total width to better than 1% need to be investigated, such as from very high statistics on the recoil mass method and potentially supplemented with direct line shape scans when possible.

The only two resonance production methods for the Higgs boson ( $\mu^+\mu^-$  and  $\gamma\gamma$ ) involve technologies that we have not developed yet - but we do believe they will be developed eventually. The other directions for high precision Higgs studies involve accelerators that are themselves new energy frontier machines or in some way are designed to expand into new energy frontier machines. Since these machines use non-resonant processes, the Higgs boson measurements get most of their precision from their highest energy operation. Low energy operation

is for specific measurements, such as the recoil mass technique to measure the total width. By choosing these machine technologies we are effectively choosing the future direction of the energy frontier before having decisive constraints to guide us. That might be the only answer, but it would choose between:

- linear  $e^+e^-$  up to 1 TeV or 3 TeV here there are two accelerator technologies
- circular  $e^+e^-$  up to 240 GeV (350 GeV) followed by pp at 33 TeV (100 TeV) where the 33 TeV program is HE-LHC in the existing LEP tunnel
- And it should be noted that  $\mu^+\mu^-$  could be extended up to at least 3 TeV
- And that  $\gamma\gamma$  could be included in a linear  $e^+e^-$  energy frontier machine

There are different time-scales for different approaches, and some are more challenging than others. In terms of keeping an edge on new physics, the LHC will keep the community actively engaged for at least the next 10 years making this a unique time to plan with an open view on the most compelling physics case for a new collider.

Summary. There are impressive new capabilities in accelerator and detector technologies that will shape the future of Higgs physics. The list of alternatives is understood, all of which are driven and inspired by the continued pursuit of physics at the smallest length scales. There may be a case to move forward on a new machine at this time, but it is clear that the enabling technologies of the accelerators and detectors should continue to be pursued and that in the immediate future the 14 TeV data from the LHC is still the most direct way to new discoveries.