

Anomalies in the Space of Coupling Constants

Nathan Seiberg

IAS

C. Córdova, D. Freed, H.T. Lam, NS

Introduction

- Long history of exploring a classical or quantum theory as a function of its parameters. Two complementary applications:
 - Family of theories (e.g. Berry phase)
 - Make the coupling constants spacetime dependent
- More generally, we should view every theory as a function of
 - Spacetime dependent coupling constants
 - Spacetime dependent background gauge fields for global symmetries
 - Spacetime geometry (and associated choices like spin-structure, etc.)
 - Explore defects (e.g. interfaces) as these vary in spacetime

Introduction

- Traditionally, we study anomalies in
 - global symmetries by coupling the system to spacetime dependent background gauge fields (these can be continuous or discrete and the symmetries can be ordinary symmetries or higher form symmetries)
 - the geometry by placing the system in arbitrary spacetime metric (and associated choices like spin-structure, etc.)
- Then, the anomaly can be described by a classical field theory in one higher dimension (and sometimes it is convenient to study it in two higher dimensions).
- Many applications starting with 't Hooft anomaly matching.
- Since we will study spacetime dependent coupling constants, the anomaly action can depend on them.

Introduction

This “generalized anomaly” has two classes of applications

- An anomaly in a (multi-parameter) family of theories can lead, à la ‘t Hooft, to constraints on its long-distance behavior, e.g. predict phase transitions.
 - This is also useful as a test of dualities
- The coupling constants can vary in spacetime leading to a defect. The anomaly constrains the dynamics on the defect.

Since this view unifies many different, recently-studied phenomena, some of the results may seem familiar to many of you.

Introduction

- This view will streamline, unify, and strengthen many known results, and will lead to new ones.
- We will start by demonstrating them in very simple examples (QM of a particle on a ring, 2d $U(1)$ gauge theory).
 - Since these examples are elementary, the more powerful formalism is not essential. But the examples provide a good pedagogical way to demonstrate the formalism.
- Then we will briefly turn to more advanced and more recently studied examples.
- We'll end by revisiting the free 4d fermion.

Quantum mechanics of a particle on a ring

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{2} \dot{q}^2 + \frac{i}{2\pi} \theta \dot{q} \quad \text{with } q \sim q + 2\pi$$

$$\theta \sim \theta + 2\pi$$

$$\text{Spectrum } E_n = \frac{1}{2} \left(n - \frac{\theta}{2\pi} \right)^2$$

Symmetries:

- $U(1): q \rightarrow q + \alpha$
- $\mathcal{CT}: q(\tau) \rightarrow -q(-\tau)$
- $\theta = 0, \pi$ also $\mathcal{C}: q \rightarrow -q$ (and therefore also \mathcal{T}) combining to $O(2)$
- $\theta = \pi$ the Hilbert space is in a projective representation.
 - A mixed \mathcal{C} - $U(1)$ anomaly [Gaiotto, Kapustin, Komargodski, NS]. This guarantees level-crossing there.

Quantum mechanics of a particle on a ring

[Gaiotto, Kapustin, Komargodski, NS]

Couple to a background $U(1)$ gauge field A

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{2} (\dot{q} + A)^2 + \frac{i}{2\pi} \theta (\dot{q} + A) + i k A$$

k is a coupling for the background field only – a counterterm.

With nonzero A the θ periodicity is modified

$$(\theta, k) \sim (\theta + 2\pi, k - 1)$$

- Related to that, the spectrum $E_n = \frac{1}{2} \left(n - \frac{\theta}{2\pi} \right)^2$ is invariant under $\theta \rightarrow \theta + 2\pi$, but the states are rearranged $|n\rangle \rightarrow |n + 1\rangle$.
- We can restore the 2π periodicity by adding a “bulk term” $\frac{i}{2\pi} \theta dA$.

Quantum mechanics of a particle on a ring

[Gaiotto, Kapustin, Komargodski, NS]

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{2} \dot{q}^2 + \frac{i}{2\pi} \theta \dot{q}$$

Break $U(1) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_N$ with a potential, e.g. $V(q) = \cos(Nq)$.

The qualitative conclusions are unchanged.

- For N even, we still have a similar $\mathcal{C} - \mathbb{Z}_N$ anomaly at $\theta = \pi$ and hence the ground state is degenerate there.
- For N odd, there is no anomaly – not a projective representation. But there is still degeneracy at $\theta = \pi$.
 - In terms of background fields, we can preserve \mathcal{C} at $\theta = \pi$ by adding a counterterm $i \frac{N-1}{2} A$ for the \mathbb{Z}_N gauge field A . But then there is no \mathcal{C} at $\theta = 0$ (referred to as “global inconsistency”).

Quantum mechanics of a particle on a ring

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{2} \dot{q}^2 + V(q) + \frac{i}{2\pi} \theta \dot{q}$$

Break \mathcal{C} and \mathcal{T} for all θ , e.g. by making $V(q)$ generic (but \mathbb{Z}_N invariant) and adding degrees of freedom.

Now, the symmetry is only \mathbb{Z}_N (no $U(1)$ and no \mathcal{C}).

Add a \mathbb{Z}_N background field A and a counterterm ikA (with k an integer modulo N). Again

$$(\theta, k) \sim (\theta + 2\pi, k - 1)$$

Continuously shifting θ by 2π , the states are rearranged – a state with \mathbb{Z}_N charge l is mapped to a state with a \mathbb{Z}_N charge $(l + 1) \bmod N$.

The ground state must jump (level-crossing) at least once in $\theta \in [0, 2\pi)$. There is a “phase transition.”

Quantum mechanics of a particle on a ring

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{2} \dot{q}^2 + V(q) + \frac{i}{2\pi} \theta \dot{q}$$
$$(\theta, k) \sim (\theta + 2\pi, k - 1)$$

Two views on the parameter space

- Either $\theta \sim \theta + 2\pi N$ and preserve the \mathbb{Z}_N symmetry
- Or $\theta \sim \theta + 2\pi$, but violate the \mathbb{Z}_N symmetry

Generalized anomaly between the \mathbb{Z}_N symmetry and $\theta \sim \theta + 2\pi$ (related discussion in [\[Thorngren; NS, Tachikawa, Yonekura\]](#)).

The anomaly is characterized by the 2d bulk term $\frac{i}{2\pi} \int d\theta A$.
(Below it will be defined carefully.)

Quantum mechanics of a particle on a ring

Anomaly between the global \mathbb{Z}_N symmetry and the θ periodicity.

It is characterized by the 2d bulk term $\frac{i}{2\pi} \int d\theta A$

- Viewing our system as a one-parameter family of theories labeled by θ the anomaly means that there must be level-crossing – “a phase transition.” (Alternatively, this follows from tracking the \mathbb{Z}_N charge of the ground state.)
- “Interfaces” where θ changes as a function of time carry \mathbb{Z}_N charge.
- In order to discuss the interfaces we need to define the action more carefully.

Quantum mechanics of a particle on a ring

Differential Cohomology for pedestrians

When $\theta \in \mathbb{S}^1$ is Euclidean time dependent $\oint \theta(\tau) \dot{q}(\tau) d\tau$ should be defined more carefully.

Divide the Euclidean-time circle into patches $\mathcal{U}_I = (\tau_I, \tau_{I+1})$, where θ is a continuous function to \mathbb{R} (no 2π jump) and it jumps by $2\pi m_I$ with $m_I \in \mathbb{Z}$ on overlaps of patches.

$$\frac{1}{2\pi} \oint \theta(\tau) \dot{q}(\tau) d\tau \equiv \left(\sum_I \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathcal{U}_I} \theta dq + m_I q(\tau_I) \right) \text{ mod } 2\pi$$

Quantum mechanics of a particle on a ring

Differential Cohomology for pedestrians

$$\frac{1}{2\pi} \oint \theta(\tau) \dot{q}(\tau) d\tau \equiv \left(\sum_I \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{u_I} \theta dq + m_I q(\tau_I) \right) \text{ mod } 2\pi$$

- Independent of the trivialization
- Invariant under $q \rightarrow q + 2\pi$ and under $\theta \rightarrow \theta + 2\pi$
- If $\theta(\tau)$ has nonzero winding $M = \sum_I m_I$, this term is not invariant under $U(1): q \rightarrow q + \alpha$.

This is our anomaly.

3 kinds of “interfaces”

Smooth, universal

$$\theta = 0$$

$$\theta = 2\pi m$$

Discontinuous, not universal
(can add an operator)

$$\theta = 0$$

$$\theta = 2\pi m$$

Discontinuous with e^{imq} .
It is transparent

$$\theta = 0$$

$$\theta = 2\pi m$$

A steep but smooth “interface”



This is a rapid change in the Hamiltonian at some Euclidean time. In the “sudden approximation” it relabels the states

$$|n\rangle \rightarrow |n + m\rangle,$$

which can be achieved by multiplying by e^{imq} . This means that the interface has $U(1)$ charge m .

When θ winds m times around the Euclidean circle, the $U(1)$ symmetry is violated by m units.

This anomaly is related to a “symmetry”

Normally an anomaly is associated with a global symmetry:
0-form, 1-form, etc.

We can think of this anomaly as associated with a “-1-form symmetry.”

Just as -1-branes (instantons) are not branes, a -1-form global symmetry is not a symmetry.

If we view it as a global symmetry,

- θ is its gauge field (its transition functions involve jumps by $2\pi\mathbb{Z}$)
- $d\theta$ is the field strength (well defined even across overlaps)
- Then, this anomaly follows the standard anomaly picture.

2d $U(1)$ gauge theory

Consider a 2d $U(1)$ gauge theory with N charge-one scalars ϕ^i and impose that the potential $V(|\phi|^2)$ is $SU(N)$ invariant.

Include $\frac{i}{2\pi} \theta da$.

For spacetime-dependent θ this leads to a background current $\frac{1}{2\pi} d\theta$.

Specifically, when θ depends on space, there is background charge density.

And when θ winds around space

$$m = \frac{1}{2\pi} \oint d\theta$$

there is total background charge m .

2d $U(1)$ gauge theory

We are not going to assume charge conjugation symmetry.

- The system has a $PSU(N)$ global symmetry and we couple it to a background $PSU(N)$ gauge field B with the counterterm

$$2\pi i \frac{k}{N} w_2(B)$$

$w_2(B)$ is the second Stiefel-Whitney class of the $PSU(N)$ bundle. k is an integer modulo N .

- Now $(\theta, k) \sim (\theta + 2\pi, k - 1)$ [Gaiotto, Kapustin, Komargodski, NS].

2d $U(1)$ gauge theory

- Now $(\theta, k) \sim (\theta + 2\pi, k - 1)$ [Gaiotto, Kapustin, Komargodski, NS].
- This can be viewed as a mixed anomaly between the periodicity of θ and the global $PSU(N)$ symmetry.
- It is described by the 3d anomaly term (see also [Thorngren])

$$\frac{i}{N} \int d\theta w_2(B)$$

2d $U(1)$ gauge theory

$$\frac{i}{N} \int d\theta w_2(B)$$

Use the anomaly as in the QM example.

- Using the anomaly in a one-parameter family of theories: since the system is gapped, it must have a phase transition at some θ .
 - Note that we do not assume charge conjugation symmetry.
- A smooth interface, where $\theta \rightarrow \theta + 2\pi m$
 - carries background electric charge m
 - has an anomalous QM system on it – a projective $PSU(N)$ representation – an $SU(N)$ representation with N -ality m .
 - Can interpret as m charged particles due to Coleman's mechanism.

2d $U(1)$ gauge theory

$$\frac{i}{2\pi} \int \theta da$$

When θ is spacetime dependent and $\theta \sim \theta + 2\pi$ this integral needs to be defined more carefully.

As above, we divide spacetime to patches, integrate $\frac{i}{2\pi} \int \theta da$ in each patch. But add a “correction term” of the form $in \int a$ when θ is shifted by $2\pi n$ between two patches.

This guarantees that the answer is independent of the trivialization and hence makes it well defined when θ winds.

(We needed such a definition for the bulk term in the particle on the ring example.)

4d $SU(N)$ gauge theory

This system has a one-form \mathbb{Z}_N global symmetry.

Couple it to a classical two-form \mathbb{Z}_N gauge field B . It twists the $SU(N)$ bundle to a $PSU(N)$ bundle with [Kapustin, NS]

$$w_2(a) = B ,$$

where $w_2(a)$ is the second Stiefel-Whitney class of the $PSU(N)$ bundle – 't Hooft twisted configurations.

Mixed anomaly between the \mathbb{Z}_N one-form symmetry and $\theta \sim \theta + 2\pi$ characterized by the 5d term

$$i \frac{N-1}{2N} \int d\theta \mathcal{P}(B)$$

with $\mathcal{P}(B)$ the Pontryagin square of B .

4d $SU(N)$ gauge theory

$$i \frac{N-1}{2N} \int d\theta \mathcal{P}(B)$$

This leads to earlier derived results:

- In $\mathcal{N} = 1$ SUSY, a mixed anomaly between the \mathbb{Z}_{2N} R-symmetry and the \mathbb{Z}_N one-form symmetry. Hence, a TQFT on domain walls [Gaiotto, Kapustin, NS, Willett] in agreement with the string construction of [Acharya, Vafa].
- ...

4d $SU(N)$ gauge theory

$$i \frac{N-1}{2N} \int d\theta \mathcal{P}(B)$$

- Without SUSY, a mixed anomaly between \mathcal{T} (equivalently, \mathcal{CP}) and the \mathbb{Z}_N one-form symmetry at $\theta = \pi$ (for even N) implies a transition at $\theta = \pi$ (or elsewhere) [Gaiotto, Kapustin, Komargodski, NS]
 - Can use the new anomaly in similar systems without \mathcal{T} , (e.g. add a massive scalar with coupling $i\phi \text{Tr}(F \wedge F)$). The gapped system must have a transition for some θ .
- Nontrivial TQFT on interfaces where θ changes by $2\pi m$ [Gaiotto, Komargodski, NS; Hsin, Lam, NS]

3 kinds of interfaces

Smooth, universal

$$\theta = 0$$

$$\theta = 2\pi m$$

Discontinuous, not universal
(can add d.o.f on the interface)

$$\theta = 0$$

$$\theta = 2\pi m$$

Discontinuous, with special QFT
(e.g. a CS term). It is transparent

$$\theta = 0$$

$$\theta = 2\pi m$$

A free massive Weyl fermion in 4d

- The parameters: a complex mass m .
- Upon compactification of the m plane, a nontrivial 2-cycle in the parameter space.
- The phase of m can be removed by a chiral rotation $\psi \rightarrow e^{i\alpha}\psi$. But because of a $U(1)$ -gravitational anomaly, the Lagrangian is shifted by $\frac{i\alpha}{192\pi^2} \text{Tr}(R \wedge R)$.
- As above, we should add the counterterm $\frac{i\theta_G}{384\pi^2} \text{Tr}(R \wedge R)$, and then we should identify $(m, \theta_G) \sim (e^{i\alpha}m, \theta_G + \alpha)$

A free massive Weyl fermion in 4d

$$(m, \theta_G) \sim (e^{i\alpha} m, \theta_G + \alpha)$$

- For nonzero m we can remove the anomaly, i.e. absorb the phase of m , in a redefinition: $\theta_G \rightarrow \theta_G - \arg(m)$. But we cannot do it for all complex m .
- This can be described as an anomaly using the 5d term

$$i \int \delta^{(2)}(m) d^2 m CS_g$$

with CS_g is the gravitational Chern-Simons term.

Equivalently, this can be written as the 6d term

$$\frac{i}{192\pi} \int \delta^{(2)}(m) d^2 m Tr(R \wedge R)$$

- Related discussion in [\[NS, Tachikawa, Yonekura\]](#).

A free massive Weyl fermion in 4d

$$\frac{1}{192\pi} \delta^{(2)}(m) d^2 m \text{Tr}(R \wedge R)$$

Applications:

- A 2-parameter family of theories. At some point in the complex m plane the theory is not trivially gapped.
 - For the free fermion this is trivial. But the same conclusion in more complicated models, with additional fields and interactions. Note, we do not need any global symmetry.
- Defects. $m \sim (x + iy)$ is a string along the z axis. As in [\[Jackiw, Rossi\]](#), the anomaly means that there are Majorana-Weyl fermions ($c_L - c_R = \frac{1}{2}$) on the defect.
 - The same conclusion in a more complicated theory with more fields and interactions.

Conclusions

- To study ordinary anomalies we couple every global symmetry to a classical background field and place the theory in an arbitrary spacetime. Denote all these background fields by A . An anomaly is the statement that the partition function might not be gauge invariant or coordinate invariant.
- This is described by a higher dimensional classical (invertible) field theory for A .
- Similarly, we make all the coupling constants λ background fields and then the partition function might not be invariant under identifications in the space of coupling constants.
- This is described by a generalized anomaly theory – a higher dimensional classical (invertible) field theory for A and λ .

Conclusions

- This anomaly theory is invariant under renormalization group flow. (More precisely, it can be deformed continuously). Therefore, it can be used, à la 't Hooft, to constrain the long-distance dynamics and to test dualities. For example, it can force the low-energy theory to have some phase transitions.
- Defects are constructed by making A and λ spacetime dependent. Using these values in the anomaly theory, we find the anomaly of the theory along the defect.

Conclusions

- We presented examples of these anomalies (and their consequences) in various number of dimensions. The parameter space in the examples has one-cycles or two-cycles.
- We have studied many other cases with related phenomena.
 - 4d $SU(N)$, $Spin(N)$, $Sp(N)$ with quarks
- There are many other cases, we have not yet studied.