

Rigid SUSY in Curved Superspace

Nathan Seiberg
IAS

Festuccia and NS 1105.0689

Thank: Jafferis, Komargodski, Rocek, Shih

Theme of recent developments: Rigid supersymmetric field theories in nontrivial spacetimes

- Relations between theories in different dimensions
- New computable observables in known theories
- New insights about the dynamics

Landscape of special cases

- $\mathcal{N} = 1$ on AdS_4 [Zumino (77)...]
- $\mathcal{N} = 1, 2 \dots$ on $\mathbf{S}^3 \times \mathbf{R}$ [D. Sen (87)...]
- $\mathcal{N} = 2$ on \mathbf{S}^4 [Pestun...]
- $\mathcal{N} = 1$ on $\mathbf{S}^3 \times \mathbf{S}^1$ [Romelsberger...]
- $\mathcal{N} = 2$ on \mathbf{S}^3 [Kapustin, Willett, Yaakov...]
- $\mathcal{N} = 2$ on $\mathbf{S}^2 \times \mathbf{S}^1$ [Kim; Imamura, Yokoyama...]

Questions/Outline

- How do we place a supersymmetric theory on a nontrivial spacetime?
 - When is it possible?
 - What is the Lagrangian?
 - How come we have supersymmetry on a sphere (or equivalently in dS)?
- How do we compute?
- What does it teach us?

SUSY in curved spacetime

- Naïve condition: need a covariantly constant spinor

$$\nabla_{\mu}\zeta = 0$$

- A more sophisticated condition: need a Killing spinor

$$\nabla_{\mu}\zeta = c\gamma_{\mu}\zeta$$

with constant c .

- A more general possibility (also referred to as Killing spinor)

$$\nabla_{\mu}\zeta = \gamma_{\mu}\tilde{\zeta}$$

- Can include a background R gauge field in ∇_{μ} in any of these (twisting) [Witten...].

SUSY in curved spacetime

Motivated by supergravity: a more general condition

$$\nabla_{\mu}\zeta = M_{\mu}(x)\zeta$$

with an appropriate $M_{\mu}(x)$ (with spinor indices).

In the context of string or supergravity configurations $M_{\mu}(x)$ is determined by the background values of the various dynamical fields (forms, matter fields...).

All the dynamical fields have to satisfy their equations of motion.

Rigid SUSY in curved spacetime

$$\nabla_{\mu}\zeta = M_{\mu}(x)\zeta$$

We are interested in a rigid theory (no dynamical gravity) in curved spacetime:

- What is $M_{\mu}(x)$?
- Which constraints should it satisfy?
- Determine the curved spacetime supersymmetric Lagrangian.

Rigid SUSY in curved spacetime

We start with a flat space supersymmetric theory and want to determine the curved space theory.

- The Lagrangian can be deformed.
- The SUSY variation of the fields can be deformed.
- The SUSY algebra can be deformed.

Standard approach: Expand in large radius r and determine the correction terms iteratively in a power series in $1/r$.

- It is surprising when it works.
- In all examples the iterative procedure ends at order $1/r^2$.
- The procedure is tedious.

Landscape of special cases

- $\mathcal{N} = 1$ on \mathbf{AdS}_4 [Zumino (77)...]
- $\mathcal{N} = 1, 2 \dots$ on $\mathbf{S}^3 \times \mathbf{R}$ [D. Sen (87)...]
- $\mathcal{N} = 2$ on \mathbf{S}^4 [Pestun...]
- $\mathcal{N} = 1$ on $\mathbf{S}^3 \times \mathbf{S}^1$ [Romelsberger...]
- $\mathcal{N} = 2$ on \mathbf{S}^3 [Kapustin, Willett, Yaakov...]
- $\mathcal{N} = 2$ on $\mathbf{S}^2 \times \mathbf{S}^1$ [Kim; Imamura, Yokoyama...]

All these backgrounds are conformally flat.

So it is straightforward to put an SCFT on them.

Example: the partition function on $\mathbf{S}^3 \times \mathbf{S}^1$ is the superconformal index [Kinney, Maldacena, Minwalla, Raju].

But for non-conformal theories it is tedious and not conceptual.

What is the most general setup?

Main point

- Nontrivial background metric should be viewed as part of a background superfield.
- Study SUGRA in superspace and view the fields in the gravity multiplet as arbitrary, classical, background fields.
- Do not impose any equation of motion; i.e. $M_P \rightarrow \infty$
- Metric and auxiliary fields are on equal footing.
- Most of the terms in the supergravity Lagrangian including the graviton kinetic term are irrelevant.
- Then, supersymmetry is preserved provided the metric and the auxiliary fields satisfy certain conditions (below).

Linearized supergravity

Simplest $M_P \rightarrow \infty$ limit is linearized supergravity

$$\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_{\text{flat space}} + h^{\mu\nu} T_{\mu\nu} + \psi^{\mu\alpha} S_{\mu\alpha} + \bar{\psi}^{\mu\dot{\alpha}} \bar{S}_{\mu\dot{\alpha}} \\ + b^\mu j_\mu + \bar{M} X + M \bar{X} + \dots$$

$T_{\mu\nu}$, $S_{\mu\alpha}$, $\bar{S}_{\mu\dot{\alpha}}$, j_μ , X , \bar{X} are operators in the SUSY multiplet of the energy momentum tensor. They are constructed out of the matter fields.

$h_{\mu\nu}$, $\psi_{\mu\alpha}$, $\bar{\psi}_{\mu\dot{\alpha}}$ are the deviation of the metric and the gravitino.

b^μ , M are a vector and a complex scalar auxiliary fields. 11

The Rigid Limit

- We are interested in spaces with arbitrary metric, so we need a more subtle $M_P \rightarrow \infty$ limit – the rigid limit.
- Take $M_P \rightarrow \infty$ with fixed metric and appropriate scaling (weight one) of the various auxiliary fields in the gravity multiplet:

$$\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_0 + \mathcal{L}_1 + \mathcal{L}_2$$

\mathcal{L}_0 is obtained from the flat space theory by inserting the curved space metric – the naïve term.

\mathcal{L}_1 is linear in the auxiliary fields – as in linearized SUGRA.

\mathcal{L}_2 arises from the curvature and terms quadratic in the auxiliary fields – “seagull terms” for SUSY.

The Rigid Limit Lagrangian

For example, the bosonic terms in a Wess-Zumino model with K and W are

$$\frac{1}{e}\mathcal{L}_0 = K_{i\bar{j}} \left(F^i \bar{F}^{\bar{j}} - \partial_\mu \bar{\phi}^{\bar{j}} \partial^\mu \phi^i \right) + F^i W_i + \bar{F}^{\bar{j}} \bar{W}_{\bar{j}}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{e}\mathcal{L}_1 &= -M \left(\frac{1}{3} K_i F^i + \bar{W} \right) - \bar{M} \left(\frac{1}{3} K_{\bar{i}} \bar{F}^{\bar{i}} + W \right) \\ &\quad - \frac{i}{3} b^\mu \left(K_i \partial_\mu \phi^i - K_{\bar{i}} \partial_\mu \bar{\phi}^{\bar{i}} \right) \end{aligned}$$

$$\frac{1}{e}\mathcal{L}_2 = \left(\frac{1}{6} \mathcal{R} + \frac{1}{9} M \bar{M} - \frac{1}{9} b_\mu b^\mu \right) K$$

Supersymmetric backgrounds

For supersymmetry, ensure that the variation of the gravitino vanishes

$$2\nabla_{\mu}\zeta^{\alpha} = \frac{i}{3} \left(M(\epsilon\sigma_{\mu}\bar{\zeta})^{\alpha} + 2b_{\mu}\zeta^{\alpha} + 2b^{\nu}(\zeta\sigma_{\nu\mu})^{\alpha} \right)$$
$$2\nabla_{\mu}\bar{\zeta}_{\dot{\alpha}} = -\frac{i}{3} \left(\bar{M}(\zeta\sigma_{\mu})_{\dot{\alpha}} + 2b_{\mu}\bar{\zeta}_{\dot{\alpha}} + 2b^{\nu}(\bar{\zeta}\bar{\sigma}_{\nu\mu})_{\dot{\alpha}} \right)$$

- These conditions depend only on the metric and the auxiliary fields in the gravity multiplet.
- They are independent of the dynamical matter fields.
- In Euclidean space bar does not mean c.c.

Curved superspace

- For supersymmetry $\nabla_\mu \zeta = M_\mu(x) \zeta$
- Integrability condition: differential equations for the metric and the various auxiliary fields through $M_\mu(x)$.
- The supergravity Lagrangian with nonzero background fields gives us a rigid field theory in curved superspace.
- Comments:
 - Enormous simplification
 - This makes it clear that the iterative procedure in powers of $1/r$ terminates at order $1/r^2$.
 - Different off-shell formulations of supergravity (which are equivalent on-shell) can lead to different backgrounds.

An alternative formalism

- If the rigid theory has a **continuous global R-symmetry**, there is an alternative supergravity formalism known as “new minimal supergravity” (the previous discussion used “old minimal supergravity”).
- Here the auxiliary fields are a $U(1)_R$ gauge field A_μ and a two form $B_{\mu\nu}$.
- On-shell this supergravity is identical to the standard one. But since we do not impose the equations of motion, we should treat it separately.
- The familiar topological twisting of supersymmetric field theories amounts to a background A_μ in this formalism.
- The expressions for the rigid limit and the conditions for unbroken supersymmetry are similar to the expressions above.

Examples: AdS_4 and S^4

- AdS_4 : turn on a constant value of a scalar auxiliary field

$$M = \frac{3}{r}$$

- S^4 : set the auxiliary fields

$$M = \overline{M} = \frac{3}{ir}$$

- Note: not the standard reality!
- Equivalently, $r \rightarrow ir$ in Euclidean AdS_4 .
- When non-conformal, not reflection positive (non-unitary). Hence, consistent with “no SUSY in $d\text{S}$.”
- In terms of the characteristic mass scale m and the radius r the problematic terms are of order m/r .

Examples: AdS_4 and \mathbf{S}^4

- In these two examples the superalgebra is $OSp(1|4)$
 - Good real form for Lorentzian AdS_4
 - As always in Euclidean space, $Q^* \neq \bar{Q}$.
 - For \mathbf{S}^4 need a compact real form of the isometry

$$SO(5) \sim Sp(4) \subset OSp(1|4)$$

- Then, the anti-commutator of two supercharges is not a real rotation.
 - Hence, hard to compute using localization.
- The superpotential is not protected (can be absorbed in the Kahler potential) and holomorphy is not useful.
- For $N=2$ the superalgebra is $OSp(2|4)$
 - computable

Example: $N=1$ on $\mathbf{S}^3 \times \mathbf{S}^1$

- Turn on a vector auxiliary field along \mathbf{S}^1

$$b_\mu = \frac{i}{r} \delta_{\mu 4}$$

- For Q to be well defined around the \mathbf{S}^1 , need a global continuous R-symmetry and a background $U(1)_R$ gauge field.
- Supersymmetry algebra: $SU(2|1) \times SU(2) \times U(1)$, where the $U(1)$ factor is the combination of “time” translation and R-symmetry that commutes with Q .
- Alternatively, can use “new-minimal” supergravity and turn on a $U(1)_R$ gauge field and a constant $H=dB$ on \mathbf{S}^3 , where B is a two-form auxiliary field.
- No quantization conditions on the periods of the auxiliary fields.

Deforming the theory

On $\mathbf{S}^3 \times \mathbf{R}$ (or $\mathbf{S}^3 \times \mathbf{S}^1$) we can add background gauge fields for the non-R flavor symmetries, $U(1)_f$; turn on constant complex A^f along \mathbf{R} :

- $\text{Re } A^f$ leads to a real mass in the $3d$ theory on \mathbf{S}^3 .
- $\text{Im } A^f$ shifts the choice of R-symmetry by $U(1)_f$.
- The partition function is manifestly holomorphic in A^f .

We can also squash the \mathbf{S}^3 . We will not pursue it here.

The partition function on

$$\mathbf{S}^3 \times \mathbf{S}^1$$

- It is a trace over a Hilbert space with (complex) chemical potentials A^f .
- Only short representations of $SU(2|1)$ contribute to the trace [Romelsberger].
 - Note, this is an index, but in general it is not “the superconformal index.”
- It is independent of small changes in the parameters of the $4d$ Lagrangian – it has the same value in the UV and IR theories.
- It is holomorphic in A^f .

$$\mathcal{N} = 1 \quad \text{on} \quad \mathbf{S}^3 \times \mathbf{S}^1$$

- If the theory is conformal, the partition function is the superconformal index.
- For non-conformal theories the partition function does not depend on the scale [Romelsberger].
- Can use a free field computation in the UV to learn about the IR answer. (Equivalently, use localization.)
- This probes the operators in short representations and their quantum numbers (more than just the chiral ones).
- Highly nontrivial information about the IR theory; e.g. can test dual descriptions of it [Romelsberger, Dolan, Osborn, Spiridonov, Vartanov...].

Answers

A typical expression [Dolan, Osborn]

$$I_E(p, q, Y, \tilde{Y})_{SU(N_c)} \\ = (p; p)^{N_c-1} (q; q)^{N_c-1} \frac{1}{N_c!} \int \prod_{j=1}^{N_c-1} \frac{dz_j}{2\pi i z_j} \frac{\prod_{1 \leq i \leq N_f} \prod_{1 \leq j \leq N_c} \Gamma(y_i z_j, 1/(\tilde{y}_i z_j); p, q)}{\prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq N_c} \Gamma(z_i/z_j, z_j/z_i; p, q)} \Big|_{\prod_{j=1}^{N_c} z_j=1}$$

Γ Elliptic gamma function

General lessons:

- Very explicit
- Nontrivial
- Special functions – relation to the elliptic hypergeometric series of [Frenkel, Turaev]
- To prove duality, need miraculous identities [Rains, Spiridonov...]

Example: $N=2$ with $U(1)_R$ on \mathbf{S}^3

- Can consider as a limit of the previous case

$$\mathbf{S}^3 \times \mathbf{S}^1 \rightarrow \mathbf{S}^3$$

- Can also view as a $3d$ theory, where we can add new terms, e.g. Chern-Simons terms.
- Nonzero $H=dB$ ensures supersymmetry.
- Supersymmetry algebra: $SU(2|1) \times SU(2)$
- As in the theory on \mathbf{S}^4 , if the theory is not conformal, it is not unitary. (No SUSY in dS space.)
 - In terms of the characteristic mass scale m and the radius r the problematic terms are of order m/r .

Example: $\mathcal{N} = 2 U(1)$ on S^3 [Kapustin, Willett, Yaakov...]

$$\frac{1}{e} \mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{g_{YM}^2} \left(\frac{1}{2} F_{\mu\nu}^2 + (\partial_\mu \sigma)^2 - D^2 + i \lambda^\dagger \nabla_\mu \lambda \right. \\ \left. + \frac{2i}{r} D\sigma - \frac{1}{2r} \lambda^\dagger \lambda + \frac{1}{r^2} \sigma^2 \right)$$

- The $\mathcal{O}(1/r)$ terms are not reflection positive (non-unitary).
- Since the answer is independent of g_{YM} , we can take it to zero and find that the theory localizes on

$$D = \frac{i}{r} \sigma = \text{const.} \quad F_{\mu\nu} = 0$$

- The one loop determinant is computable.

Generalizations

- Non-Abelian theories
- Add matter fields
- Add Chern-Simons terms
- Add Wilson lines

In all these cases the functional integral becomes a matrix model for σ .

The partition function and some correlation functions of Wilson loops are computable.

Duality in 3d $N=2, \dots$

In 3d there are very few diagnostics of duality/mirror symmetry. The partition functions on \mathbf{S}^3 , $\mathbf{S}^2 \times \mathbf{S}^1$ provide new nontrivial tests.

Examples (similar to duality in 4d):

- 3d mirror symmetry [Intriligator, NS...] was tested [Kapustin, Willett, Yaakov]
- The $U(N_c)_k / U(|k| + N_f - N_c)_{-k}$ duality of [Aharony; Giveon and Kutasov] was tested [Kapustin, Willett, Yaakov; Bashkirov ...].
- Generalizations [Kapustin]:

$$USp(2N_c)_k / USp(2(|k| + N_f - N_c - 1))_{-k}$$

$$O(N_c)_k / O(|k| + N_f - N_c + 2)_{-k}$$

Z-minimization

- Consider an $N=2$ $3d$ theory with an R-symmetry and some non-R-symmetries $U(1)_f$ with charges F_f .
- If there are no accidental symmetries in the IR theory, the R-symmetry in the superconformal algebra at the IR fixed point is a linear combination of the charges

$$R_{IR} = R + \sum a^f F_f$$

- In $4d$ the coefficients a^f are determined by a -maximization [Intriligator, Wecht].
- What happens in $3d$?

Z-minimization

$$R_{IR} = R + \sum a^f F_f$$

- The partition function $Z(\mathbf{S}^3)$ can be studied as a function of a^f [Jafferis; Hama, Hosomichi, Lee].
(Recall, $a^f = \text{Im } A^f$ can be introduced as a complex background $U(1)_f$ gauge field.)
- Jafferis conjectured that $|Z(\mathbf{S}^3)|$ is minimized at the IR values of a^f .
- Many tests
- Extension of $4d$ a -maximization.
- Is there a version of a c -theorem in $3d$?

Conclusions

- The rigid limit of supergravity leads to field theories in curved superspace.
- When certain conditions are satisfied the background is supersymmetric. Then, a simple, unified and systematic procedure leads to
 - The supersymmetric Lagrangian
 - The superalgebra
 - The variations of the fields
- A rich landscape of rigid supersymmetric field theories in curved spacetime was uncovered.
- Many observables were computed leading to new insights about the dynamics.