



## Group Theory

Spectral gaps in  $SU(d)$ *Trou spectral dans  $SU(d)$* Jean Bourgain<sup>a</sup>, Alexander Gamburd<sup>b</sup><sup>a</sup> IAS, 1 Einstein Drive, Princeton, NJ 08540, USA<sup>b</sup> UCSC, 1156 High Street, Santa Cruz, CA 95064, USA

## ARTICLE INFO

## Article history:

Received 9 April 2010

Accepted 15 April 2010

Available online 4 May 2010

Presented by Jean Bourgain

## ABSTRACT

It is shown that if  $g_1, \dots, g_k$  are algebraic elements in  $SU(d)$  generating a dense subgroup, then the corresponding Hecke operator has a spectral gap.

© 2010 Académie des sciences. Published by Elsevier Masson SAS. All rights reserved.

## R É S U M É

On démontre que si  $g_1, \dots, g_k$  sont des éléments algébriques de  $SU(d)$  et le groupe engendré par  $g_1, \dots, g_k$  est dense, alors l'opérateur de Hecke défini par ces éléments a un trou spectral.

© 2010 Académie des sciences. Published by Elsevier Masson SAS. All rights reserved.

## Version française abrégée

Soit  $g_1, \dots, g_k \in SU(d) \cap \text{Mat}_{d \times d}(\bar{\mathbb{Q}})$  et  $\Gamma = \langle g_1, \dots, g_k \rangle$  le groupe engendré par  $g_1, \dots, g_k$ . Supposons  $\Gamma$  dense dans  $SU(d)$ .

**Théorème.** *L'opérateur de Hecke*

$$Tf(x) = \frac{1}{2k} \sum_{1 \leq j \leq k} (f(g_j x) + f(g_j^{-1} x))$$

a un trou spectral.

Ceci généralise le résultat antérieur [4] pour  $SU(2)$ . L'approche suivie ici diffère cependant et elle est essentiellement analogue à celle de [5] pour les groupes  $SL_d(p^n)$  avec  $p$  fixé et  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Des techniques d'arithmétique combinatoire, de la théorie des représentations et produits aléatoires de matrices y sont utilisées.

1. We assume  $g_1, \dots, g_k \in SU(d) \cap \text{Mat}_{d \times d}(\bar{\mathbb{Q}})$  and denote  $\Gamma = \langle g_1, \dots, g_k \rangle$  the generated group. Assume further that  $\Gamma$  is Zariski dense in  $SL_d$  or, equivalently, that  $\Gamma$  is topologically dense in  $SU(d)$ .

Denote

$$(Tf)(x) = \frac{1}{2k} \sum_{j=1}^k (f(g_j x) + f(g_j^{-1} x))$$

the corresponding Hecke operator acting on  $L^2(G)$ ,  $G = SU(d)$ .

E-mail addresses: bourgain@math.ias.edu (J. Bourgain), agamburd@ucsc.edu (A. Gamburd).

**Theorem 1.** *T has a spectral gap.*

The result for  $d = 2$  was obtained in [4]. As in [4], we rely on methods from arithmetic combinatorics. But the approach followed here is significantly different from that of [4] and resembles that of [5] on expansion in groups  $SL_d(p^n)$  with  $p$  fixed and  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Similarly to [5], the assumption of Zariski density is exploited through the theory of random matrix products (cf. [1]).

2. By a result of [6], we may take  $k = 2$  and assume  $\{g_1, g_2\}$  free generators of the free group  $F_2$ . Define

$$\nu = \frac{1}{4}(\delta_{g_1} + \delta_{g_2} + \delta_{g_1^{-1}} + \delta_{g_2^{-1}})$$

the symmetric probability measure on  $G$  and denote  $\nu^{(\ell)}$  its  $\ell$ -fold convolution power. Set for  $\delta > 0$

$$P_\delta = \frac{1_{B(1, \delta)}}{|B(1, \delta)|}$$

providing an approximate identity on  $G$ .

**Proposition 1.** *There is  $\kappa > 0$  such that if  $G_1$  is a non-trivial closed subgroup of  $G$ , then*

$$\nu^{(\ell)}(G_1) < e^{-\kappa \ell} \quad \text{for } \ell \rightarrow \infty. \quad (1)$$

The proof of this ‘escape property’ relies on our assumption that  $\Gamma$  is Zariski dense and results on random matrix products, that are applied in suitable exterior powers of the adjoint representation of  $G$ . As in [4], we establish the following ‘flattening property’:

**Proposition 2.** *Given  $\tau > 0$ , there is a positive integer  $\ell < C(\tau) \log \frac{1}{\delta}$  such that*

$$\|\nu^{(\ell)} * P_\delta\|_\infty < \delta^{-\tau}. \quad (2)$$

It is derived by straightforward iteration of

**Lemma 1.** *Given  $\gamma > 0$ , there is  $\kappa > 0$  such that for  $\delta > 0$  small enough,  $\ell \sim \log \frac{1}{\delta}$ , if*

$$\|\nu^{(\ell)} * P_\delta\|_2 > \delta^{-\gamma}. \quad (3)$$

Then

$$\|\nu^{(2\ell)} * P_\delta\|_2 < \delta^\kappa \|\nu^{(\ell)} * P_\delta\|_\delta. \quad (4)$$

With Proposition 2 at hand, the proof of a spectral gap may then be completed by considerations from representation theory (the Sarnak–Xue argument, also used in [4], or variants).

3. Returning to Lemma 1, the first step is to apply T. Tao’s version of the Balog–Szemerédi–Gowers lemma (cf. [7]) for compact groups. Denoting  $\mu = \nu^{(\ell)} * P_\delta$  and assuming (4) fails, one obtains a subset  $H \subset G$ ,  $H$  a union of  $\delta$ -balls, and a finite subset  $X$  of  $G$  such that

- (5)  $H = H^{-1}$ ,
- (6)  $H \cdot H \subset H \cdot X \cap X \cdot H$ ,
- (7)  $|X| < \delta^{-\varepsilon}$ ,
- (8)  $\mu(aH) > \delta^\varepsilon$  for some  $a \in G$ ,
- (9)  $|H| < \delta^\gamma$

(here  $\varepsilon > 0$  is an arbitrary small, fixed number and  $||$  is used in (7) to denote ‘cardinality’ and in (9) for ‘Haar-measure’).

Recall that (5)–(6) mean that  $H$  is an ‘approximate group’ (cf. [7]). The goal is to show that properties (5)–(9) are not compatible and get a contradiction.

4. Next we specify some technical ingredients.

Crucial use is made of the ‘discretized ring theorem’ (see [2,3]). The version needed here is the following

**Proposition 3.** Given  $\sigma > 0$ , there is  $\gamma > 0$  such that if  $\delta > 0$  is small enough and  $A \subset \mathbb{C}^d \cap B(0, 1)$  satisfies

$$N(A, \delta) > \delta^{-\sigma} \quad (10)$$

then there is  $\xi \in \mathbb{C}^d$ ,  $|\xi| = 1$  such that

$$[0, \delta^\gamma] \xi \subset A' + B(0, \delta^{\gamma+1}). \quad (11)$$

Here  $A'$  denotes a ‘sum-product’ set  $s_1 A^{(s_2)} - s_1 A^{(s_2)}$  of  $A$ , with  $s_1, s_2$  bounded in terms of  $\sigma$ .

In (10),  $N(A, \delta)$  refers to the metrical entropy, i.e. the minimum number of  $\delta$ -balls needed to cover  $A$ . We used the notations  $sA = \underbrace{A + \dots + A}_{s\text{-fold}}$  and  $A^{(s)} = \underbrace{A \cdots A}_{s\text{-fold}}$  for the  $s$ -fold sum (resp. product) sets.

Proposition 3 is derived from the following result that generalizes [3]:

**Theorem 2.** Let  $A \subset [0, 1]^d$  satisfy

$$N(A, \delta) = \delta^{-\sigma} \quad (0 < \sigma < d) \quad (12)$$

and also a non-concentration property

$$N(A \cap I, \delta) < c\delta_1^\kappa N(A, \delta) \quad \text{if } \delta < \delta_1 < 1 \text{ and } I \text{ any } \delta_1\text{-ball.} \quad (13)$$

Let  $\mu$  be a probability measure on  $\mathcal{L}(\mathbb{R}^d, \mathbb{R}^d)$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} \|b\| &\leq 1 \quad \text{for } b \in \text{supp } \mu, \\ \max_{|v|=1=|w|} \mu[|\langle bv, w \rangle| < \delta_1] &< \delta_1^\kappa \quad \text{if } \delta < \delta_1 < 1. \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

Then, for some  $b \in \text{supp } \mu$

$$N(A + A, \delta) + N(A + bA, \delta) > \delta^{-\sigma-\tau} \quad (15)$$

with  $\tau = \tau(\sigma, \kappa) > 0$ .

In order to apply Proposition 3, we construct ‘almost’ diagonal sets of matrices, using the following:

**Lemma 2.** Assume  $\{g_1, g_2\}$  in  $U(d) \cap \text{Mat}_{d \times d}(\bar{\mathbb{Q}})$  generate a free group and let  $H \subset W_\ell(g_1, g_2)$  (= the set of ‘words’ or length  $\leq \ell$ ) satisfy

$$\log |H| > c\ell. \quad (16)$$

Then there is a subset  $A$  of a product set  $H^{(s)}$ ,  $s < C$  and  $\delta > 0$  such that

$$(17) \quad \log \frac{1}{\delta} \sim \ell.$$

$$(18) \quad \text{The elements of } A \text{ are } \delta\text{-separated.}$$

$$(19) \quad \text{In an appropriate orthonormal basis, we have}$$

$$\text{dist}(x, \Delta) < \delta \quad \text{for } x \in A$$

where  $\Delta$  denotes the set of diagonal matrices.

## Acknowledgements

The first author was supported in part by NSF, grant 0808042. The second author was supported in part by DARPA, NSF and Sloan Foundation.

## References

- [1] P. Bourgain, J. Lacroix, Products of Random Matrices with Applications to Schrödinger Operators, Birkhäuser, 1985.
- [2] J. Bourgain, On the Erdős–Volkmann and Katz–Tao ring conjectures, Geom. Funct. Anal. 13 (2) (2003) 334–365.
- [3] J. Bourgain, The discretized ring and projection theorems, J. Anal., in press.
- [4] J. Bourgain, A. Gamburd, On the spectral gap for finitely generated subgroups of  $SU(2)$ , Invent. Math. 171 (1) (2008) 83–121.
- [5] J. Bourgain, A. Gamburd, Expansion and random walks in  $SL_d(\mathbb{Z}/p^n\mathbb{Z})$ , II, J. Eur. Math. Soc. (JEMS) 11 (5) (2009) 1057–1103.
- [6] E. Breuillard, T. Gelander, On dense free subgroups of Lie groups, J. Algebra 261 (2) (2003) 448–467.
- [7] T. Tao, V. Vu, Additive Combinatorics, Cambridge Stud. Adv. Math., vol. 105, 2006.