Problem Set 2: Proving the QSVT July 25, 2023

Problem 1 (When will my reflection show who I am inside?). QSVT achieves polynomials by interspersing phase operators with signal rotation operators. However, these rotation operators may look different in the literature. Consider two potential operators, W(x), R(x), with the following matrix forms:

$$W(x) = \begin{bmatrix} a & i\sqrt{1-a^2} \\ i\sqrt{1-a^2} & a \end{bmatrix} \qquad R(x) = \begin{bmatrix} a & \sqrt{1-a^2} \\ \sqrt{1-a^2} & -a \end{bmatrix}$$
(1)

Where W is the rotation operator while R is the reflection operator. We can define two different kinds of QSP, $\mathbf{QSP}_W(\Phi, x)$ and $\mathbf{QSP}_R(\Phi, x)$ for these two different operators. For example,

$$\mathbf{QSP}_W(\Phi, x) \coloneqq \Big(\prod_{j=1}^n e^{\mathrm{i}\phi_j\sigma_z}W(x)\Big)e^{\mathrm{i}\phi_0\sigma_z}.$$

Suppose we have some series of phases $\Phi = (\phi_0, \ldots, \phi_n)$ such that $\mathbf{QSP}_W(\Phi, x)$ forms a desired polynomial p(x). Can we find a Φ' such that $\mathbf{QSP}_R(\Phi', x)$ performs the same polynomial? If so, find a formula for Φ' in terms of Φ ; if not, prove why.

Problem 2 (Perfectly balanced, as all things should be). The Chebyshev polynomials of the first and second kind are functions such that, for all $z \in \mathbb{C}$,

$$T_n(\frac{1}{2}(z+z^{-1})) = \frac{1}{2}(z^n+z^{-n})$$
$$U_n(\frac{1}{2}(z+z^{-1})) = (z^{n+1}-z^{-(n+1)})/(z-z^{-1})$$

Prove that T_n and U_n are polynomials. Then, prove that

$$T_n(x)^2 + (1 - x^2)U_n(x)^2 = 1.$$
(2)

Just a little more and we have a proof that these can be used in QSP/QSVT!

Problem 3 (They're the same picture!). Return to [BCCKS17, Lemma 3.6]. What are the angles of the phase operators? What are the polynomials that are being computed with these phase operators? (A recursive definition is fine.)

Problem 4 (Block-encodings for any matrix). Given a matrix $A \in \mathbb{C}^{d \times d}$ such that $||A|| \leq 1$, show there exists a unitary $U \in \mathbb{C}^{2d \times 2d}$ such that U is a block-encoding of A:

$$U = \begin{pmatrix} A & \cdot \\ \cdot & \cdot \end{pmatrix}.$$

Prove that 2d is tight, i.e., there is some matrix A such that any unitary with A as a submatrix must be size at least $2d \times 2d$. Note: this is true for non-square A as well, but the argument might get more annoying.

Problem 5 (It's just a phase). In our QSVT algorithm, we needed to apply gates of the form $e^{i\phi(2\Pi-I)}$, where $\Pi = (|0\rangle^{\otimes a} \langle 0|^{\otimes a}) \otimes I$. How do you implement these?