

Physics 539 - Problem Set 1 - Due September 21

(1) Recall that in class, in two-dimensional Minkowski space  $M$  with coordinates  $(t, x)$  and metric  $ds^2 = -dt^2 + dx^2$ , we considered the sequence of curves  $\gamma_n$ ,  $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ , defined by

$$x = \sin \pi n t$$

If we consider only the portion of these curves with  $0 \leq t \leq 1$ , we can regard them as non-causal curves from  $q = (0, 0)$  to  $p = (1, 0)$ . As such, they have no limit.

On the other hand, let us consider the same curves over the larger range  $0 \leq t < \infty$ . As such, we can consider them as inextendible curves in  $M$  that all start at the point  $q$ . We pick a complete Euclidean metric on  $M$  (such as  $ds_E^2 = dt^2 + dx^2$ ) and parametrize the  $\gamma_n$  by Euclidean arclength. In class we proved that a sequence of inextendible curves from a fixed initial point (whether causal or not), parametrized in this way by arclength in a complete Euclidean metric, always has a convergent subsequence.

In this exercise, you will resolve the tension between these different statements.

(a) Viewing the  $\gamma_n$  as inextendible curves with  $0 \leq t < \infty$ , find a convergent subsequence and describe what it converges to.

(b) Is your answer in (a) a curve from  $q$  to  $p$  (which might contradict the statement that the  $\gamma_n$ , viewed as curves from  $q$  to  $p$ , do not have a limit)?

(2) Recall that  $\mathcal{C}_q^p$  is the space of causal curves from a point  $q$  to a point  $p$  in its future. Suppose that  $M$  is globally hyperbolic with Cauchy hypersurface  $S$ . In class, we showed that  $\mathcal{C}_q^p$  is compact if  $q, p$  are both to the past or both to the future of  $S$ . Show that this is also true if  $q$  is to the past of  $S$  and  $p$  is to its future.

(3) Consider the metric

$$ds^2 = -dt^2 + \sum_{i,j=1}^d g_{ij}(t, \vec{x}) dx^i dx^j.$$

(The significance of this metric will be discussed in class.) View  $g_{ij}$  as a  $d \times d$  matrix ( $d = D - 1$ , where  $D$  is the spacetime dimension) and write  $g^{-1}$  for the inverse matrix, and  $\dot{g}$  for  $\partial g / \partial t$ . Verify that

$$R_{tt} = -\frac{1}{2} \partial_t \text{Tr} g^{-1} \dot{g} - \frac{1}{4} \text{Tr} (g^{-1} \dot{g})^2.$$

As we will discuss in class, this formula is the key step in deriving Raychaudhuri's equation.