

Last time:

Classified quadratic forms over \mathbb{F}_q .

Prop Any n -dim quadratic form over \mathbb{F}_q is \cong

$$\langle 1, 1, \dots, 1, d \rangle, \text{ some } d \in \mathbb{F}_q^\times.$$

There are two iso classes of n -dim quadratic forms over \mathbb{F}_q

based on $d \in \mathbb{F}_q^\times / \mathbb{F}_q^{\times 2} \cong \mathbb{Z}/2$

d is the called the determinant of the quadratic form

(b/c any 2-d quadratic form represents 1, i.e. has a vector v w/ $v \cdot v = 1$).

Remk! Works over any field of u -inv $\neq 2$, e.g. $\mathbb{C}(t)$

$$\delta \in F^x / F^{x2}$$

Today: study isomorphism classes & invariants of quadratic forms.

Ex) $\langle a \rangle \cong \langle au^2 \rangle$

$$a \in F^x \\ u \in F^x$$

$$\langle a_1, a_2 \rangle \cong \langle a_2, a_1 \rangle$$

$$\langle a_1, a_2 \rangle \cong \langle a_1 + a_2, \frac{a_1 a_2}{a_1 + a_2} \rangle$$

Theorem (Witt's chain equiv. theorem)

Suppose $\langle a_1, \dots, a_n \rangle \cong \langle b_1, \dots, b_n \rangle$.

Then we can get from the tuple (a_1, \dots, a_n) to (b_1, \dots, b_n) in a sequence of steps where at each stage only two entries are

changed via the moves of the above example.

Very useful to define iso invariants.

Pf: Let's say that (a_1, \dots, a_n) & (a'_1, \dots, a'_n) are chain equivalent if can get from first to second by above moves.

Show that (a_1, \dots, a_n) is chain equivalent to (b_1, b'_2, \dots, b'_n) .

\Rightarrow this case $\langle b'_2, \dots, b'_n \rangle \equiv \langle b_2, \dots, b_n \rangle$ by induction can make that into a chain equivalence & then compose.

We know that if (a_1, \dots, a_n) is chain equivalent

to some tuple

(a'_1, \dots, a'_n) , then

$$\text{then } \langle a'_1, \dots, a'_n \rangle \cong \langle b_1, \dots, b_n \rangle$$

can solve

$$b_1 = \sum a'_i x_i^2 \quad (*)$$

Find the chain equivalent tuple (a'_1, \dots, a'_n) s.t. the number of nonzero terms in $(*)$ is minimized.

Claim: this solves the problem.

$$\text{Suppose } b_1 = \sum_{i=1}^r a'_i x_i^2 \quad \text{w/ } r \text{ minimal.}$$

By rescaling, $x_i = 1$

$$\text{so } b_1 = \sum_{i=1}^r a'_i.$$

Claim: $r=1$ so $b_1 = a'_1$.

Why? $b_1 = a_1' + a_2' + \dots + a_r'$

& if $r > 1$,

$$(a_1', a_2', \dots, a_r')$$

(by minimality
 $a_1' + a_2' \neq 0$)

$$(a_1' + a_2', \frac{a_1' a_2'}{a_1' + a_2'}, a_3', \dots, a_r')$$

but then

$$b_1 = (a_1' + a_2') + a_3' + \dots + a_r'$$

and this is a smaller expression ($r-1$ nonzero terms), a contradiction

(i.e., look at all chain equivalent

triples (a_1', \dots, a_r') that minimize the "b₁-length" \rightarrow must contain a b_1')

then continue by induction on degree.

Def (Grothendieck-Witt)
ring

The abelian gp $GW(F)$
 $= \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{all formal differences of} \\ \text{isomorphism classes of} \\ \text{quadratic forms over } F \end{array} \right\}$.

$=$ all expressions
 $(V, q) - (W, q')$.

analogous to defn of integers
as formal differences of natural
numbers.

Ex) $(V_1, q_1) - (W_1, q'_1) = (V_2, q_2) - (W_2, q'_2)$

if $V_1 \oplus W_2 \cong V_2 \oplus W_1$.

This is actually a commutative ring, via the tensor product of quadratic (or symmetric bilinear forms).

(b/c can tensor symmetric bilinear forms).

Ex) $F = \mathbb{Q}$
then $GW(F) = \mathbb{Z}$

Two quadratic forms are isomorphic iff they yield equal elements of $GW(F)$.

Presentation of
GW(F) as abelian gp:

Generators: $\langle a \rangle$ $a \in F^\times$

Relations: $\langle au^2 \rangle = \langle a \rangle$ $u \in F^\times$

$$\langle a_1 \rangle + \langle a_2 \rangle = \langle a_1 + a_2 \rangle + \left\langle \frac{a_1 a_2}{a_1 + a_2} \right\rangle.$$

Multiplication $\langle a \rangle \langle b \rangle = \langle ab \rangle$.

Observe: in the map $\text{GW}(F)$
there is an ideal generated
by $\langle 1 \rangle + \langle -1 \rangle$ (hyperbolic plane)

This ideal is \mathbb{Z} .

(b/c a hyperbolic form \otimes any form is hyperbolic).

e.g. $\langle 1, -1 \rangle \cong \langle a, -a \rangle$.

So have an ideal

$$\mathbb{Z}\langle 1, -1 \rangle \subseteq \text{GW}(F).$$

Def The Witt ring $W(F)$ is the quotient $\text{GW}(F) / \mathbb{Z}\langle 1, -1 \rangle$.

In Witt ring, any class is represented by a unique anisotropic form (V, q) .

for example the

additive inverse in $W(F)$

of (V, q) is $(V, -q)$.

(b/c $(V, q) \oplus (V, -q)$ is hyperbolic plane)

To add elts in Witt ring
add anisotropic forms, then remove
hyperbolic part.

In the Witt ring,
have relations in GW

but also $\langle a \rangle = -\langle -a \rangle$.

A central question: what does
the Witt ring of a given
field look like?

$$\text{Ex) } W(\mathbb{F}) = \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$$

\swarrow
 dim mod 2.
 In general, any field F
 has a dimension map

$$\dim(F) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$$

$$W(F) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$$

$$\text{Ex) } W(\mathbb{R}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{Z}$$

signature.

In general, if F is a field
 which has an ordering
 (notion of positive & negative
 elements), then

can define a generalized signature

$$W(F) \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}$$

Pfister: any elt in kernel is torsion \wedge is of all these

Can classify prime ideals of $W(F)$ in terms of orderings of F