

Weak solutions to Ideal MHD

Daniel Faraco

Joint work with Sauli Lindberg and László Székelyhidi Jr

Universidad Autónoma de Madrid and ICMAT
Financed by ERC GFTIPFD, ERC QUAMAP

IAS

Special Year in H-Principle , 2022



European Research Council
Established by the European Commission



Ideal Magnetohydrodynamics

The equations in the Torus.

$$\partial_t u + \operatorname{div}(u \otimes u - B \otimes B) + \nabla \Pi = 0,$$

$$\partial_t B + \nabla \times B \times u = 0,$$

$$\operatorname{div} u = \operatorname{div} B = 0,$$

$$\int_{\mathbb{T}^3} u(x, t) dx = \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} b(x, t) dx = 0 \quad \text{for almost every } t \in [0, T[,$$

- u is the velocity field, B the magnetic field, $\Pi = p + \frac{1}{2}|B|^2$ the Total pressure.
- Ampere law $J = \nabla \times B$. Ohm law $E = \frac{1}{\sigma} J + u \times B$
- The evolution of B is given by Faraday law of induction in combination with Ohm (and Ampere if there is resistivity).
- The first equation is Euler (N-S) with the Lorentz force as external force. $\mathcal{F}_L = J \times B = (\nabla \times B) \times B = B \cdot \nabla B + \nabla \frac{1}{2}|B|^2$

Three preserved integral quantities in the smooth regime

Vector Potential of b

$$\nabla \times \Psi = b \text{ and } \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} \Psi(x, t) dx = 0 \text{ for every } t \in]0, T[$$

We define three classically conserved quantities of ideal 3D MHD on the torus \mathbb{T}^3 ; Previous results allow certain Besov regularity in the spirit of Onsager conjecture.

Total Energy

$$\frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} (|u(x, t)|^2 + |b(x, t)|^2) dx,$$

Cross Helicity

$$\int_{\mathbb{T}^3} u(x, t) \cdot b(x, t) dx.$$

Magnetic Helicity

$$\mathcal{H}(t) = \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} \Psi(x, t) \cdot b(x, t) dx,$$

Conserved for $u, b \in L^3$ (Kang and Lee, Aluie-Eyink)

Exercise: if we solve the Faraday system.

$$\partial_t \mathcal{H}(t) = -2 \int E \cdot B dx$$

Corollary: If $E = B \times u$, magnetic helicity is constant. Notice that $E \in L^{\frac{3}{2}}$

Three preserved integral quantities in the smooth regime

Vector Potential of b

$$\nabla \times \Psi = b \text{ and } \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} \Psi(x, t) dx = 0 \text{ for every } t \in]0, T[$$

We define three classically conserved quantities of ideal 3D MHD on the torus \mathbb{T}^3 ; Previous results allow certain Besov regularity in the spirit of Onsager conjecture.

Total Energy

$$\frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} (|u(x, t)|^2 + |b(x, t)|^2) dx,$$

Cross Helicity

$$\int_{\mathbb{T}^3} u(x, t) \cdot b(x, t) dx.$$

Magnetic Helicity

$$\mathcal{H}(t) = \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} \Psi(x, t) \cdot b(x, t) dx,$$

Conserved for $u, b \in L^3$ (Kang and Lee, Aluie-Eyink)

Exercise: if we solve the Faraday system.

$$\partial_t \mathcal{H}(t) = -2 \int E \cdot B dx$$

Corollary: If $E = B \times u$, magnetic helicity is constant. Notice that $E \in L^{\frac{3}{2}}$.

- Bronzi, Lopes, Lopes. In 3D There exists compactly supported in time solutions of *MHD* with non trivial b .

$$\begin{aligned}u(x_1, x_2, x_3, t) &= (u_1(x_1, x_2, t), u_2(x_1, x_2, t), 0), \\b(x_1, x_2, x_3, t) &= (0, 0, b_3(x_1, x_2, t)),\end{aligned}$$

Such u, b solve *MHD* equivalent to solve passive tracer (b) equation in 2D

- F-Lindberg. Magnetic Helicity is preserved in the vanishing resistivity limit of Leray-Hopf solutions.
- Beekie-Buckmaster-Vicol. There exists a $\beta > 0$ and a weak solutions in $C((0, T), H^\beta)$ which do not preserve magnetic helicity. Extended by Lie, Zeng and Zhang to vanishing resistivity limits.
- Convex integration for Hall MHD (M.Dai), EMHD (M.Dai and Han Liu)

- Theorem 1. There exists solutions $u, B \in L^\infty((0, T), L^{3,\infty} \times L^{3,\infty})$ which do not preserve magnetic helicity, nor energy nor cross helicity.
- Theorem 2. There exists bounded solutions which do not preserve energy, nor cross helicity but whose helicity (constant a fortiori) is an arbitrary constant h .

Faraday system in terms of forms

The compensated compactness of the Faraday system (from Luc Tartar notes)

The Faraday 2 form: $\omega \in \Lambda^2(\mathbb{R}_x^3 \times \mathbb{R}_t)$

$$\omega = \sum \epsilon_{ijk} B_i dx^j \wedge dx^k + E_i dx^i \wedge dt$$

The Faraday system is equivalent to ω being closed. $d\omega = 0$.

$$\alpha = \psi_i dx^i + \varphi dt$$

$$\omega = d\alpha, B = \nabla \times \psi, E = \nabla_x \varphi - \partial_t \psi$$

$$\omega \wedge \omega = E \cdot B dV$$

But $\omega \wedge \omega = d(\alpha d\alpha)$ which implies is a compensated compactness (weakly continuous) Quantity!

$$E \cdot B = 0 \text{ in the relaxation of MHD}$$

Relaxed MHD=weak solutions, Subsolutions, coarse-grained solutions.

Faraday system in terms of forms

The compensated compactness of the Faraday system (from Luc Tartar notes)

The Faraday 2 form: $\omega \in \Lambda^2(\mathbb{R}_x^3 \times \mathbb{R}_t)$

$$\omega = \sum \epsilon_{ijk} B_i dx^j \wedge dx^k + E_i dx^i \wedge dt$$

The Faraday system is equivalent to ω being closed. $d\omega = 0$.

$$\alpha = \psi_i dx^i + \varphi dt$$

$$\omega = d\alpha, B = \nabla \times \psi, E = \nabla_x \varphi - \partial_t \psi$$

$$\omega \wedge \omega = E \cdot B dV$$

But $\omega \wedge \omega = d(\alpha d\alpha)$ which implies is a compensated compactness (weakly continuous) Quantity!

$$E \cdot B = 0 \text{ in the relaxation of MHD}$$

Relaxed MHD=weak solutions, Subsolutions, coarse-grained solutions.

Projection from the Faraday system to Relaxed MHD

Theorem 3. Let $\bar{\omega} = (\bar{B}, \bar{E}) \in L^\infty(\mathbb{T}^3 \times [0, T])$ be a p.c solution to $d\bar{\omega} = 0$ in the sense of distributions, and let p, p' Hölder-dual exponents with $3/2 < p < \infty$.

Then there exist p.c $\omega = (B, E) \in L^1(\mathbb{T}^3 \times [0, T])$ solving $d\omega = 0$

- $B \in L^\infty(0, T; L^{p, \infty}(\mathbb{T}^3)), \quad E \in L^\infty(0, T; L^{p', \infty}(\mathbb{T}^3))$
- $B \cdot E = 0$
- $\mathcal{H}(B)(t) = \mathcal{H}(\bar{B})(t)$

The proof is based on the Tartar framework adapted to the Faraday system, and a convex integration type iteration for unbounded sets (Staircase laminates).

Projection from Relaxed MHD to MHD

Theorem 4. Let $\bar{\omega} = (\bar{B}, \bar{E})$ piecewise constant (p.c) with $d\bar{\omega} = 0$
 $\omega \wedge \omega = \bar{B} \cdot \bar{E} = 0$. Then there exists a constant such that if ξ_+, ξ_-
regular enough (and positive) , and

$$|\bar{B}|^2 + |\bar{E}| \leq M_0 \min|\xi_+|^2, \xi_-^2$$

Then there exists u, B solving MHD and such that

- $|u + B| = \xi_+$
- $|u - B| = \xi_-$
- $MH(\bar{B}) = MH(B)$

The proof is based on the Tartar framework for the full MHD. Apart from the fact that the sets live in R^{15} , The K^\wedge has non empty interior.

Basic Construction

The Faraday wave cone. $\Lambda^F = \{w = (\bar{B}, \bar{E}) : \bar{B} \cdot \bar{E} = 0\}$. Indeed $\bar{E} = |\bar{E}|\xi, \bar{B} = |B|\eta \times \xi$. Let $\omega_1, \omega_2 \in \mathbb{R}^3$ with $\omega_1 - \omega_2 \in \Lambda^F$ and $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in (0, 1)$ with $\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 = 1$. For any open bounded domain $Q \subset \mathbb{R}^4$ with $|\partial Q| = 0$ and any $r, \epsilon > 0$ there exist p.c $\omega \in L^\infty(Q; \mathbb{R}^3)$ satisfying $d\omega = 0$ with "boundary conditions" given by $\omega_0 = \lambda_1\omega_1 + \lambda_2\omega_2$

- $Q = Q^{(1)} \cup Q^{(2)} \cup Q^{(error)} \cup \mathcal{N}$ where \mathcal{N} a nullset, $Q^{(1)}, Q^{(2)}$ and $Q^{(error)}$ are open sets where ω is locally constant, and such that $\omega = \omega_i$ in $Q_i, i = 1, 2$ and $|\omega - \omega_0| < r$ in $Q^{(error)}$.
- For $i = 1, 2$ and any $t \in \mathbb{R}$

$$|Q^{(error)}(t)| + \frac{1}{\lambda_i} |Q^{(i)}(t)| \leq (1 + \epsilon) |Q(t)| \quad (1)$$

and $|Q^{(error)}| \leq \epsilon |Q|$.

- If $\eta \cdot B_0 = 0$ and $\tilde{\omega}$ is a p.c with $\omega \chi_Q = \omega_0$.

$$\mathcal{H}(\omega + \tilde{\omega}) = \mathcal{H}(\omega)$$

Basic Construction

The Faraday wave cone. $\Lambda^F = \{w = (\bar{B}, \bar{E}) : \bar{B} \cdot \bar{E} = 0\}$. Indeed $\bar{E} = |\bar{E}|\xi$, $\bar{B} = |B|\eta \times \xi$. Let $\omega_1, \omega_2 \in \mathbb{R}^3$ with $\omega_1 - \omega_2 \in \Lambda^F$ and $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in (0, 1)$ with $\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 = 1$. For any open bounded domain $Q \subset \mathbb{R}^4$ with $|\partial Q| = 0$ and any $r, \epsilon > 0$ there exist p.c $\omega \in L^\infty(Q; \mathbb{R}^3)$ satisfying $d\omega = 0$ with "boundary conditions" given by $\omega_0 = \lambda_1\omega_1 + \lambda_2\omega_2$

- $Q = Q^{(1)} \cup Q^{(2)} \cup Q^{(error)} \cup \mathcal{N}$ where \mathcal{N} a nullset, $Q^{(1)}, Q^{(2)}$ and $Q^{(error)}$ are open sets where ω is locally constant, and such that $\omega = \omega_i$ in Q_i , $i = 1, 2$ and $|\omega - \omega_0| < r$ in $Q^{(error)}$.
- For $i = 1, 2$ and any $t \in \mathbb{R}$

$$|Q^{(error)}(t)| + \frac{1}{\lambda_i} |Q^{(i)}(t)| \leq (1 + \epsilon) |Q(t)| \quad (1)$$

and $|Q^{(error)}| \leq \epsilon |Q|$.

- If $\eta \cdot B_0 = 0$ and $\tilde{\omega}$ is a p.c with $\omega \chi_Q = \omega_0$.

$$\mathcal{H}(\omega + \tilde{\omega}) = \mathcal{H}(\omega)$$

Laminates. Definition

The above lemma implies that the measure $\lambda\delta_{\omega_1} + \lambda_2\delta_{\omega_2}$ is well approximated with the distribution of a p.c solution to Faraday. The set of *laminates* (with respect to Λ), denoted $\mathcal{L}(Y)$, is the smallest class of atomic probability measures supported on Y with the following properties:

- (i) $\mathcal{L}(Y)$ contains all the Dirac masses with support in Y .
- (ii) $\mathcal{L}(Y)$ is closed under splitting along Λ -segments inside Y .
- (iii) $\mathcal{L}(Y)$ is weakly closed.

Condition (ii) means that if $\nu = \sum_{i=1}^M \nu_i \delta_{V_i} \in \mathcal{L}(Y)$ and $V_M \in [Z_1, Z_2] \subset Y$ with $Z_1 - Z_2 \in \Lambda$, then

$$\sum_{i=1}^{M-1} \nu_i \delta_{V_i} + \nu_M (\lambda \delta_{Z_1} + (1-\lambda) \delta_{Z_2}) \in \mathcal{L}(Y),$$

where $\lambda \in [0, 1]$ such that $V_M = \lambda Z_1 + (1-\lambda) Z_2$.

Staircase Laminates

For any β, ω_0 there exist a (Faraday) laminate such that

- $\int \lambda d\nu = \omega_0$
- ν is supported in $|E||B| = 0$
- $\nu(\{|B|^p + |E|^{p'} \geq t\}) \leq \frac{\beta^p}{t}$

Building block for staircase laminates

Everything as in the building block for laminates but now:

- $Q = Q^{(good)} \cup Q^{(error)} \cup \mathcal{N}$ $|B||E| = 0$ in $Q^{(good)}$.
- For all t and all $s > 1$ we have

$$\mathcal{I}(s, t) \leq \beta^{2(p+1)} |Q(t)| \min(|B_0|^p + |E_0|^{p'}, s), \quad (2)$$

where $\mathcal{I}(s, t)$ is

$$\int_{Q^{(error)}(t)} \min\{|B|^p + |E|^{p'}, s\} dx + s \left| \{x \in Q^{(good)}(t) : |B|^p + |E|^{p'} > s\} \right|$$

and p' is the Hölder dual of p .

- $\int \int_{Q^{(error)}} |B|^p + |E|^{p'} dx dt \leq \epsilon$.
- Magnetic helicity is conserved.

Theorem

Let ω_0 , $1 < p < \infty$ and $Q \subset \mathbb{R}^4$ an open bounded domain with $|\partial Q| = 0$. there exist piecewise constant vector fields ω with $d\omega = 0$ and the boundary condition ω_0

- $|B||E| = 0$ for a.e. $(x, t) \in Q$;
- For all t and any $s > 1$

$$\left| \left\{ x \in Q(t) : |B|^p + |E|^{p'} > s \right\} \right| \leq \frac{2}{s} |Q(t)| \min(|B_0|^p + |E_0|^{p'}, s), \quad (3)$$

so that, in particular, $B \in L_t^\infty L_x^{p, \infty}$ and $E \in L_t^\infty L_x^{p', \infty}$.

- There exists a vector potential $\tilde{A} \in Lip_0(Q)$ which guarantees preservation of magnetic helicity.

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} [(A_0 + \tilde{A}) \cdot B - A_0 \cdot B_0] dx = 0 \text{ a.e. } t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

We are given now $\omega = \sum \omega_i \chi_{\Omega_i(x,t)}$ such that $\omega_i \wedge \omega_i = B_i \cdot E_i = 0$. The plan is to apply convex integration at each ω_i adding a compactly supported perturbation u_i, B_i . Now however the linear system is more complicated and there is a nonlinear constraint.

- The linear system.

$$\nabla \cdot u = \nabla \cdot B = 0, \quad (4)$$

$$\partial_t u + \nabla \cdot S = 0, \quad (5)$$

$$\partial_t B + \nabla \times E = 0 \quad (6)$$

$$S \in \mathbb{R}_{\text{sym}}^{3 \times 3}$$

- The constitutive relations.

$$K := \{(u, S, B, E) : S = u \otimes u - B \otimes B + \Pi I, \Pi \in \mathbb{R}, E = B \times u\}.$$

Note that if (u, S, B, E) satisfies (??)–(??) and takes values in K a.e. (x, t) , then (u, B, Π) satisfies the MHD equations

The Λ geometry

- The Lambda cone (up to corner cases).

$$\Lambda^{MHD} = \{(u, S, B, E) : S(B \times u) + (E \cdot u)u = 0 \quad ; E \cdot B = 0\}$$

- $E \cdot B$ is still Λ affine (Compensated compactness quantity)

$$K^\Lambda \subset \mathcal{M} := \{(u, S, B, E) : B \cdot E = 0\}$$

Problem: When we approximate a laminate by an actual solution to the linear system, the error falls off the manifold \mathcal{M} . K^Λ has non empty interior.

Happily there is hope inspired by Müller Šverák solutions to the two well problem with $\det(X) = 1$.

The Λ geometry

- The Lambda cone (up to corner cases).

$$\Lambda^{MHD} = \{(u, S, B, E) : S(B \times u) + (E \cdot u)u = 0 \quad ; E \cdot B = 0\}$$

- $E \cdot B$ is still Λ affine (Compensated compactness quantity)

$$K^\Lambda \subset \mathcal{M} := \{(u, S, B, E) : B \cdot E = 0\}$$

Problem: When we approximate a laminate by an actual solution to the linear system, the error falls off the manifold \mathcal{M} . K^Λ has non empty interior.

Happily there is hope inspired by Müller Šverák solutions to the two well problem with $\det(X) = 1$.

Simple forms make life simpler

$$\omega \wedge \omega = 0 \iff \omega = v \wedge w.$$

Lemma

It turns out that $\xi = (\xi_x, \xi_t)$ is a \wedge direction for (E, B) if and only if $\omega \wedge \xi = 0$. Suppose that ω_0 and $\omega \neq 0$ are simple bivectors and that $\omega \wedge \xi = 0$, where $\xi \in (\mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \{0\}) \times \mathbb{R}$. The following conditions are equivalent:

- (i)** $\omega_0 + t\omega$ is simple for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$.
- (ii)** $\omega_0 \wedge \omega = 0$.
- (iii)** We can write $\omega = v \wedge \xi$ and either $\omega_0 = v_0 \wedge \xi$ or $\omega_0 = v \wedge w_0$

In order to find potential, $\omega_0 = v_0 \wedge \xi$ is a bad case, and $\omega_0 = v \wedge w_0$ is a good case.

Good potentials

set

$$\alpha = \varphi d\psi, \omega = d\alpha = d\varphi \wedge d\psi;$$

Remark: It is not a Poincare lemma. Thus we need to find specific potentials for the planar waves. This we can not do for all lines $\omega_0 + t\omega$.

Namely if $\omega_0 = v_0 \wedge w_0$ and $\Phi_\ell(x, t) = x + \ell^{-1}h'(\ell x \cdot \xi)a$

$$\Phi^*(v_0 \wedge w) = \Phi^*v_0 \wedge \Phi^*w_0 = d\psi \wedge d\varphi$$

$$d\varphi_\ell(x, t) \wedge d\psi_\ell(x, t) = v_0 \wedge w_0 + \chi(x, t) h''(\ell(x, t) \cdot \xi) \underbrace{(c_2 v_0 - c_1 w_0)}_{=w} \wedge \xi + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{\ell}\right),$$

Λ good segments

- Unfortunately this does not work for all Λ lines (not directions). If ξ is the direction of oscillation of ω and $\omega_0 = w \wedge \xi$, we can not construct potentials for the plane wave taking values in the line $\omega_0 + t\omega$
- Thus, segments (ω_0, ω) are divided in Λ_g (segments) and Λ_b (segments). Similarly we can speak of Λ_g laminates and of Λ_g lamination hull, where only good segments are involved.
- We can talk about good laminates and good Λ hulls and have good solutions to the linear system but..

A heart breaking discovery

$$K^{\Lambda_g} \text{ is rigid; } E = B \times u$$

Λ good segments

- Unfortunately this does not work for all Λ lines (not directions). If ξ is the direction of oscillation of ω and $\omega_0 = w \wedge \xi$, we can not construct potentials for the plane wave taking values in the line $\omega_0 + t\omega$
- Thus, segments (ω_0, ω) are divided in Λ_g (segments) and Λ_b (segments). Similarly we can speak of Λ_g laminates and of Λ_g lamination hull, where only good segments are involved.
- We can talk about good laminates and good Λ hulls and have good solutions to the linear system but..

A heart breaking discovery

$$K^{\Lambda_g} \text{ is rigid; } E = B \times u$$

The simple form formalism at work

Two good news.

- For open sets $\mathcal{O}^{lc,\Lambda} = \mathcal{O}^{\Lambda_g,lc}$
- If \mathcal{O} is \mathcal{M} -open then $\mathcal{O}^{\Lambda,lc}$ is \mathcal{M} -open

Very difficult to prove if one does not work at the level of the factors of the simple two forms.

An strategy which do not require the full Λ hull

In order to prescribe the energy density and cross helicity densities we normalized K . Thus we declare

$$K_{r,s} = \{|u + B| = r, |u - B| = s, \} \quad \mathcal{U}_{r,s} = \text{int} K_{r,s}^{\Lambda,lc}$$

An interesting remark is that we do not compute the Λ hull of a constraint set $K_{r,s}$ but we show (lamination of order 12) such that for any $0 < \tau_0 < 1$, there exists $\epsilon_\tau > 0$,

$$B_\delta \subset \mathcal{U}_{r,s} = \cup_{1 > \tau \geq \tau_0} \mathcal{O}_\tau^{\Lambda_\epsilon}$$

with $\mathcal{O}_\tau = B_{\mathcal{M}}(K_{\tau r, \tau s}, \epsilon_\tau)$, $B_\delta = \{|B|^2 + |u|^2 + |S| + |E| \leq \delta \min r^2, s^2\}$
The construction is indeed closer in spirit very to the in-approximation approach but we argue by a Baire category argument in each subdomain and glue the solutions. It also helps that the potential $d\varphi \wedge d\psi$ are good for magnetic helicity concentration.

Thanks for the hospitality!