

Algebra in the real world

Hendrik Lenstra

PCMI, July 21, 2022

Lecture notes by Samuel Tiersma

In the early days, my business friends would ask me, “How does it feel to be in the real world?” I would say, and I still feel, that mathematics seems much more real to me than business—in the sense that, well, what’s the reality in a McDonald’s stand? It’s here today and gone tomorrow. Now, the integers—that’s reality. When you prove a theorem, you’ve really done something that has a substance to it, to which no business venture can compare for reality. (Jim Simons, The emissary, June 1998)

The title of my lecture is intended to convey that what Americans call *abstract algebra* is in fact very concrete. It tells us not only that the integers—and that is reality!—have certain properties that we care about, but also *why* they have those properties. I was, as a student, first vividly struck by this power of algebra when I learnt of their application to Fermat numbers and Mersenne numbers: invoking basic results about groups, rings and fields one after the other, one obtains astonishingly efficient and elegant methods for deciding whether a number of the form $2^m \pm 1$ is a prime number. The details are in my lecture. Ever since, I have been using algebra as a means towards understanding the world I live in: the real world of mathematics that is here today and that will not be gone tomorrow.

1 Fermat primes

A *Fermat prime*, named after Pierre de Fermat (1607–1665), is a prime number of the shape $2^m + 1$ with $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$. Examples: 3, 5, 17, 257, 65537. Historically, these have been of interest in cyclotomy.

Theorem 1.1 (Carl Friedrich Gauss (1777–1855); Pierre Wantzel (1814–1848)). *A regular n -gon is constructible with compass and straightedge if and only if n equals a 2-power (including $2^0 = 1$) times a product of finitely many pairwise distinct Fermat primes (including the empty product 1).* \square

It is not difficult to see that $2^m + 1$, with $m > 0$, can only be prime if $m = 2^k$ for some $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, so we will restrict our attention to exponents m that are a power of 2. We define for $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ the k th *Fermat number* to be $F_k = 2^{2^k} + 1$. It is conjectured that the only Fermat numbers F_k that are prime are the five mentioned above.

Conjecture 1.2. F_k is prime $\iff k \in \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4\}$.

It is known that F_k is *not* prime for $5 \leq k \leq 32$, and for a handful of larger k , such as $k = 18\,233\,954$; for all but two of all these values of k , a *factor* of F_k is known, such as $641 \mid F_5$ (Euler; see Exercise 1.8). The two exceptions are $k = 20$ and $k = 24$. So how can we nonetheless be sure that $F_{20} = 2^{2^{20}} + 1$ and $F_{24} = 2^{2^{24}} + 1$ are not prime? After all, performing trial division up to $\sqrt{F_{20}} = 2.59\dots \times 10^{157826}$ is out of the question.

Theorem 1.3 (Théophile Pépin (1826–1904; 1877)). *Let $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 2}$ and $n = 2^m + 1$. Define $r_i \in \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ for $i \geq 0$ by $r_0 = (3 \bmod n)$, $r_{i+1} = r_i^2$ (again mod n). Then it holds that*

$$n \text{ is prime } \iff r_{m-1} = -1 (= 2^m).$$

This involves only m ($\approx \frac{\log n}{\log 2}$) arithmetic operations, nowhere near as much as \sqrt{n} .

Example. Let $m = 8$, so $n = 257$. Then $r_0 = 3$, $r_1 = 9$, $r_2 = 81$, $r_3 = 6561 = 1421 = -121$, $r_4 = 14641 = 1791 = -8$, $r_5 = 64 = 2^6$, $r_6 = 2^{12} = -2^4$, $r_7 = 2^8 = -1$, so n is prime.

Proof. We start by noting that $r_i = (3^{2^i} \bmod n)$ for all $i \geq 0$.

Case m is odd. Then $3 \mid n$ and $n > 3$, so n is not prime. On the other hand, $3 \mid r_i$ for all i , so $r_{m-1} \not\equiv (-1 \bmod n)$. Thus both assertions are false and the equivalence holds.

Henceforth assume m is even.

\Leftarrow : Suppose $r_{m-1} = -1$. Let $d > 1$ be a divisor of n ; showing that $d = n$ will prove the primality of n . Now $3^{2^{m-1}}$ is congruent to -1 modulo n , hence also modulo d . So $3^{2^{m-1}} \not\equiv 1 \pmod d$ but $3^{2^m} \equiv 1 \pmod d$. Hence the order of $(3 \bmod d)$ in the unit group $(\mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z})^*$ divides 2^m but not 2^{m-1} , so equals 2^m . Since the order of an element of a group is at most the order of the group, we have $2^m \leq \#(\mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z})^* \leq d - 1$, whence $d \geq 2^m + 1 = n$. We conclude that $d = n$, as desired.

The converse is slightly more work, some of which is left to the reader in the form of the following exercise.

Exercise 1.4. Suppose A is a finite abelian group with precisely one element of order 2, say ϵ . Then $\#A$ is even, and for each $\alpha \in A$ it holds that $\alpha^{(\#A)/2} \neq \epsilon \iff \alpha^{(\#A)/2} = 1 \iff \exists \beta \in A : \alpha = \beta^2$.

\Rightarrow : Assume n is prime. Then $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ is a field with n elements, and we denote it by \mathbb{F}_n . In the exercise we take $A = \mathbb{F}_n^*$, of order $n-1 = 2^m$. If $\epsilon \in A$ has order 2, then $(\epsilon-1)(\epsilon+1) = \epsilon^2 - 1 = 0$. Since $\epsilon - 1 \neq 0$ has an inverse in the field \mathbb{F}_n , it follows that $\epsilon = -1$, whence A satisfies the hypothesis of the exercise. Taking $\alpha = 3$, we find that $3^{2^{m-1}} \equiv -1 \pmod n$ unless and only unless 3 is a square in \mathbb{F}_n .

Suppose there is a $\sqrt{3}$ (i.e. an element whose square is 3) in \mathbb{F}_n . There is also a $\sqrt{-1}$, namely $2^{m/2}$, so a $\sqrt{-3}$ exists as well, namely $\sqrt{3} \cdot \sqrt{-1}$. We claim that $\zeta = \frac{-1 + \sqrt{-3}}{2}$ has order 3 in \mathbb{F}_n^* . Indeed, ζ satisfies $(2\zeta + 1)^2 = -3$, so $4(\zeta^2 + \zeta + 1) = 0$. As 2 has an inverse modulo n , this gives $\zeta^2 + \zeta + 1 = 0$; thus $\zeta^3 = 1$ while $\zeta \neq 1$, proving our claim. Now Lagrange's Theorem—one of the first theorems one encounters in a course on group theory—states: the order of an element of a finite group divides the order of a group. This gives that $3 = \text{order}(\zeta) \mid \#\mathbb{F}_n^* = n - 1 = 2^m$, a contradiction which completes the proof. \square

Exercise 1.5. Suppose $p > 2$ is prime and $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_p^*$. Prove: \mathbb{F}_p has a $\sqrt{\alpha} \iff \alpha^{(p-1)/2} = 1$.

Exercise 1.6. Suppose $p > 3$ is prime. Then \mathbb{F}_p has a $\sqrt{-3} \iff 3 \mid p-1$. Also, \mathbb{F}_p has a $\sqrt{-1} \iff 4 \mid p-1$. Conclude: \mathbb{F}_p has a $\sqrt{3} \iff p \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{12}$.

Exercise 1.7. Suppose p is a prime number dividing $F_k = 2^{2^k} + 1$.

(a) If $k \geq 0$, prove that $p \equiv 1 \pmod{2^{k+1}}$. [Hint: $2^{2^k} \equiv -1 \pmod{F_k}$.]

(b) If $k \geq 2$, prove that $p \equiv 1 \pmod{2^{k+2}}$. [Hint: $F_{k-1}^{2^{k+1}} \equiv -1 \pmod{F_k}$.]

Exercise 1.8. (a) Using the equalities $641 = 2^7 \cdot 5 + 1 = 5^4 + 2^4$, prove that $2^{32} \equiv -1 \pmod{641}$. Deduce that 641 is a prime divisor of $F_5 = 2^{2^5} + 1$.

(b) Using the equalities $431 = 2^4 \cdot 3^3 - 1 = 2^9 - 3^4$ give a similar proof of the primality of 431.

Exercise 1.9. (a) Replace in the first 32 rows of the Pascal triangle each even integer by a 0 and each odd integer by a 1. Show this yields the binary expansions of the first 32 odd n for which a regular n -gon is constructible (including $n = 1$).

(b) Show that the only n for which regular n -, $(n+1)$ - and $(n+2)$ -gons are all constructible with ruler and compass are $n = 1, 2, 3, 5, 255, 65535$.

2 Mersenne primes

A *Mersenne prime* (named after Marin Mersenne, 1588–1648) is a prime number of the shape $2^m - 1$ with $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 2}$. For example $2^2 - 1 = 3$, $2^3 - 1 = 7$, $2^5 - 1 = 31$, $2^7 - 1 = 127$, and

$$2^{2^{2^{2^2-1}-1}-1} - 1 = 170\,141\,183\,460\,469\,231\,731\,687\,303\,715\,884\,105\,727.$$

Historically these have attracted interest due to their connection with perfect numbers. A *perfect number* is a positive integer n that equals the sum of its divisors $< n$ (e.g. $6 = 1 + 2 + 3$, $28 = 1 + 2 + 4 + 7 + 14$ and $496 = 1 + 2 + 4 + 8 + 16 + 31 + 62 + 124 + 248$).

Theorem 2.1 (Euclid, \Leftarrow , 3rd century BC; Leonhard Euler (1707–1783), \Rightarrow , 1841). *Let $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ be even. Then:*

n is perfect \iff there exists $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 2}$ such that $2^m - 1$ is prime and $n = 2^{m-1}(2^m - 1)$. \square

Conjecturally, *odd* perfect numbers do not exist.

We leave as an exercise to show: if $2^m - 1$ is prime, then m is prime. The converse does not hold, e.g. $2^{11} - 1 = 2047 = 23 \cdot 89$.

It is conjectured that there are infinitely many Mersenne primes. Usually the largest known prime is a Mersenne prime, owing to the Lucas–Lehmer test to be discussed momentarily. As of June 30th, 2022 we know 51 Mersenne primes, and the largest known prime number is $2^{82\,589\,933} - 1$.

Theorem 2.2 (Lucas–Lehmer test; Édouard Lucas (1842–1891; 1878); Derrick Henry Lehmer (1905–1991; 1930)). *Let $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{>2}$ and put $n = 2^m - 1$. Define $s_i \in \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ ($i \geq 1$) by $s_1 = (4 \bmod n)$ and $s_{i+1} = s_i^2 - 2$ for $i \geq 1$. Then*

$$n \text{ is prime } \iff s_{m-1} = 0.$$

Exercise 2.3. Let s_i ($i \geq 1$) be defined as in the Lucas–Lehmer test, but with \mathbb{R} replacing $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$. Then for all $i \geq 1$,

$$s_i = (2 + \sqrt{3})^{2^{i-1}} + (2 - \sqrt{3})^{2^{i-1}}.$$

Proof of Theorem 2.2. In the present proof, the case that m is even is the easy one, and we leave it to the reader. Therefore we assume m is odd. Before beginning the proof proper, we will establish a multiplicative interpretation for $s_{m-1} = 0$. We use a variant of the formula just given, but replacing $2 + \sqrt{3}$ by $(\sqrt{2} \cdot \frac{1+\sqrt{3}}{2})^2$. Although this complicates the bases, it simplifies both exponents to be just 2^i . Further, since we want to perform arithmetic not inside \mathbb{R} but modulo n and its divisors, we work with a suitable ring R having an adequate supply of special elements.

Let R be a commutative ring $\neq 0$ with a $\sqrt{2}$, a $\sqrt{3}$ and a $\frac{1}{2}$. Define $\alpha, \beta \in R$ by $\alpha = \frac{\sqrt{2}(1+\sqrt{3})}{2}$ and $\beta = \frac{\sqrt{2}(1-\sqrt{3})}{2}$, so that $\alpha^2 = 2 + \sqrt{3}$ and $\beta^2 = 2 - \sqrt{3}$. Define $s_i \in R$ ($i \geq 0$) by $s_i = \alpha^{2^i} + \beta^{2^i}$ (as before, $s_1 = 4$ and $s_{i+1} = s_i^2 - 2$ for $i \geq 1$). Because $\alpha\beta = -1$ and 2^{m-1} is even, we arrive at the promised multiplicative interpretation:

$$s_{m-1} = 0 \iff \alpha^{2^{m-1}} = -\beta^{2^{m-1}} = -\alpha^{-2^{m-1}} \iff \alpha^{2^m} = -1 \implies \text{order}(\alpha \in R^*) = 2^{m+1}$$

(note that $-1 \neq 1$ in R , since otherwise $0 = 2 \in R^*$, forcing $R = 0$, which we excluded).

The next step is to produce a suitable ring R . Take a divisor $d > 1$ of $n = 2^m - 1$. The ring $\mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z}$ already has a $\frac{1}{2}$, since n is odd. It also has a $\sqrt{2} = 2^{(m+1)/2}$, with indeed square $(\sqrt{2})^2 = 2^{m+1} = 2 \cdot 2^m = 2$; here we used that $d \mid 2^m - 1$, equivalently $2^m \equiv 1 \pmod{d}$. However,

$\mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z}$ may not have a $\sqrt{3}$ (see Exercise 2.5). Therefore we *adjoin* to $\mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z}$ a $\sqrt{3}$, yielding an *extension ring*

$$R = (\mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z}) \oplus (\mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z}) \cdot \sqrt{3} = \{a + b\sqrt{3} : a, b \in \mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z}\}$$

with componentwise addition, and multiplication for which $\sqrt{3} \cdot \sqrt{3} = 3$. Then R is a commutative ring $\neq 0$ having all required special elements, so we can start the actual proof of the asserted equivalence.

\Leftarrow : Assume that $s_{m-1} = 0$ in $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$, then also in $\mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z}$, hence in R . Therefore our multiplicative interpretation shows

$$n < 2(n+1) = 2^{m+1} = \text{order}(\alpha \in R^*) \leq \#R = d^2,$$

so $d > \sqrt{n}$. But if each divisor of n greater than 1 is greater than \sqrt{n} , then n is prime.

\Rightarrow : Suppose n is prime, then necessarily $d = n$. Now $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ is a field we denoted \mathbb{F}_n , and we contend that $R = \mathbb{F}_n \oplus \mathbb{F}_n\sqrt{3}$ is also a field. Namely, let $a + b\sqrt{3} \in R$ be nonzero, so $a \neq 0$ or $b \neq 0$. Note that $(a + b\sqrt{3})(a - b\sqrt{3}) = a^2 - 3b^2$. If $a^2 - 3b^2 = 0$ then $b \neq 0$, so $\frac{a}{b} = \sqrt{3}$. However, since $n = 2^m - 1 \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$ and $n \equiv -1 \pmod{4}$, Exercise 1.6 tells us that \mathbb{F}_n does not have a $\sqrt{3}$. So $a^2 - 3b^2 \neq 0$ and, \mathbb{F}_n being a field, it follows that $a + b\sqrt{3} \in R^*$, as contended.

Thus R is a finite field with n^2 elements, denoted \mathbb{F}_{n^2} , a *quadratic* extension of \mathbb{F}_n . We can now finish off the proof by invoking an elegant theorem from the theory of finite fields.

Theorem 2.4. *Let p be a prime, and $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$. Let \mathbb{F}_{p^k} be a finite field with p^k elements. Then the automorphism group of \mathbb{F}_{p^k} is cyclic of order k , generated by the Frobenius automorphism Frob given by $\text{Frob}(r) = r^p$ for all $r \in \mathbb{F}_{p^k}$.*

On the other hand, we know that $R = \mathbb{F}_{n^2}$ has a *conjugation* automorphism $a + b\sqrt{3} \mapsto a - b\sqrt{3}$ of order 2, interchanging α and β . By the theorem this automorphism coincides with Frob, i.e. it sends every $r \in R$ to r^n . In particular, $\alpha^n = \text{Frob}(\alpha) = \beta = -\alpha^{-1}$, whence $\alpha^{2^m} = \alpha^{n+1} = -1$. Thus, our multiplicative interpretation implies $s_{m-1} = 0$. \square

This proof illustrates that problems about “ordinary” integers are often most easily solved by working in “extensions”. Above we encountered the extensions $\mathbb{F}_n \subset \mathbb{F}_{n^2}$ and $\mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z} \subset R$; for many other problems one uses extensions with base ring \mathbb{Z} or \mathbb{Q} , which form the domain of *algebraic number theory*.

Exercise 2.5. Let $m \geq 3$ and $n = 2^m - 1$. Show that n is divisible by a prime $p \equiv \pm 5 \pmod{12}$ and that for at least half of all divisors d of n , the ring $\mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z}$ does *not* have a $\sqrt{3}$.