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## Problem Session 4

The adversary method and its dual

## Problem 1 (Simplifying the dual adversary)

Recall the dual formulation of the adversary method:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{Adv}(f)=\min _{\left\{w^{(x, i)}\right\}} & \max _{x} \sum_{i}\left\|w^{(x, i)}\right\|^{2} \\
\text { s.t. } & \sum_{i: x_{i} \neq y_{i}}\left\langle w^{(x, i)} \mid w^{(y, i)}\right\rangle=\mathbf{1}_{f(x) \neq f(y)} \quad \forall x, y
\end{aligned}
$$

The goal of this problem is to show that the above program is equivalent to the next one (which may come in handy in Problems 3 and 4):

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{Adv}^{\star}(f)=\min _{\left\{w^{(x, i)}\right\}} & \sqrt{C_{0} C_{1}} \\
\text { s.t. } & C_{0}=\max _{x: f(x)=0} \sum_{i}\left\|w^{(x, i)}\right\|^{2} \\
& C_{1}=\max _{x: f(x)=1} \sum_{i}\left\|w^{(x, i)}\right\|^{2} \\
& \sum_{i: x_{i} \neq y_{i}}\left\langle w^{(x, i)} \mid w^{(y, i)}\right\rangle=1 \quad \forall x, y, f(x) \neq f(y)
\end{aligned}
$$

Question 1. Show that $\operatorname{Adv}^{\star}(f) \leq \operatorname{Adv}(f)$.
Question 2. Let $\left\{w^{(x, i)}\right\}$ be a feasible solution to the first program. Define $C_{0}=\max _{x: f(x)=0} \sum_{i}\left\|w^{(x, i)}\right\|^{2}$ and $C_{1}=\max _{x: f(x)=1} \sum_{i}\left\|w^{(x, i)}\right\|^{2}$ (the solution has value $\max \left\{C_{0}, C_{1}\right\}$ ). Show that there exists another feasible solution to the same program of value $\sqrt{C_{0} C_{1}}$.
Question 3. Let $\left\{w^{(x, i)}\right\}$ be a feasible solution to the second program. Define $\left|w^{(x, i)}\right\rangle=$ $\left|w^{(x, i)}\right\rangle\left|x_{i} \oplus f(x)\right\rangle$. Show that it satisfies $\sum_{i: x_{i} \neq y_{i}}\left\langle w^{(x, i)} \mid w^{(y, i)}\right\rangle=\mathbf{1}_{f(x) \neq f(y)}$ for all $x, y$.
Question 4. Conclude that $\operatorname{Adv}^{\star}(f)=\operatorname{Adv}(f)$.

## Problem 2 (Connectivity)

Consider the function Connectivity : $\{0,1\}_{\binom{n}{2}} \rightarrow\{0,1\}$ whose quantum query complexity was shown to be $\Omega\left(n^{3 / 2}\right)$ in the last problem session. The goal of the next questions is to give a matching upper bound by constructing a feasible solution to the dual adversary program.

Define $\mathcal{G}_{0}$ as the set of input graphs consisting of exactly two connected components and $\mathcal{G}_{1}$ as the set of inputs consisting of $n$-cycle graphs. For each edge query $\{i, j\} \in\binom{n}{2}$, define the vector $\left|w^{(x,\{i, j\})}\right\rangle \in \operatorname{span}\{|k\rangle: 1 \leq k \leq n\}$ as follows.

If $x \in \mathcal{G}_{0}$ then:

$$
\left|w^{(x,\{i, j\})}\right\rangle= \begin{cases}0 & \text { if } i \text { and } j \text { are in the same connected component of the graph } x \\ |i\rangle-|j\rangle & \text { if } 1 \text { and } i \text { are in the same connected component, but not } i \text { and } j \\ |j\rangle-|i\rangle & \text { if } 1 \text { and } j \text { are in the same connected component, but not } i \text { and } j\end{cases}
$$

If $x \in \mathcal{G}_{1}$ then fix any orientation of the cycle and set

$$
\left|w^{(x,\{i, j\})}\right\rangle= \begin{cases}0 & \text { if }\{i, j\} \text { is not an edge of the graph } x \\ |i\rangle & \text { if }\{i, j\} \text { is an edge and its orientation is } i \rightarrow j \\ |j\rangle & \text { if }\{i, j\} \text { is an edge and its orientation is } j \rightarrow i\end{cases}
$$

Question 1. Show that for all $x \in \mathcal{G}_{0}, y \in \mathcal{G}_{1}$ we have $\sum_{\{i, j\}: x_{i i, j\}} \neq y_{\{i, j\}}}\left\langle w^{(x,\{i, j\})} \mid w^{(y,\{i, j\})}\right\rangle=0$.
Question 2. Modify the above construction such that it becomes a feasible solution to the dual adversary and show that $Q$ (ConNECTIVITY) $=O\left(n^{3 / 2}\right)$.

The above algorithm uses only $O(\log n)$ qubits of memory ${ }^{1}$. This is in contrast to an older quantum algorithm ${ }^{2}$ that required $O(n \log n)$ space. This result can be adapted to other graph problems, such as st-Connectivity.

## Problem 3 (Composition)

Given two functions $f:\{0,1\}^{n} \rightarrow\{0,1\}$ and $g:\{0,1\}^{m} \rightarrow\{0,1\}$, define their composition $f \bullet g:\{0,1\}^{n \times m} \rightarrow\{0,1\}$ as $f \bullet g(X)=f\left(g\left(X_{1,1}, \ldots, X_{1, m}\right), \ldots, g\left(X_{n, 1}, \ldots, X_{n, m}\right)\right)$. A striking property of the adversary method is that $\operatorname{Adv}(f \bullet g)=\operatorname{Adv}(f) \operatorname{Adv}(g)$. This problem studies some parts of the proof of this result.
Question 1. Show that $\operatorname{Adv}(f \bullet g) \leq \operatorname{Adv}(f) \operatorname{Adv}(g)$.
Hint: Take any dual adversary solutions $\left\{w_{f}^{(x, i)}\right\}$ and $\left\{w_{g}^{(x, j)}\right\}$ for $f$ and $g$ respectively, and consider $\left|w_{f \bullet g}^{(X,(i, j)}\right\rangle=\left|w_{f}^{\left(\left(\left(g\left(X_{1}\right), \ldots, g\left(X_{n}\right)\right), i\right)\right.}\right\rangle\left|w_{g}^{\left(X_{i}, j\right)}\right\rangle$.
Question 2. Suppose that $f$ is the OR function. Show that $\operatorname{Adv}(f \bullet g) \geq \sqrt{n} \cdot \operatorname{Adv}(g)$.
Hint: Start from a primal adversary solution $\Gamma$ for $g$ and construct a primal adversary solution for $f \bullet g$.
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