

Resonant Dynamics in Evolutionary Dispersive Equations

Bobby Wilson (University of Washington)

Uhlenbeck's Conference, IAS, September 2022

Introduction

Harmonic Oscillator

The Linear Equation: Let $a(t) \in \mathbb{C}$ for $t \in [0, \infty)$,

$$\frac{d}{dt}a - ia = 0$$

$$a(0) = a_0$$

Introduction

Harmonic Oscillator

The Linear Equation: Let $a(t) \in \mathbb{C}$ for $t \in [0, \infty)$,

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{d}{dt}a - ia &= 0 \\ a(0) &= a_0\end{aligned}$$

- Resonant Forcing

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{d}{dt}b - ib &= e^{it} \\ b(0) &= a_0\end{aligned}$$

- Nonresonant Forcing, let $\omega \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{1\}$ and $|\omega| \gg 1$

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{d}{dt}b - ib &= e^{i\omega t} \\ b(0) &= a_0\end{aligned}$$

- Nearly Resonant Forcing, let $\omega \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{1\}$ and $|\omega - 1| \ll 1$

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{d}{dt}b - ib &= e^{i\omega t} \\ b(0) &= a_0\end{aligned}$$

Introduction

Harmonic Oscillator

The Linear Equation: Let $a(t) \in \mathbb{C}$ for $t \in [0, \infty)$,

$$\frac{d}{dt}a - ia = 0$$

$$a(0) = a_0$$

$$a(t) = a_0 e^{it}.$$

- Resonant Forcing

$$b(t) = a(t) + te^{it}$$

- Nonresonant Forcing, let $\omega \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{1\}$ and $|\omega| \gg 1$

$$b(t) = a(t) + \frac{1}{i(\omega - 1)}(e^{i\omega t} - 1)$$

- Nearly Resonant Forcing, let $\omega \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{1\}$ and $|\omega - 1| \ll 1$

$$b(t) = a(t) + \frac{1}{i(\omega - 1)}(e^{i\omega t} - 1)$$

Introduction

Harmonic Oscillator

The Linear Equation: Let $a(t) \in \mathbb{C}$ for $t \in [0, \infty)$,

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{d}{dt}a - ia &= 0 \\ a(0) &= a_0\end{aligned}$$

$$a(t) = a_0 e^{it}.$$

- Resonant Forcing

$$\operatorname{Re}[b(t)] = \operatorname{Re}[a(t)] + t \cos(t)$$

- Nonresonant Forcing, let $\omega \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{1\}$ and $|\omega| \gg 1$

$$\operatorname{Re}[b(t)] = \operatorname{Re}[a(t)] + \varepsilon \sin(\omega t)$$

- Nearly Resonant Forcing, let $\omega \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{1\}$ and $|\omega - 1| \ll 1$

$$\operatorname{Re}[b(t)] = \operatorname{Re}[a(t)] + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \sin(\omega t)$$

A trichotomy of types of asymptotic behavior

- Linear

$$b(t) \approx \tilde{a}_0 e^{it}$$

- Resonant

$$b(t) \approx \tilde{a}_0(t+1)e^{it}$$

- Neither Linear nor Resonant

A trichotomy of types of asymptotic behavior

- Linear “Scattering”

$$b(t) \approx \tilde{a}_0 e^{it}$$

- Resonant “Modified Scattering”

$$b(t) \approx \tilde{a}_0(t+1)e^{it}$$

- Neither Linear nor Resonant

Introduction

N Harmonic Oscillators with polynomial forcing

Consider $a : [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^N$ and $\lambda_k \in \mathbb{R}$ for $k \in \{1, \dots, N\}$

$$\begin{cases} i \frac{d}{dt} a_k - \lambda_k a_k = \sum_{k_1+k_2-k_3 \equiv k} a_{k_1} a_{k_2} \bar{a}_{k_3} \\ a_k(0) = z_k \in \mathbb{C} \end{cases}$$

Introduction

N Harmonic Oscillators with polynomial forcing

Consider $a : [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^N$ and $\lambda_k \in \mathbb{R}$ for $k \in \{1, \dots, N\}$

$$\begin{cases} i \frac{d}{dt} a_k - \lambda_k a_k = \sum_{k_1+k_2-k_3 \equiv k} a_{k_1} a_{k_2} \bar{a}_{k_3} \\ a_k(0) = z_k \in \mathbb{C} \end{cases}$$

How to identify resonant/nonresonant interactions of the polynomial nonlinearity?

Introduction

N Harmonic Oscillators with polynomial forcing

Consider $a : [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^N$ and $\lambda_k \in \mathbb{R}$ for $k \in \{1, \dots, N\}$

$$\begin{cases} i \frac{d}{dt} a_k - \lambda_k a_k = \sum_{k_1+k_2-k_3 \equiv k} a_{k_1} a_{k_2} \bar{a}_{k_3} \\ a_k(0) = z_k \in \mathbb{C} \end{cases}$$

How to identify resonant/nonresonant interactions of the polynomial nonlinearity? Use iterative process.

Introduction

N Harmonic Oscillators with polynomial forcing

Consider $a^j : [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^N$ for $j = 0, 1, \dots$ and suppose the sequence satisfies

$$i \frac{d}{dt} a_k^0 - \lambda_k a_k^0 = 0$$
$$a_k^0(0) = z_k^0 \in \mathbb{C}$$

and

$$i \frac{d}{dt} a_k^{j+1} - \lambda_k a_k^{j+1} = \sum_{k_1+k_2-k_3 \equiv k} a_{k_1}^j a_{k_2}^j \bar{a}_{k_3}^j$$
$$a_k^{j+1}(0) = z_k^{j+1} \in \mathbb{C}$$

Introduction

N Harmonic Oscillators with polynomial forcing

Observe

$$a_k^0(t) = z_k^0 e^{it\lambda_k}$$

Examination of the second step:

$$i \frac{d}{dt} a_k^1 - \lambda_k a_k^1 = \sum_{k_1+k_2-k_3 \equiv k} z_{k_1}^0 z_{k_2}^0 \bar{z}_{k_3}^0 e^{it(\lambda_{k_1} + \lambda_{k_2} - \lambda_{k_3})}$$

$$a_k^1(0) = z_k^1 \in \mathbb{C}$$

Introduction

N Harmonic Oscillators with polynomial forcing

Observe

$$a_k^0(t) = z_k^0 e^{it\lambda_k}$$

Examination of the second step:

$$i \frac{d}{dt} a_k^1 - \lambda_k a_k^1 = \sum_{k_1+k_2-k_3 \equiv k} z_{k_1}^0 z_{k_2}^0 \bar{z}_{k_3}^0 e^{it(\lambda_{k_1} + \lambda_{k_2} - \lambda_{k_3})}$$

$$a_k^1(0) = z_k^1 \in \mathbb{C}$$

The forcing term contains many terms that fall between resonant and nonresonant.

Introduction

N Harmonic Oscillators with polynomial forcing

Observe

$$a_k^0(t) = z_k^0 e^{it\lambda_k}$$

Examination of the second step:

$$i \frac{d}{dt} a_k^1 - \lambda_k a_k^1 = \sum_{k_1+k_2-k_3 \equiv k} z_{k_1}^0 z_{k_2}^0 \bar{z}_{k_3}^0 e^{it(\lambda_{k_1} + \lambda_{k_2} - \lambda_{k_3})}$$

$$a_k^1(0) = z_k^1 \in \mathbb{C}$$

The forcing term contains many terms that fall between resonant and nonresonant.

In order to draw general dynamics from resonant dynamics add an ε .

Introduction

N Harmonic Oscillators with Polynomial Forcing

Observe

$$a_k^0(t) = \varepsilon z_k^0 e^{it\lambda_k}$$

Examination of the second step:

$$i \frac{d}{dt} a_k^1 - \lambda_k a_k^1 = \varepsilon^3 \sum_{k_1+k_2-k_3 \equiv k} z_{k_1}^0 z_{k_2}^0 \bar{z}_{k_3}^0 e^{it(\lambda_{k_1} + \lambda_{k_2} - \lambda_{k_3})}$$

$$a_k^1(0) = \varepsilon z_k^1 \in \mathbb{C}$$

The forcing term contains many terms that fall between resonant and nonresonant.

In order to draw general dynamics from resonant dynamics add an ε .

Hamiltonian Formalism

If $(\mathbb{C}^{2N}, \omega)$ is a symplectic manifold, then a is a solution to a Hamiltonian system with Hamiltonian

$$H(a) = H_0(a) + P(a) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^N \lambda_k |a_k|^2 + \frac{1}{4} \sum_{k_1+k_2 \equiv k_3+k_4} a_{k_1} a_{k_2} \bar{a}_{k_3} \bar{a}_{k_4}$$

Local Analysis

Birkhoff Normal Form Theorem in Finite Dimension

Definition (Nonresonance)

Let $r \in \mathbb{N}$. A frequency vector, $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}^N$, is **nonresonant up to order r** if

$$k \cdot \lambda := \sum_{j=1}^N k_j \lambda_j \neq 0 \text{ for all } k \in \mathbb{Z}^N \text{ with } 0 < |k| \leq r$$

Definition (Normal Form)

Let $H = H_0 + P$ where $P \in C^\infty(\mathbb{C}^{2N}, \mathbb{R})$, which is at least cubic such that P is a perturbation of H_0 . We say that P is in **normal form** with respect to H_0 if it Poisson commutes with H_0 :

$$\{P, H_0\} = 0$$

Local Analysis

Birkhoff Normal Form Theorem in Finite Dimension

Theorem (Moser '68)

Let $H = H_0 + P$ where

- $H_0 = \sum_{j=1}^N \lambda_j \frac{|a_j|^2}{2}$
- $P \in C^\infty(\mathbb{C}^{2N}, \mathbb{R})$ having a zero of order 3 at the origin

Fix $M \geq 3$ an integer. There exists $\tau : \mathcal{U} \ni (a', \bar{a}') \mapsto (a, \bar{a}) \in \mathcal{V}$ a real analytic canonical transformation from a nbhd of the origin to a nbhd of the origin which puts H in normal form up to order M i.e.

$$H \circ \tau = H_0 + Z + R$$

with

- 1 Z is a polynomial of order r and is in normal form
- 2 $R \in C^\infty(\mathbb{C}^{2N}, \mathbb{R})$ and $R(a, \bar{a}) = O(\|(a, \bar{a})\|^{M+1})$
- 3 τ is close to the identity: $\tau(a, \bar{a}) = (a, \bar{a}) + O(\|(a, \bar{a})\|^2)$

Local Analysis

Birkhoff Normal Form Theorem in Finite Dimension

Corollary

Assume λ is nonresonant. For each $M \geq 3$ there exists $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ and $C > 0$ such that if $\|(a_0, \bar{a}_0)\| = \varepsilon < \varepsilon_0$ the solution $(a(t), \bar{a}(t))$ of the Hamiltonian system associated to H which takes value (a_0, \bar{a}_0) at $t = 0$ satisfies

$$\|(a(t), \bar{a}(t))\| \leq 2\varepsilon \text{ for } |t| \leq \frac{C}{\varepsilon^{M-1}}.$$

Nonlinear Schrödinger Equation

Consider the Cauchy problem

$$\begin{cases} iu_t + \Delta_{\mathcal{M}}u = \mathcal{N}(u), & x \in \mathcal{M}, t \geq 0 \\ u(x, 0) = u_0(x) \in H^s(\mathcal{M}; \mathbb{C}), \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

Semilinear Schrödinger Equation

Consider the Cauchy problem

$$\begin{cases} iu_t + \Delta_{\mathcal{M}} u = |u|^2 u, & x \in \mathcal{M}, t \geq 0 \\ u(x, 0) = u_0(x) \in H^s(\mathcal{M}; \mathbb{C}), \end{cases} \quad (2)$$

Infinite-Dimensional Nonresonance Condition

Definition (Nonresonance Condition)

There exists $\gamma = \gamma_M > 0$ and $\tau = \tau_M > 0$ such that for any N large enough, one has

$$\left| \sum_{1 \leq j \leq N} m_j \lambda_j \right| \geq \frac{\gamma}{N^\tau} \quad \text{for } \|m\|_1 \leq M, \quad (3)$$

where $m \in \mathbb{Z}^\infty \setminus \{0\}$.

Normal Form Theorem

Theorem (Bambusi-Grebert 2006)

Consider the equation

$$i\dot{x} = \lambda x + \sum_{k \geq 2} f_k(x). \quad (4)$$

and assume the nonresonance condition (3). For any $M \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists $s_0 = s_0(M, \tau)$ such that for any $s \geq s_0$ there exists $r_s > 0$ such that for $r < r_s$, there exists an analytic canonical change of variables

$$y = \Phi^{(M)}(x) \\ \Phi^{(M)} : B_s(r) \rightarrow B_s(3r)$$

which puts (4) into the normal form

$$i\dot{y} = \lambda y + \mathcal{R}^{(M)}(y) + \mathcal{X}^{(M)}(y). \quad (5)$$

Theorem (Theorem cont.)

Moreover there exists a constant $C = C_s$ such that:



$$\sup_{x \in B_s(r)} \|x - \Phi^{(M)}(x)\|_s \leq Cr^2$$

- $\mathcal{R}^{(M)}$ is at most of degree $M + 2$, is resonant,
- the following bound holds

$$\|\mathcal{X}^{(M)}\|_{s,r} \leq Cr^{M+\frac{3}{2}}$$

- 1 Plane Wave Stability for polynomial NLSE on \mathbb{T}^d (Faou, Gauckler, Lubich (2013); W (2014))

- 1 Plane Wave Stability for polynomial NLSE on \mathbb{T}^d (Faou, Gauckler, Lubich (2013); W (2014))
- 2 Instability (Stability) of cubic NLSE on the square (irrational) torus (Carles, Faou (2010); Staffilani, W (2018); Hrabski, Pan, Staffilani, W. (2021))

- 1 Plane Wave Stability for polynomial NLSE on \mathbb{T}^d (Faou, Gauckler, Lubich (2013); W (2014))
- 2 Instability (Stability) of cubic NLSE on the square (irrational) torus (Carles, Faou (2010); Staffilani, W (2018); Hrabski, Pan, Staffilani, W. (2021))
- 3 KAM for 2d periodic gravity water waves (Berti, Baldi, Haus, Montalto (2017))

- 1 Plane Wave Stability for polynomial NLSE on \mathbb{T}^d (Faou, Gauckler, Lubich (2013); W (2014))
- 2 Instability (Stability) of cubic NLSE on the square (irrational) torus (Carles, Faou (2010); Staffilani, W (2018); Hrabski, Pan, Staffilani, W. (2021))
- 3 KAM for 2d periodic gravity water waves (Berti, Baldi, Haus, Montalto (2017))
- 4 Longtime existence for water waves equations (Alazard-Delort (2015), Ionescu-Pusateri (2015), Ifrim-Tataru (2016), Berti, Feola, Pusateri (2018) and many others)

- 1 Plane Wave Stability for polynomial NLSE on \mathbb{T}^d (Faou, Gauckler, Lubich (2013); W (2014))
- 2 Instability (Stability) of cubic NLSE on the square (irrational) torus (Carles, Faou (2010); Staffilani, W (2018); Hrabski, Pan, Staffilani, W. (2021))
- 3 KAM for 2d periodic gravity water waves (Berti, Baldi, Haus, Montalto (2017))
- 4 Longtime existence for water waves equations (Alazard-Delort (2015), Ionescu-Pusateri (2015), Ifrim-Tataru (2016), Berti, Feola, Pusateri (2018) and many others)
 - Uses normal form method of Shatah (1985) among other methods.

Normal Form Theory

Benefits and Shortcomings

- 1 Resonant systems are often much simpler to analyze.

Normal Form Theory

Benefits and Shortcomings

- 1 Resonant systems are often much simpler to analyze.
- 2 The normalized system accurately describes dynamics of arbitrarily small data.

Normal Form Theory

Benefits and Shortcomings

- 1 Resonant systems are often much simpler to analyze.
- 2 The normalized system accurately describes dynamics of arbitrarily small data.
- 3 The change of coordinates is local, limiting the time-period of relevance.

Normal Form Theory

Benefits and Shortcomings

- 1 Resonant systems are often much simpler to analyze.
- 2 The normalized system accurately describes dynamics of arbitrarily small data.
- 3 The change of coordinates is local, limiting the time-period of relevance.
- 4 Arbitrarily small “divisors” are very difficult to control

Integrative Methods

Idea for argument

Idea for argument

$$iu_t = \mathcal{R}(u, t) + \mathcal{E}(u, t)$$

$$u(0) = u_0$$

Consider

$$iv_t + \Delta_{\mathcal{M}}v = \mathcal{R}(v, t)$$

$$v(0) = u_0$$

Idea for argument

$$iu_t = \mathcal{R}(u, t) + \mathcal{E}(u, t)$$

$$u(0) = u_0$$

Consider

$$iv_t + \Delta_{\mathcal{M}}v = \mathcal{R}(v, t)$$

$$v(0) = u_0$$

Then

$$\|v - u\| \lesssim \left\| \int_0^t \mathcal{E}(u, s) ds \right\| \lesssim \varepsilon(t) \left\| \int_0^t \mathcal{R}(v, s) ds \right\|$$

Using Strichartz estimates and integration by parts. Where $\varepsilon(t)$ is small for all time or $\varepsilon(t) \rightarrow 0$.

Theorem (Colliander, Keel, Staffilani, Takaoka, Tao (2010))

Let $1 < s$, $K \gg 1$, and $0 < \delta < 1$ be given parameters. Then there exists a global smooth solution $u(t, x)$ to (2) and a time $T > 0$ with

$$\|u(0)\|_{H^s} \leq \delta \quad \text{and} \quad \|u(T)\|_{H^s} \geq K.$$

Theorem (Colliander, Keel, Staffilani, Takaoka, Tao (2010))

Let $1 < s$, $K \gg 1$, and $0 < \delta < 1$ be given parameters. Then there exists a global smooth solution $u(t, x)$ to (2) and a time $T > 0$ with

$$\|u(0)\|_{H^s} \leq \delta \quad \text{and} \quad \|u(T)\|_{H^s} \geq K.$$

Theorem (Guardia, Kaloshin (2015))

Let $s > 1$. Then there exists $c > 0$ with the following property: for any large $K \gg 1$ there exists a global solution $u(t; x)$ of (2) and a time T satisfying $0 < T \leq \exp(K^c)$ such that

$$\|u(T)\|_{H^s} \geq K \|u(0)\|_{H^s}$$

Proposition (Guardia, Kaloshin (2015))

Let $K \gg 1$. Then, there exists $N \gg 1$ and a set $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{Z}^2$, with

$$\Lambda = \Lambda_1 \cup \dots \cup \Lambda_N,$$

which satisfies I-Team conditions and also

$$\frac{\sum_{n \in \Lambda_{N-1}} |n|^{2s}}{\sum_{n \in \Lambda_3} |n|^{2s}} \geq \frac{1}{2} 2^{(s-1)(N-4)} \geq K^2.$$

Moreover, given any $R > 0$ (which may depend on K), one can ensure that each generation Λ_j has 2^{N-1} disjoint frequencies n satisfying $|n| \geq R$.

Proposition (Staffilani, W. (2018))

Let $N \in \mathbb{Z}_+$. Consider a set $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{Z}^2$, with

$$\Lambda = \Lambda_1 \cup \dots \cup \Lambda_N,$$

which satisfies I-Team conditions with irrational torus frequencies,
Then

$$\frac{\sum_{n \in \Lambda_k} |n|^{2s}}{\sum_{n \in \Lambda_j} |n|^{2s}} \leq 2^s$$

for any $j, k \in \{1, \dots, N\}$.

Proposition (Giuliani, Guardia 2021)

Let $s > 1$. Then there exists $c > 0$ with the following property: for $0 < \delta \ll 1$ and for $K \gg 1$ there exists a global solution $u(t; x)$ of (2) and a time T satisfying $0 < T \leq \exp(c_0(K/\delta)^c)$ such that

$$\|u(0)\|_{H^s} \leq \delta \quad \text{and} \quad \|u(T)\|_{H^s} \geq K.$$

Infinite Time?

Scattering and Modified Scattering

- On most Noncompact Manifolds, solutions to nonlinear Schrödinger equations, exhibit scattering (in the focusing case, small enough data is required)
 - Bourgain (1999), Kenig and Merle (2006), I-Team (2008), Dodson (2012),

Infinite Time?

Scattering and Modified Scattering

- On most Noncompact Manifolds, solutions to nonlinear Schrödinger equations, exhibit scattering (in the focusing case, small enough data is required)
 - Bourgain (1999), Kenig and Merle (2006), I-Team (2008), Dodson (2012),
- On mixed spaces, $\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{T}^m$, more complicated behavior is exhibited

Infinite Time?

Scattering and Modified Scattering

- On most Noncompact Manifolds, solutions to nonlinear Schrödinger equations, exhibit scattering (in the focusing case, small enough data is required)
 - Bourgain (1999), Kenig and Merle (2006), I-Team (2008), Dodson (2012),
- On mixed spaces, $\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{T}^m$, more complicated behavior is exhibited
 - Tzvetkov and Visciglia (Scattering on $\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{T}$) (2016)

Infinite Time?

Scattering and Modified Scattering

- On most Noncompact Manifolds, solutions to nonlinear Schrödinger equations, exhibit scattering (in the focusing case, small enough data is required)
 - Bourgain (1999), Kenig and Merle (2006), I-Team (2008), Dodson (2012),
- On mixed spaces, $\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{T}^m$, more complicated behavior is exhibited
 - Tzvetkov and Visciglia (Scattering on $\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{T}$) (2016)
 - Hani, Pausader, Tzvetkov, Visciglia (small data Modified Scattering on $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T}^d$, $d = 2, 3, 4$) (2015)
 - Using I-Team construction, they then demonstrate the existence of unbounded solutions as $t \rightarrow \infty$.

Infinite Time?

Scattering and Modified Scattering

- On most Noncompact Manifolds, solutions to nonlinear Schrödinger equations, exhibit scattering (in the focusing case, small enough data is required)
 - Bourgain (1999), Kenig and Merle (2006), I-Team (2008), Dodson (2012),
- On mixed spaces, $\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{T}^m$, more complicated behavior is exhibited
 - Tzvetkov and Visciglia (Scattering on $\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{T}$) (2016)
 - Hani, Pausader, Tzvetkov, Visciglia (small data Modified Scattering on $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T}^d$, $d = 2, 3, 4$) (2015)
 - Using I-Team construction, they then demonstrate the existence of unbounded solutions as $t \rightarrow \infty$.
 - Yu, W. (2022) (small data Modified Scattering on $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T}_\theta^d$)
 - Can use better resonant structure to demonstrate boundedness of solutions.

Integrative Approach

Benefits and Shortcomings

- 1 Can illuminate asymptotic dynamics

Integrative Approach

Benefits and Shortcomings

- 1 Can illuminate asymptotic dynamics
- 2 In the case of scattering, analysis of resonant system unnecessary

Integrative Approach

Benefits and Shortcomings

- 1 Can illuminate asymptotic dynamics
- 2 In the case of scattering, analysis of resonant system unnecessary
- 3 No higher order terms to deal with

Integrative Approach

Benefits and Shortcomings

- 1 Can illuminate asymptotic dynamics
- 2 In the case of scattering, analysis of resonant system unnecessary
- 3 No higher order terms to deal with
- 4 Requires Strichartz estimates in most cases.

Integrative Approach

Benefits and Shortcomings

- 1 Can illuminate asymptotic dynamics
- 2 In the case of scattering, analysis of resonant system unnecessary
- 3 No higher order terms to deal with
- 4 Requires Strichartz estimates in most cases.
- 5 One can still lose regularity.

Integrative Approach

Benefits and Shortcomings

- 1 Can illuminate asymptotic dynamics
- 2 In the case of scattering, analysis of resonant system unnecessary
- 3 No higher order terms to deal with
- 4 Requires Strichartz estimates in most cases.
- 5 One can still lose regularity.
- 6 Often requires small data.

Inverse Scattering and Integrability

Proof Idea

When analyzing NLSE nonlocally, one uses the diagonalization with respect to the linearized equation (Fourier transform):

$$iu_t + \Delta_{\mathbb{T}^d} u = |u|^2 u$$
$$i \frac{d}{dt} u_k - \lambda_k u_k = \sum_{k_1+k_2-k_3=k} u_{k_1} u_{k_2} \bar{u}_{k_3}$$

Inverse Scattering and Integrability

Proof Idea

When analyzing NLSE nonlocally, one uses the diagonalization with respect to the linearized equation (Fourier transform):

$$iu_t + \Delta_{\mathbb{T}^d} u = |u|^2 u$$
$$i \frac{d}{dt} u_k - \lambda_k u_k = \sum_{k_1+k_2-k_3=k} u_{k_1} u_{k_2} \bar{u}_{k_3}$$

This works perfectly for completely solving the linear equation, but not as well for the nonlinear equation. An important part of the inverse scattering method is a diagonalization w.r.t. the nonlinear equation.

Inverse Scattering and Integrability

Proof Idea of Gardner, Green, Kruskal, Miura (1967)

Schrödinger Operator (Example for KdV equation)

First Step: Given a solution $u(t) \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$, for each t , find solutions $(\psi_\lambda(t), \lambda(t)) \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}) \times \mathbb{C}$ to

$$L_u(\psi) := \left(\frac{d}{dx}\right)^2 \psi - u\psi = \lambda\psi$$

Inverse Scattering and Integrability

Proof Idea of Gardner, Green, Kruskal, Miura (1967)

Schrödinger Operator (Example for KdV equation)

First Step: Given a solution $u(t) \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$, for each t , find solutions $(\psi_\lambda(t), \lambda(t)) \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}) \times \mathbb{C}$ to

$$L_u(\psi) := \left(\frac{d}{dx}\right)^2 \psi - u\psi = \lambda\psi$$

Second Step: The gaps between the frequencies of L_u provide constants of motion.

Inverse Scattering and Integrability

Proof Idea of Gardner, Green, Kruskal, Miura (1967)

Schrödinger Operator (Example for KdV equation)

First Step: Given a solution $u(t) \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$, for each t , find solutions $(\psi_\lambda(t), \lambda(t)) \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}) \times \mathbb{C}$ to

$$L_u(\psi) := \left(\frac{d}{dx}\right)^2 \psi - u\psi = \lambda\psi$$

Second Step: The gaps between the frequencies of L_u provide constants of motion.

Third Step: Demonstrate that the given constants of motion are enough to put equation into action-angle coordinates

Terng-Ulhenbeck (1997) provides a group-theoretic approach to Inverse Scattering.

Terng-Ulhenbeck (1997) provides a group-theoretic approach to Inverse Scattering.

Allows for the application to geometric Schrödinger equations.

Thank you for listening