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Goal

• Recast amplitudes in terms of Feynman integrals selected for degree of divergence

\[ I[\mathcal{N}(\ell_i)] = \int \prod_{i=1}^{L} d^D \ell_i \frac{\mathcal{N}(\ell_i)}{D_1 \cdots D_E}, \]

• Hope: lead to simpler and more transparent representations

• **First step**: classify and organize *finite* integrals
  
  Henn, Peraro, Stahlhofen, & Wasser; von Manteuffel, Panzer, & Schabinger

• Mostly gloss over fine print
  
  – look at locally IR-finite integrals (doable strictly in \( D = 4 \))
  
  – UV convergent by power counting ("strongly UV convergent")
One-Loop Example

- Canonical basis: box, triangle, bubble

- Box and Triangle have $\frac{1}{\epsilon^2}$ divergence (IR)

- Bubble has $\frac{1}{\epsilon}$ divergence (UV)

- Can trade $D = 4$ box ($\frac{1}{\epsilon^2}$ divergence) for $D = 6$ box (finite)

  $\text{Box}^{D=4} = c_0 \text{Box}^{D=6} + \sum c_i^{(3)} \text{Tri}_i$

This isolates all IR divergences in triangles
How Do IR Singularities Arise?

• Look at

\[ \int d^D \ell \frac{1}{(\ell - K_1)^2 (\ell - K_2)^2 (\ell - K_3)^2 \cdots} \]

Singularities arise from regions where the denominator vanishes

• One denominator vanishing is integrable

• Two denominators vanishing in an invariant-independent way gives a \( \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \) singularity

• Three denominators vanishing in an invariant-independent way gives a \( \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} \) singularity
How Do IR Singularities Arise?

- Generically,
  - two denominators vanishing must be adjacent propagators separated by a massless leg: $K_2 - K_1$ massless, singularity arises from $\ell \sim K_2 - K_1$
  - three denominators vanishing must be adjacent propagators separated by a pair of massless legs: $K_2 - K_1$ and $K_3 - K_2$ massless, singularity arises when middle momentum is soft $\ell \sim K_2$

- Generalize this to higher loops

- Find numerators that vanish in those regions
Analytic Strategy

Gambuti, Novichkov, Tancredi, DAK

- Derivable
- Proceed topology by topology

- Solve Landau equations in mixed representation:
  - for all loops $i$, $\sum_{d=1}^{N} \alpha_d \frac{\partial}{\partial \ell_i} \text{Den}_d = 0$
  - for all denominators $d$, $\alpha_d \text{Den}_d = 0$
  - at least one $\alpha_d$ strictly positive, all nonnegative
  - subtleties for nonplanar integrals

$\Rightarrow$ McLeod’s talk

- Each solution is a singular surface
Analytic Strategy

• Classify degree of divergence following Anastasiou & Sterman (based on Libby & Sterman)
  – planar: logarithmic soft & collinear singularities
  – nonplanar: soft singularities can collide to give power divergences

• Build finite numerators
  – start with all factors: \( \ell_1^2, \ell_1 \cdot \ell_2, \ell_2^2; \ell_i \cdot k_{1,2,4} \)
  – build all numerators of fixed degree, e.g.
    \[ c_1 \ell_1 \cdot \ell_2 + c_2 \ell_1 \cdot k_4 \ell_2 \cdot k_1 + c_3 (\ell_1 \cdot k_2)^2 + \cdots \]
  – for each singular surface, require coefficients of singular scaling terms to vanish

→ Linear equation(s) for the \( c_i \)
Independent Numerators

• How many are there (cumulative)?

• 31 solutions to the Landau equations for planar double box

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Max Order in $\ell$</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Finite</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>247</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

• Not all truly independent
  Poly($\ell_i$) (Finite numerator)
(subject to UV power-counting)

• Mathematical structure: ideal (before UV power-counting)
• “truncated ideal” (linear space) after
Independent Numerators

• Appropriate technology: Gröbner bases

• Compute Gröbner basis of order 2, retain independent remainders after dividing over the basis; iterate

• Or, just compute overall Gröbner basis all at once

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Max Order in $\ell$</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Finite</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>247</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Independent New</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

• Define (van Neerven & Vermaseren)

$$v_i^\mu \equiv \frac{G \left( k_1 \, \cdots \, \mu \, \cdots \, k_R \right)}{G \left( k_1 \, \cdots \, k_i \, \cdots \, k_R \right)}$$

$$v_{ij} \equiv G \left( l_i \, k_1 \, \cdots \, k_R \right) / G \left( k_1 \, \cdots \, k_R \right)$$

to get nice forms for generators
All-Loop Ladder Conjecture

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Max Order in $\ell$</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Independent</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2L</td>
<td>2L</td>
<td>$L^2$</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$O(\epsilon)$ independent</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>$(3L^2 - 9L + 8)/2$</td>
<td>$(L - 2)(L^2 - 4L + 5)$</td>
<td>$(L - 2)(L - 3)(L^2 - 9L + 16)/8$</td>
<td>$(L - 2)(L - 3)(L^2 - 5L + 8)/4$</td>
<td>$(L - 2)(L - 3)(L^2 - 5L + 8)/8$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Geometric Strategy

De la Cruz, Novichkov, DAK

• Not yet derivable — lots of conjecture

• Parametric representation

• Focus on exponents of monomials
  \[ \alpha_1^{e_1} \alpha_2^{e_2} \cdots \alpha_n^{e_n} \]
  \[ e \equiv (e_1, e_2, \ldots, e_n) \]

• Build on theorem of Berkesch, Forsgård, & Passare on convergence of Euler–Mellin integrals
Geometric Strategy

- Newton polytope: **convex hull** of all positive-weight linear combinations of all *exponent vectors* in a given polynomial
- \( H \)-representation: region bounded by set of inequalities
- Relation to tropical geometry to be explored

- BFP instructs us to look at Newton polytope of Symanzik polynomials

\[
\text{Newton} \left( \left[ u^{E - \frac{D}{2}(L+1)-r} \mathcal{F}^\frac{DL}{2} - E \right]^{-1} \right)
\]

*\( E \) propagators, \( D \) dimensions, \( L \) loops, rank \( r \)
Geometric Strategy

• Reexpress polytope as weighted Minowski sum

\[ (r - E + \frac{D}{2}(L + 1))\text{Newton}(\mathcal{U}) + (E - DL/2)\text{Newton}(\mathcal{F}) \]

• BFP: integral of a Feynman-parameter monomial converges if
  – \(\mathcal{U}\) and \(\mathcal{F}\) have no zeros on faces of polytope (true for planar integrals)
  – the vector \(e + 1\) lies in the `relative interior’ of the polytope

• Find interior with tools like \textsc{NConvex}, or via conjecture on generating function

• Conjecture: integral is finite iff each Feynman-parameter monomial is in the relative interior
Geometric Strategy: Example

• Massless box

\[ U = \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3 + \alpha_4, \quad F = s\alpha_1\alpha_3 + t\alpha_2\alpha_4 \]

• Look at rank two: exponents w/lattice points in polytope

(0, 0, 0), (0, 0, 1), (0, 0, 2), (0, 1, 0), (0, 1, 1), (0, 2, 0), (1, 0, 0), (1, 0, 1), (1, 1, 0),

(2, 0, 0) + 18 others

• Relative-interior exponents

(1,0,1),(0,1,0)

• Require general loop-momentum numerator to yield only these

  – Require coefficients to be \( D \)-independent

  \[ N_1: (s + t) \ell \cdot k_1 + t\ell \cdot k_2 - s\ell \cdot k_4 - (s + t) \ell^2 \]

  \[ N_2: (t^2 - s^2)(\ell \cdot k_1)^2 + 2t^2 \ell \cdot k_1 \ell \cdot k_2 + t^2(\ell \cdot k_2)^2 - 2s^2\ell \cdot k_1 \ell \cdot k_4 - s^2(\ell \cdot k_4)^2 + st^2\ell^2 \]

  \[ N_3: -(s + t)(\ell \cdot k_1)^2 - t\ell \cdot k_1 \ell \cdot k_2 - (2s + t)\ell \cdot k_1 \ell \cdot k_4 + t\ell \cdot k_2 \ell \cdot k_4 - s(\ell \cdot k_4)^2 - \frac{1}{2}st\ell^2 \]
Comparison

• Do the results of the two approaches agree?

• Yes…

…but the comparison is subtle
  – Geometric numerators can vanish in parametric representation without being locally finite (special IBPs)
  – Need to consider locally finite IBPs too
Integration by Parts

Canay, Novichkov, Ma, Wu, Zhang, DAK

• Can avoid doubled propagators using generating vectors aka “syzygy method”

• Choose \( v \) in

\[
\int d^D \ell_j \frac{\partial}{\partial \ell_i^\mu} \left[ \frac{v^\mu N}{D_1 D_2 \cdots D_E} \right]
\]

Such that \( v \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial \ell_i} D_j \propto D_j \) for all \( D_j \)

Find only IBPs for finite numerators by also requiring

\[
v \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial \ell_i} N_j = c_m N_m
\]
Use of New Integrals

Look at two-loop $A_4(++++ +)$

$N_1 = G \begin{pmatrix} \ell_1 & 1 & 2 \\ 1 & 2 & 4 \end{pmatrix} G \begin{pmatrix} \ell_2 & 3 & 4 \\ 1 & 2 & 4 \end{pmatrix}, \quad N_2 = G \begin{pmatrix} \ell_1 & 1 & 2 \\ \ell_2 & 3 & 4 \end{pmatrix}$

$H_{++++} = \epsilon \left[ r_1 [N_1] + r_2 [N_2] + r_3 + r_4 [N_1] + r_5 + r_6 + r_7 + r_8 + r_9 \right] \frac{\langle 12 \rangle \langle 34 \rangle}{[12][34]}$

Coefficients simpler too
Integrating

Canay, Novichkov, DAK

• New opportunity to use existing tool: HyperInt
• Top-level topology has complicated functions
• Rank-two finite pentabox
• All integrations but one are linear
• Separate $\sqrt{\Delta}$ by hand: $\alpha_6^2 - \Delta$
Summary

With numerators
Feynman integrals settle
happily bounded

• Finite integrals are a first step to exploring a new organization of scattering amplitudes

• Two approaches
  – Analytic approach: Landau equations + Anastasiou–Sterman scaling
  – Geometric approach: Newton polytopes + BFP theorem

• Applications
  – Amplitude structure
  – Integrating